

Ubiquity of Polynomials and Non-Polynomials

Lei Liu
engineer123@rocketmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Assuming $P = NP$, this article disproves the hypothesis by contradiction using counterexamples [Chartrand et al. 2008] [Houston 2009]. This article explains that there is continuation between a polynomial and a non-polynomial. It discusses internals and externals of continuation that show the differences between polynomials and non-polynomials. Due to the ubiquitous results without restrictions and assumptions of original problems, this article establishes two basic findings. First, P is not NP . Second, the "mass gap" exists as proposed in *Yang – Mills and Mass Gap* problem.

2. INITIAL EXAMPLES

This section provides examples that show the structures of polynomials and non-polynomials.

2.1 Structure Minimization

To minimize a function, a finite set of real parameters \mathbf{x} with codimension K [Berry and Howls 2009] constructs a relation with a polynomial as

$$\Phi_K(t; \mathbf{x}) = t^{K+2} + \sum_{m=1}^K x_m t^m \quad (1)$$

where t is a real or complex variable.

If we apply the polynomial in Equation 1 to an exponential function as an imaginary exponent, we obtain a non-polynomial function [Berry and Howls 2009] as:

$$\Psi_K(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(i\Phi_K(t;\mathbf{x}))} dt = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{K+2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} i^n \cos\left(\frac{\pi(n(K+1)-1)}{2(K+2)}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{K+2}\right) a_n(\mathbf{x}) & \text{for } K \text{ odd} \\ \frac{2}{K+2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp\left(i\frac{\pi(2n+1)}{2(K+2)}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2n+1}{K+2}\right) a_{2n}(\mathbf{x}) & \text{for } K \text{ even} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where $a_0(\mathbf{x}) = 1, a_{n+1}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{i}{n+1} \sum_{p=0}^{\min(n, K-1)} (p+1)x_{p+1}a_{n-p}(\mathbf{x})$. $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

One of the special cases of Equation 2 is

$$\Psi_1(x) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt[3]{3}} Ai\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt[3]{3}}\right) \quad (3)$$

It suggests that a polynomial exists for the minimization.

San Jose, CA 95148

Permission to make digital/hard copy of all or part of this material without fee for personal or classroom use provided that the copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage, the copyright/server notice, the title of the publication, and its date appear, and notice is given that copying is by permission of Lei Liu. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers, or to redistribute to lists requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

2.2 Structure Generalization

In the homogeneous hypergeometric polynomial [Carlson 2009]

$$T_N(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{z}) = \sum \frac{(b_1)_{m_1} \cdots (b_n)_{m_n}}{m_1! \cdots m_n!} z_1^{m_1} \cdots z_n^{m_n} \quad (4)$$

where m_1, \dots, m_n are non-negative integers. $N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Then a multivariate hypergeometric series contains the non-polynomial representation

$$R_{-a}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{z}) = z_n^{-a} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_N}{(c)_N} T_N(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}; 1 - \frac{z_1}{z_n}, \dots, 1 - \frac{z_{n-1}}{z_n}) \quad (5)$$

where $c = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j$, $|1 - \frac{z_j}{z_n}| < 1$.

Generalization structures construct both polynomials and non-polynomials.

2.3 Exponential Structure

If we have a problem such as a holomorphic function e^z , a discrete computing procedure is represented as an asymptotic expansion as:

$$e^z = 1 + z + O(z^2) \quad (6)$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z \rightarrow 0$.

We obtain $1 + z \neq e^z$.

If we do exponential integration, we get a multi-value function.

$$E_1(z) = \Gamma(0, z) \quad (7)$$

where $|phz| < \pi$.

If we further generalize it, we get

$$E_p(z) = z^{p-1} \Gamma(1-p, z) \quad (8)$$

where $p, z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Hence, a non-polynomial exists in the exponential structure.

2.4 Polynomial Coefficient and Number

Binomials are two term polynomials. Without an order, the number of ways to select n objects from a collection of m objects is $\binom{m}{n}$. The number of lattice paths [Bressoud 2009] $\binom{m+n}{n}$ from $(0, 0)$ to (m, n) is a polynomial. Multinomial coefficients, the number of set partitions, and lattice path numbers are polynomials.

2.5 Orthogonal Polynomials

A class of orthogonal polynomials can be represented by elementary functions. It constructs continuation.

$$H_n(x) = \lambda_n e^{\frac{1}{2}x^2} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \frac{u_m(x) \cos \omega_{n,m}(x)}{\mu^{\frac{1}{2}m}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\mu^{\frac{1}{2}M}}\right) \right) \quad (9)$$

where $M = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n \rightarrow \infty$. $u_m(x)$ are polynomials in x . $H_n(x)$ represents Hermite polynomials.

A polynomial can be determined by the orthogonality of a class of polynomials. Orthogonal polynomials can be computed from their polynomial forms by Horner's scheme. Multivariate orthogonal polynomials such as the Jack polynomial [Weisstein 2010h] depend on a positive parameter. The special cases are the Schur polynomial, the zonal polynomial, the quaternion zonal polynomial, etc.

2.6 Periodic Polynomials

For a periodic polynomial, the Chebyshev series terminates with A_0, A_2, A_4, \dots , which are recessive solutions of the relation specified in [Volkmer 2009a].

$$uE_{2n}^m(z, k^2) = \frac{1}{2}A_0 + \sum_{p=1}^n A_{2p}T_{2p}(sn(z, k)) \quad (10)$$

where m, n, p are non-negative integers. k is a real parameter. $uE_{2n}^m(z, k^2)$ represents Lamé polynomials. $T_{2p}(sn(z, k))$ is Chebyshev polynomial. $\cos \phi = sn(z, k)$.

Trigonometry functions can construct polynomials. Some solutions of a specific equation may construct periodic polynomials. Polynomials can be determined by termination of finite power series, Fourier series, and Chebyshev series. Periodic functions can be represented in finite Fourier series such as Ramanujan's sum [Apostol 2009a] and a Gauss sum related to Dirichlet character $\chi(\text{mod } k)$ and the relation in [Apostol 2009b].

2.7 Solutions to Differential Equations

Changes and relations of changes can construct polynomials. Heun polynomials [Sleeman and Kuznetsov 2009], Stieltjes polynomials [Sleeman and Kuznetsov 2009], and Ince polynomials [Wolf 2009] are solutions to specific differential equations.

2.8 Surface Polynomial

Riemman surfaces can be computed from plane algebraic curves $P(\lambda, \mu) = 0$. $P(\lambda, \mu)$ [Deconinck 2009] is a polynomial in λ and μ .

2.9 Matrix Argument Polynomial

The generalized Laguerre polynomial [Richards 2009] can be represented by a function of a matrix argument.

$$L_{\nu}^{(\gamma)}(\mathbf{T}) = \frac{\Gamma_m(\gamma + \nu + \frac{1}{2}(m+1))}{\Gamma_m(\gamma + \frac{1}{2}(m+1))} F_{1,1} \left(\begin{matrix} -\nu \\ \gamma + \frac{1}{2}(m+1) \end{matrix}; \mathbf{T} \right) \quad (11)$$

where $\Re(\gamma), \Re(\gamma + \nu) > -1$. \mathbf{T} represents real symmetric matrices.

2.10 Generalized Functions with Matrix Argument

The generalized hypergeometric functions can be represented by a function of a matrix argument [Richards 2009].

$${}_pF_q \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{matrix}; \mathbf{T} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{|\kappa|=k} \frac{|a_1|_{\kappa} \cdots |a_p|_{\kappa}}{|b_1|_{\kappa} \cdots |b_q|_{\kappa}} Z_{\kappa}(\mathbf{T}) \quad (12)$$

where m is positive integer. a, b are complex variables. p, q are non-negative integers.

As $1 \leq k \leq m$, the above equation represents a polynomial.

2.11 Characteristic Polynomial

Eigenvalue problems and a tridiagonal matrix help to determine the structure of a polynomial. A characteristic polynomial of \mathbf{A} is

$$p_n(\lambda) = \det[\lambda\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}] \quad (13)$$

where \mathbf{A} is an $n \times n$ matrix. λ is an eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} . \mathbf{I} is an identity matrix.

The characteristic polynomial of the graph distance matrix is the distance polynomial [Weisstein 2010d]. The characteristic polynomial of the Laplacian matrix is the Laplacian polynomial [Weisstein 2010g]. The characteristic polynomial of the detour matrix of G is the detour polynomial [Weisstein 2010b].

2.12 Gaussian Polynomial

The gaussian polynomial or q-binomial coefficient is

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1 - q^{m-n+j}}{1 - q^j} \quad (14)$$

where $m \geq n \geq 0$. $|q| < 1$.

2.13 Combinatorial Identity

Permutation has an identity as

$$B_m = \frac{m}{2^m(2^m - 1)} \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} (-1)^k \left\langle \begin{matrix} m-1 \\ k \end{matrix} \right\rangle \quad (15)$$

where $m \geq 2$.

2.14 Integration Polynomial

The integration polynomial [Olver and Maximon 2009] in Bessel functions with a real variable.

$$U_{k+1}(p) = \frac{1}{2}p^2(1 - p^2)U'_k(p) + \frac{1}{8} \int_0^p (1 - 5t^2)U_k(t)dt \quad (16)$$

where $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. $p = (1 + z^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

$U_k(p)$ is a polynomial in p of degree $3k$.

2.15 Derivate Polynomial

The derivate polynomial for incomplete Gamma is

$$A_{k+1}(\lambda) = (1 - 2k\lambda)A_k(\lambda) + \lambda(\lambda + 1) \frac{dA_k(\lambda)}{d\lambda} \quad (17)$$

where $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$. $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$A_k(\lambda)$ is a polynomial [Paris 2009] in λ of degree $k - 1$ when $k \geq 1$.

2.16 Recurrence Polynomial

Recurrence relations [Dilcher 2009] represent polynomials.

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} 2^k E_{n-k} + E_n = 2 \quad (18)$$

where E represents the Euler polynomial.

2.17 OP on the Unit Circle

A system of polynomials [Koornwinder et al. 2009] $\{\phi_n(z)\}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, where $\phi_n(z)$ is of proper degree n , is orthonormal on the unit circle with respect to a weight function $w(z) = (1 - \frac{1}{2}(z + z^{-1}))^\lambda$.

$$\phi_n(z) = \frac{(\lambda)_n}{n!} {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, \lambda + 1 \\ \lambda - n + 1 \end{matrix}; z \right) \quad (19)$$

where $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$. $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$.

A set of hypergeometric or generalized hypergeometric parameters determines a class of polynomials. Recurrence relations help to determine orthogonality. However, there are special biorthogonal rational functions [Al-Salam and Ismail 2009] on the unit circle.

2.18 q-Polynomial

A system of polynomials [Koornwinder et al. 2009] $\{\phi_n(z)\}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, where $\phi_n(z)$ is of proper degree n , is orthonormal on the unit circle with respect to a weight function $w(z) = |(qz; q^2)_\infty / (aqz; q^2)_\infty|^2$, $a^2 q^2 < 1$.

$$\phi_n(z) = \frac{(a; q^2)_n}{(q^2; q^2)_n} {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} aq^2, q^{-2n} \\ a^{-1}q^{2-2n} \end{matrix}; q^2, \frac{qz}{a} \right) \quad (20)$$

where $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$.

A scale q can introduce polynomials but it may not be the case [Andrews 2009] as:

$$\beta_n(x, q) = (1 - q)^{1-n} \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r \binom{n}{r} \frac{r+1}{(1 - q^{r+1})} q^{rx} \quad (21)$$

where x is a real variable. $q \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|q| < 1$. $\beta_n(x, q)$ are rational functions of q , and not necessarily polynomials.

2.19 Nonzero Summand Polynomial

A product of positive integer powers of a fixed set of variables with a coefficient is a monomial. Without a coefficient, a monomial is called a term. The monomials x^k, x^l [Weisstein 2010i] are orthogonal on the unit circle as:

$$\oint_c z^k \bar{z}^l dz = 2\pi \delta_{kl} \quad (22)$$

2.20 Integer Argument

Special functions with integer arguments can construct polynomials.

$$\Gamma(n + 1) = n! \quad (23)$$

$$\psi(n + 1) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} - \gamma \quad (24)$$

where γ is the Euler's constant.

2.21 Bernoulli Polynomial

Bernoulli polynomials can be expanded by the Bernoulli number in finite steps. Similarly, Euler polynomials can be expanded by the Euler number with finite termination but cannot be done by Fourier and other series [Dilcher 2009]. Bell polynomials can be expanded by the Bell number [Weisstein 2010a].

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} B_k x^{n-k} \quad (25)$$

where k is nonnegative integer. B_k is the Bernoulli number.

2.22 Constant Polynomial

A polynomial $P(x)$ is evaluated in the domain and results in the same value.

$$P(x) = c \quad (26)$$

where $x \in R$ and c is a constant.

2.23 Numerical Polynomial

Numerical methods construct polynomials. Given $n + 1$ distinct points z_k and corresponding function value f_k , Lagrange interpolation polynomial [Temme 2009b] is

$$P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\omega_{n+1}(z)}{(z - z_k)\omega'_{n+1}(z_k)} f_k \quad (27)$$

where $\omega_{n+1}(z) = \prod_{k=0}^n (z - z_k)$ is the nodal polynomial.

2.24 Flow Polynomial

On a connected graph G with n vertices and m edges, the number of nowhere-zero u-flow is the flow polynomial [Weisstein 2010e] of the graph G given by

$$C(u) = (-1)^m R(-1, -u) = (-1)^{m-n+1} T(0, 1, -u) \quad (28)$$

where $R(x, y)$ is the rank polynomial and $T(x, y)$ is the Tutte polynomial.

There are many polynomials associated with graphs such as the Dichroic polynomial [Weisstein 2010c] and the idiosyncratic polynomial [Weisstein 2010f].

2.25 Knot Polynomial

Polynomials represent a knot invariant in different forms such as Jones polynomial and the generalized HOMFLY polynomial.

The left hand trefoil knot $V_{trefoil^*}$ can be represented as a polynomial in a real or complex variable t as:

$$V_{trefoil^*}(t) = t^{-1} + t^{-3} - t^{-4} \tag{29}$$

The relation between Jones polynomials and HOMFLY polynomials [Weisstein 2010j] can be represented as:

$$V(t) = P(a = t, z = t^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \tag{30}$$

Using Jones polynomial, the (m, n) -torus knot [Weisstein 2010k] is represented as:

$$V(t) = \frac{t^{(m-1)(n-1)/2}(1 - t^{m+1} - t^{n+1} + t^{m+n})}{1 - t^2} \tag{31}$$

2.26 Other Polynomial

Every polynomial with *degree* > 1 has at least one polynomial root. The explicit roots can be derived by the Horner’s scheme. The normalized system of products of Stieltjes polynomials [Sleeman and Kuznetsov 2009] forms an orthonormal basis in the Hilbert space $L^2_\rho(Q)$. Some special functions such as $3j, 6j, 9j$ symbols [Maximon 2009] can form polynomials. Essentially these functions can be determined by generalized hypergeometric parameters. In addition, three-term identities and recurrence relations [Askey and Daalhuis 2009] contain polynomials. Special functions with partitions and matrix arguments [Richards 2009] can construct polynomials. There are polynomials [Koorwinder et al. 2009] with multiple variables. Polynomial orthogonality exists on a d -dimensional simplex. Trigonometric polynomials with multiple variables may be orthogonal on a torus if certain symmetric properties are satisfied.

The polynomial quotient may have a polynomial remainder of $p(x) = Q(x)q(x) + R(x)$. An example is $p(x) = x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$, $q(x) = x^2 - 1$, $R(x) = 2x + 3$, and $Q(x) = x^2 + x + 2$. If a polynomial $P(x)$ is divided by $(x - r)$, the remainder is a constant given by $P(r)$.

There are 2,372 formulas [Eric 2010] collected for classical orthogonal polynomials, associated Legendre polynomials, spherical harmonics, and other polynomials.

Mathematical objects and operations construct polynomials. Polynomials can be formed by scale, order, degree, product, index, matrix argument, integer argument, interval, variable, parameter, basis, special number, symbol, partition, orthogonality, partition, integration, derivation, terminating series, vector, recurrence, factorial, constant, simplex, trigonometric function, solutions to differential equations, algebraic curve, coefficient, weight function, δ_{jk} , minimization, and numerical methods. Generalization and exponentiation construct non-polynomials.

Polynomials terminate in finite computation. Non-polynomials compute with infinite continuation. They exist in both real and imaginary domains. The difference is the structure of continuation. This article discusses the abstraction, structure, properties, topology, and policy of continuation to differentiate polynomials and non-polynomials. Mathematical objects and operations forming continuation can be obtained from the following sections.

3. CONTINUATION AS REMAINDER

A remainder [Temme 2009b] is a form of continuation existing between a polynomial and a non-polynomial.

3.1 Continuous Remainder

An auxiliary function of *sin* and *cos* integrals has a remainder.

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (-1)^m \frac{(2m)!}{z^{2m}} + R_n^{(f)}(z) \quad (32)$$

where $R_n^{(f)}(z)$ is the n th remainder. $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

This example illustrates that computation of special functions can construct remainders.

A $n + 1$ distinct point-based polynomial can be obtained with a small or a moderate $|z|$ as:

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \ell_k(z) f_k + R_n(z) \quad (33)$$

where f is analytic in a simply-connected domain. R is the n th order remainder. $R_n(z)$ is a contour integral enclosing the points. $\ell_k(z)$ is computed in [Temme 2009b].

A divided difference of $n + 1$ points as:

$$[z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n]f = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f(z_k)}{\prod_{0 \leq j \leq n, j \neq k} (z_k - z_j)} \quad (34)$$

where f is analytic in a simply-connected domain. $j \neq k$. We can obtain a distance-based polynomial as given by

$$f(z) = [z_0]f + (z - z_0)[z_0, z_1]f + (z - z_0)(z - z_1)[z_0, z_1, z_2]f + \dots + (z - z_0)(z - z_1) \cdots (z - z_{n-1})[z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n]f + R_n(z) \quad (35)$$

where R is the n th order remainder. It is a contour integral enclosing the point $z_0, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n$.

A differentiation of $n + 1$ points with step size h is given by

$$hf'_t = \sum_{k=n_0}^{n_1} B_k^n f_k + hR'_{n,t} \quad (36)$$

where the last term represents the n th remainder. $n_0 < t < n_1$. B_k^n is the differentiated Lagrangian interpolation coefficient.

Convolution results in a remainder.

$$I(x) = \sum_{d < \Re z < c} \text{res}[x^{-z} \mathcal{M}(f; 1 - z) \mathcal{M}(h; z)] + R(x) \quad (37)$$

where $x > 0$.

$$R(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{d-i\infty}^{d+i\infty} x^{-z} \mathcal{M}(f; 1-z) \mathcal{M}(h; z) dz \quad (38)$$

As the trapezoidal rule holds,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) dt = h \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f(kh) + E_h(f) \quad (39)$$

where $f(t)$ is analytic in a strip containing \mathbb{R} . The last term represents the n th remainder.

An integration of n points and weight function $w(x)$ is

$$\int_a^b f(x) w(x) ds = \sum_{k=1}^n w_k f(x_k) + E_n(f) \quad (40)$$

where the last term represents the n th remainder. w is the weight function.

An adaptive procedure computes remainders with interactions.

$$\int_a^b f(x) ds - G_k\left(\frac{b-a}{2^j}\right) = -\frac{(b-a)^{2k+3}}{2^{k(k+1)}} \frac{4^{-j(k+1)}}{(2k+2)!} |B_{2k+2}| f^{2k+2}(\xi) \quad (41)$$

where $\xi \in (a, b)$. $f \in C^{2k+2}(a, b)$. $j, k = 0, 1, \dots$ B_m is the Bernoulli number. $G_k(\frac{b-a}{2^j})$ is computed in [Temme 2009b].

With respect to a positive weight function w on a finite or infinite interval (a, b) , we can obtain an exact polynomial of *degree* $\leq 2n-1$ with a set of monic orthogonal polynomials $\{p_n\}$. The remainder is

$$E_n(f) = \frac{(\int_a^b p_n^2(x) w(x) dx) f^{(2n)}(\xi)}{2n!} \quad (42)$$

Similarly, for a complex integration,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} e^{\zeta} \zeta^{-s} f(\zeta) d\zeta = \sum_{k=1}^n w_k f(\zeta_k) + E_n(f) \quad (43)$$

where $s > 0, c > c_0 > 0$. w_k is a weight function. The last term represents the n th remainder.

For an exceptionally small precision such as $s = 1$ and $f(\zeta) = e^{-2\lambda\sqrt{\zeta}}$ as $\lambda > 0$ is large, we use inversion of Laplace transform

$$erfc(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} e^{\zeta - 2\lambda\sqrt{\zeta}} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta} \quad (44)$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. $c > 0$.

With variable transformation, the above integrand can be extended as a trapezoid with a periodic C^∞ function on \mathbb{R} . Hence, Equation 39 can be applied to the n th remainder. For higher dimensional integrals, Monte Carlo methods are the alternatives. If the sample points can be selected in the region to contribute to integrals, these methods have an error of order $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$ in case of N function values.

Computing an ordinary differential equation as:

$$\frac{dw^2}{dz^2} + f(z)\frac{dw}{dz} + g(z)w = h(z) \quad (45)$$

For an ordinary linear differential equation, in the case of fast growth or decaying of wanted solutions, initial values can be used to apply successive computation. After Taylor series expand with remainders, both forward and backward methods can be used. In the case when the rate of growth is intermediate, the boundary value and Olver's algorithm can be used for finite steps of computation with remainders. For linear and nonlinear differential equations, Runge-Kutta rules truncate a Taylor series. Eigenvalue problems for a real function $q(x)$ are converted into a tridiagonal matrix problem in which the elements of the matrix are polynomials in λ for equation

$$\frac{d^2w_k}{dx^2} + (\lambda_k - q(x))w_k = 0 \quad (46)$$

where $w_k(a) = w_k(b) = 0$.

Zeros of polynomials can be computed as degree $n \leq 4$. When $n \geq 5$, there are rounding errors contributing to remainders in processes of deflation. The iterative methods may converge locally or quadratically with remainders. Computation of zeros of polynomials is ill-posed. Zeros of analytic functions can be computed by iterative procedures. The rules obtain convergence locally or cubically with remainders.

The nonlinear equation $f(z) = 0$ can be computed by iterative methods. Iterative methods converge locally, quadratically, and linearly, to a solution in a neighborhood. The convergence leaves remainders in computation.

$$z_{n+1} = z_n - \frac{f(z_n)}{f'(z_n)} \quad (47)$$

where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

or

$$z_{n+1} = z_n - \frac{(\phi(z_n) - z_n)^2}{\phi(\phi(z_n)) - 2\phi(z_n) + z_n} \quad (48)$$

Computing a system of nonlinear equations has fixed-point iterations and uses different methods. Computing fixed-point problems with convergence of iterative methods may not be predictable.

$$z_{n+1} = \phi(z_n) \quad (49)$$

Iteration starting from zeros of ± 1 and $\pm i$ converges. But convergence cannot be predicted for the arbitrary starting point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.

All convergent, asymptotic, or formal series can be converted into a continued fraction C of type

$$C = b_0 + \frac{a_1}{b_1 + \frac{a_2}{b_2 + \dots}} \quad (50)$$

where $a_n \neq 0$. The n th convergent $C_n = A_n/B_n$ to C is equal to n th partial sum of the series

$$u_0 + u_1 + \cdots + u_n \quad (51)$$

The quotient-difference algorithm is unstable and requires high precision or exact arithmetic. Computation of three-term recurrence relations is not stable. Backward recurrence iterates with a prior knowledge or uses trial by error. A forward series recurrence algorithm has no scaling issues and the n th convergent is equal to the n th partial sum. A priori information is not needed. Steed's algorithm has efficiency and stability in the computation of the convergents. Approximated solutions have remainders to exact solutions.

3.2 Discrete Remainder

Computing linear system $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$, Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting does factorization. An iterative procedure solves remainder $\delta\mathbf{x}$ as $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} + \delta\mathbf{x}$ with residual vector $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{Ax}$ due to rounding errors.

For linear difference equations, computing second-order recurrence relations has a remainder due to rounding errors unless exact arithmetic is used.

$$a_n w_{n+1} - b_n w_n + c_n w_{n-1} = d_n \quad (52)$$

To restore stability due to rapid growth of unwanted solutions, backward recursion is used to cut continuation. For backward recursion, the values of w_N and w_{N+1} may be available from asymptotic expansions or selecting arbitrary trial values to start the backward recurrence. In the case of an intermediate rate of solution growth, boundary-value methods cut continuation by leaving remainders. We cannot obtain exact polynomials. Truncations are required for difference equations of order $k(\geq 3)$. To determine optimum prescribed relative accuracy ϵ for a given bounded value, M can be used for a finite computation [Temme 2009b]. Approximation methods cut continuation with remainders.

3.3 Other Remainders

Machine capacity and industry standards construct polynomials by remaining errors. Level-index arithmetic constructs continuation by eliminating computing overflow and underflow by a finite precision arithmetic numbers and generalized logarithms $\ln_\ell(x)$.

4. ANALYTIC CONTINUATION

To study multi-value structures, we use a combination of connection formulas, contour integration, asymptotic expansion, and special functions. Connection formulas provide relatively small continuation in analysis with elementary functions. Connection formulas may not be available for every problem and continuation. Discontinuity occurs at the boundaries of sectors since they do not provide smooth transitions. No progress indicator is a major disadvantage of connection formulas.

Asymptotic expansions rely on large z analysis, which does not give exponential small continuation. For real functions, non-asymptomatic methods are preferred. We cannot construct generalized asymptotic expansions to study original functions because many properties of asymptomatic expansions cannot be applied to generalized asymptotic expansions. Even generalized asymptotic expansion converges; its sum is not the function being represented asymptotically.

A contour integral provides analytical continuation. Since both parameters and functions may have poles or singularities, fixing poles may raise manifold structures to higher dimensions. This method relies on knowledge of integration paths. In addition, contour integrals may require auxiliary functions of special functions. Transformations such as $M(f; z)$ or $I^\mu f(x)$ may require knowledge of kernel structures or phase shifts. To reduce restrictions, index structures may be required. To obtain detailed transformation results, a specific function may be required. In divergent integrations, distribution and regularization require specific problem formulation.

In a process of computation expansions, computation can convolute with a continuation structure to determine the continuity. A Heaviside function acts like a weight function to construct orthogonal polynomials on the line. This can be a process of polynomial computation and a result of verification.

If continuation with connectivity is required, then a special function, contour integration, and asymptotic expansion are preferred. Special functions such as *erfc* can provide continuation with smooth transitions. Greedy algorithms can continue with such expansions.

If continuation with connectivity is not permitted, or not necessary, or unknown conditions such as computation timeout happened, then connection formulas can be used to switch on different branches. This causes disconnection, which creates a polynomial by cutting off the non-polynomial and leaves with continuation. In the case of heavy computational *I/O*, to avoid phase operations in the imaginary domain causing sequential overheads, we can use threads. This constructs different branches of computation. The context and scope of each thread represents its entire function. Global accessibility represents the values on the branch cut. The branch point is an initial point. Dynamic programming techniques combine branching and expansion to provide continuation. Divide and conquer techniques create fractions as branches. Each fraction of computation keeps continuation in the above manner. However, connecting each fraction together applies the same techniques.

In the case of discontinuity, requests to use connection formulas may not be available. The requests may be denied or may be involved with phase operations. Generalization of continuation structures should be considered. In the case of cut off originating from control paths such as security, policies or computational crashes, shutting down, a weight function or a delta function are available to construct orthogonal polynomials on the line, the unit circle, a disk, a triangle, a torus, a root system, or even higher dimensions.

5. ENTIRE CONTINUATION

Continuation can be entire. *erfc* z is entire. It can smooth transitions to analyze the terminant function. Additionally, it does upper plane checking. Hence, we study continuation using *erfc* z as:

$$\operatorname{erfc} z = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_z^\infty e^{-t^2} dt \quad (53)$$

By definition, integrands with e^{-t^2} generate continuation. Generalized forms are e^{-t^p} . Continuation is ubiquitous without specific problem formulation and restriction of integration paths. A problem may contain poles, singularities, branch points, and cuts. There is a set of functions that are closely related to *erfc*. Dawson's integral is in the lower plane. A Fresnel integral is in both the upper and lower plane.

An exponential function and generalized exponential function are entire. They are related to $erfc$. $\sin z$ and $\cos z$ are entire. But they are restricted to be bounded and periodic. They do not need restarting but they have a single period, which should be generalized. Among exponential, sine, and cosine integrals, $E_1(z)$ is on the upper plane. $Ein(z)$ is entire. $Si(z)$ and $Cin(z)$ are odd or even entire but in the lower plane. $Si(z)$, $Cin(z)$, and their auxiliary functions can be represented by $E_1(z)$. Integrals with exponentials and rational functions in the form of $\int e^z R(z) dz$ can be represented by elementary functions and $E_1(z)$. $E_1(z)$, $Si(z)$, $Cin(z)$ can be represented by confluent hypergeometric function $U(a, b, z)$. However, exponentially small expansions of the integrals are related to $erfc$.

${}_pF_q$ is entire as $p \leq q$. It is discussed in the following sections. There are related functions. γ is entire in both z and a . $\frac{1}{\Gamma(z)}$ is entire with simple zeros at $z = -n$. It is a straight Mellin Transform of e^{-t} in the upper plane. It becomes meromorphic. Many special functions are combined with $\Gamma(z)$. The principle branch of hypergeometric function $F(a, b, c; z)$ is an entire function of a, b, c parameters, not z . All solutions of parabolic cylinder functions are entire of z, a, v . But they have two parameters. $M(a, b, z)$ is entire in z and a , meromorphic of b . The updated M is entire in a, b, z . Except for $z = 0$, each branch of U is entire in a and b . When $p = q$, the generalized left hand of F is an entire function. All solutions of Airy functions are entire in z . Airy related Scorer functions are entire in z . Whittaker functions are entire in parameters except at the branch point. All solutions of Airy equations are entire in z . Generalized sin and cos integrals are an entire function of a .

A set of special functions is entire with conditions or restrictions. A Bessel function of the first kind is entire in z but it requires integer orders. With fixed z , each branch is entire in order. Each branch of the second kind is entire in order. For fixed z (not 0), each branch of the third kind is entire in order. Solutions of some equations are entire with conditions. With fixed z , other than ± 1 or ∞ , each branch of Legendre is an entire function of each parameter v, u . But z has to be fixed. The Weierstrass Elliptic and modular function δ are entire. Solutions of Mathieu's equations are entire in z . Given an initial constant value of variable at z_0 , the solution is an entire function if there are three variables z, a, q . For fixed z , solutions of Modified Bessel equations I and K are entire in order. If the dirichlet character is not equal, the L function is an entire function of s . Solutions of Spheroidal Wave functions are entire in (x, y, z) space with $b_1 = b_2 = 0$. Struve functions multiplied with z power forms entire functions of z and v . The Weierstrass function elliptic function δ is entire and odd with simple zeros at lattice points. Anger-Weber functions are entire of z and order ν .

6. CONTINUATION INTERNAL

A complementary error function is a ubiquitous tool. Leaving flexibility to integration of computational procedures, continuation is analyzed by the complementary error function [Temme 2009a]. Due to hidden factors of determining continuation, this section analyzes continuation with complex parameters and variables.

6.1 Upper Plane

The complementary error function $erfc(z)$ is entire and it has one point where $erfc(z) = 1$ by definition. In addition, $erfc(z)$ has a relation [Temme 2009a] with a standard confluent hypergeometric function $U(a, b, z)$, an incomplete gamma function $\Gamma(a, z)$, and a

generalized exponential function $E_p(z)$.

$$\operatorname{erfc}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-z^2} U\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, z^2\right) = \frac{z}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-z^2} U\left(1, \frac{3}{2}, z^2\right) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, z^2\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}} = \frac{z}{\sqrt{\pi}} E_{\frac{1}{2}}(z^2) \quad (54)$$

The relations show that a confluent hypergeometric function, an incomplete gamma function, and a generalized exponential function can provide structures of continuation. They can form non-polynomials.

6.1.1 Complementary of Errors.

$$\operatorname{erfc}(z) = 1 - \operatorname{erf}(z) \quad (55)$$

This means more errors, less continuation, and fewer errors, more continuation. Errors can cut non-polynomials to polynomials. Non-polynomials can be constructed by continuation.

6.1.2 Series Expansion. With series expansion,

$$\operatorname{erfc}(z) = 1 - \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (2^{(-\frac{1}{2}-3k)} H_{1+2k}(z))}{(1+2k)k!}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \quad (56)$$

where $H_{1+2k}(z)$ is the Hermite polynomial.

Hence, continuation is constructed by the orthogonal polynomial on the unit circle. Continuation exists in the real domain and the imaginary domain.

6.1.3 *Change of Continuation.* Changes of continuation result in entire structures without real and complex zeros.

$$\frac{d}{dz} \operatorname{erfc}(z) = -\frac{2e^{-z^2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \quad (57)$$

$$\frac{d^{n+1}}{dz^{n+1}} \operatorname{erfc}(z) = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} H_n(z) e^{-z^2} \quad (58)$$

where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

This means changes of continuation have an imaginary period $2\pi i$. An individual change of continuation forms an entire structure without real and complex zeros. Continuous changes of continuation contribute to an orthogonal polynomial class in the unit circle. Continuous changes of continuation exist in the real domain.

A Hermite polynomial can be written as:

$$H_n(z) = (2z)^n {}_2F_0\left(-\frac{1}{2}n, -\frac{1}{2}(n-1); ; -z^2\right) \quad (59)$$

where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

In the right half plane, it can be simplified as:

$$H_n(z) = 2^n U\left(-\frac{1}{2}n, \frac{1}{2}, z^2\right) \quad (60)$$

This helps to understand that the right half plane contains continuation.

$$\int \operatorname{erfc}(z) dz = z \operatorname{erfc}(z) - \frac{e^{-z^2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} + \text{constant} \quad (61)$$

The trace of the entire continuation keeps the entire structure.

$$\int_0^\infty \operatorname{erfc}(z) dz \approx 0.56419 \dots \quad (62)$$

Entire continuation will not be canceled.

6.1.4 *Continuation Distribution.* Continuation along a negative real domain results in a normal distribution. The distribution function of continuation with mean m and standard deviation σ is given by

$$\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-\frac{(t-m)^2}{2\sigma^2}} dt = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{m-x}{\sigma\sqrt{2}}\right) \quad (63)$$

The distribution relation shows that the continuation structure should be studied by the special function instead of traditional statistical methods.

6.1.5 *Generalized Continuation.* Continuation can be generalized as:

$$w(z) = e^{-z^2} \operatorname{erfc}(-iz) \quad (64)$$

The generalized continuation function is entire. It has complex roots, which means it is possible to construct orthogonal polynomials in the unit circle. Changes of the continuation function do not create new structures.

$$w'(z) = -2zw(z) + \left(\frac{2i}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right) \quad (65)$$

$$w^{(n+2)}(z) + 2zw^{(n+1)}(z) + 2(n+1)w^{(n)}(z) = 0 \quad (66)$$

where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Hence, obtaining three terms, we can compute generalized continuation. This means after the three terms change, we can obtain exact continuation behaviors. w is generalized continuation. w' is generalized continuation throughput. w'' is the rate of change of the generalized continuation. A further continuation generalization is $\int_0^x e^{-t^p} dt$ or $\int_0^x e^{t^p} dt$ can be represented by the incomplete gamma function $\gamma(a, z)$.

6.1.6 *Symmetry of Continuation.* In the left-half plane, the remainder differs from 2 by an exponentially small quantity.

$$\operatorname{erfc}(-z) = 2 - \operatorname{erfc}(z) \quad (67)$$

This means that any point in computational data paths can be checked with current computational results. It is possible to compute previous records without backward execution. We can compute continuation in the negative domain by obtaining continuation in the positive domain. It is possible to take a snap shot of continuation for analysis.

6.1.7 *Inversion of Continuation.* Only real continuation $x = \text{erfc}(y)$ has inversion $y = \text{inverfc}(x)$ where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. This means backward methods are possible to reduce recessive computation but do not eliminate continuation. In addition, for real continuation, computation can move backward to adjust continuation then move forward to continue computation again.

$$\text{erfc}(\text{erfc}^{-1}(x)) = \text{erfc}^{-1}(\text{erfc}(x)) \quad (68)$$

In the real domain, it is possible to verify continuation by inverse checking of requirements, documentation, etc.

6.1.8 *Iterative Continuation.* Due to iterated computational procedures, the integration of the continuation is defined as

$$i^n \text{erfc}(z) = \int_z^\infty i^{n-1} \text{erfc}(t) dt = \frac{e^{-z^2}}{2^n \sqrt{\pi}} U\left(\frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, z^2\right) \quad (69)$$

where $U(a, b, z^2)$ is a confluent hypergeometric function. $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

There are two parameters to determine that there is entire structure of the continuation. Confluent hypergeometric function can construct structures of continuation.

$$\frac{d}{dz} i^n \text{erfc}(z) = -i^{n-1} \text{erfc}(z) \quad (70)$$

where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

A change of iterative changes of continuation moves to the most recent structure. Individual change of iterative continuation can construct non-polynomials.

$$i^n \text{erfc}(z) = -\frac{z}{n} i^{n-1} \text{erfc}(z) + \frac{1}{2n} i^{n-2} \text{erfc}(z) \quad (71)$$

where $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

The two most recent iterations contribute to the current iteration. With any of the two iterations, we can compute the other iterations. Iterative continuation can construct continuation.

A special case of Equation 66 is

$$\frac{d^2 w(z)}{dz^2} + 2z \frac{dw(z)}{dz} - 2nw(z) = 0 \quad (72)$$

where $w(z) = Ai^n \text{erfc}(z) + Bi^n \text{erfc}(-z)$. A, B are constants. $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

A special case of $w(z)$ is a polynomial representation of the continuation relation as

$$(-1)^n i^n \text{erfc}(z) + i^n \text{erfc}(-z) = \frac{i^{-n}}{2^{n-1} n!} H_n(iz) \quad (73)$$

Revisiting depends on point z . $-z$ represents iterative continuation and continued reuse of a procedure or a point. It forms a closure to create polynomials in real and imaginary domains. This is further evidence to show that computational continuation in the real domain can construct polynomials in real and imaginary domains. This means high hit ratio, utilization, and decoupling of cache, buffers, storage, etc., can provide polynomial

computation in real and imaginary domains. This includes decomposition of client server requests and responses. This also means that building and reusing a countable large number of points, components, systems, and architectures helps polynomial computation. In addition, a parity can be adjusted to cut in real or imaginary domains.

Iterative continuation forms a parabolic cylinder structure as:

$$i^n \operatorname{erfc}(z) = \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2^{n-1}\pi}} U\left(n + \frac{1}{2}, z\sqrt{2}\right) \quad (74)$$

where $U\left(n + \frac{1}{2}, z\sqrt{2}\right)$ is a parabolic cylinder structure, which can be represented as a confluent hypergeometric function. This means both parabolic cylinder and confluent hypergeometric functions contain the structures of iterative continuation. They can form non-polynomials.

The iterative probability relation holds as:

$$i^n \operatorname{erfc}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n-1}\pi}} Hh_n(\sqrt{2}z) \quad (75)$$

where $Hh_n(\sqrt{2}z)$ is a probability function that can be represented as a confluent hypergeometric function.

Hence, distribution and uncertainty contribute to iterative continuation. They can form structures of non-polynomials. In practice, a recursion scheme can be used to construct iterative continuation to form non-polynomials.

6.1.9 Decomposition by Real Variable. By knowing where and when the continuation occurs, we can decompose the complex continuation as:

$$U(x, t) + iV(x, t) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4t}} e^{z^2} \operatorname{erfc}(z) \quad (76)$$

where $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$. $t > 0$. $z = \frac{(1-ix)}{2\sqrt{t}}$.

Hence, modulus and phase are two real quantities to evaluate continuation. If t and x grow, U will decrease and V will increase then decrease. Both modulus and phase complexity can contribute to computation in the imaginary domain to reduce continuation in the real domain. A significant result is that we can construct continuation by any given pair of real quantities of modulus and phase. This means it is possible to provide continuation without discontinuity or loss of availability. On the other hand, tests to obtain real quantities can construct the structure of continuation. A pair of real measurements can construct non-polynomials.

6.1.10 Decomposition by Complex Variable. In addition to decomposition by real variables, we can also decompose continuation with a complex variable. An imaginary variable has to be double-checked in the imaginary domain. It cannot be verified in the real domain by execution of the data path. Verification cannot be done by re-execution of computational data paths. Some of results are not repeatable in double checking.

$$f(z) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - S(z)\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}z^2\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} - C(z)\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}z^2\right) \quad (77)$$

$$g(z) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - C(z)\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}z^2\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - S(z)\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}z^2\right) \quad (78)$$

With a specific substitution $\zeta = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\pi}(1 \mp i)z$,

$$g(z) \pm if(z) = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm i)e^{\zeta^2} \operatorname{erfc}\zeta \quad (79)$$

If the condition holds, it is possible to construct continuations with the pair of continuation. $g(z)$ represents the real part and $f(z)$ contributes to the imaginary domain. Real continuation $g(z)$ and imaginary continuation $f(z)$ are equivalent to an upper plane continuation with the condition of variable substitution.

$$\frac{df(z)}{dz} = -\pi z g(z) \quad (80)$$

The real and the imaginary continuation are interchangeable. Hence, we should provide traces for changes. In practice, this includes source control systems, documentation, and reports. Real continuation adds reports, traces, experience, and knowledge. Imaginary continuation adds actions, controls, or adjustments to real continuation.

$$\frac{dg(z)}{dz} = \pi z f(z) - 1 \quad (81)$$

The upper plane does not have straight symmetry as the lower plane does. There are more symmetric conditions. In addition, the relation may not always be repeatable. Hence, the pair in the upper plane should be traced. In practice, this includes logs, reports, and tracking systems.

With integral representation, the following contours are specified.

$$f(z) = \frac{(2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \zeta^{-s} \Gamma(s) \Gamma\left(s + \frac{1}{2}\right) \times \Gamma\left(s + \frac{3}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} - s\right) ds \quad (82)$$

$$g(z) = \frac{(2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \zeta^{-s} \Gamma(s) \Gamma\left(s + \frac{1}{2}\right) \times \Gamma\left(s + \frac{1}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4} - s\right) ds \quad (83)$$

The integration paths are straight lines parallel to the imaginary axis, $\zeta = \frac{1}{16}\pi^2 z^4$ and c is a constant such that $0 < c < \frac{1}{4}$ in Equation 82 and $0 < c < \frac{3}{4}$ in Equation 83. $g(z) \pm if(z)$ requires infinity in the imaginary plane to replace the upper plane continuation in addition to restriction of the variable. The contours give flexibility to deal with branches, poles, etc.

We need to combine real $g(z)$ and imaginary continuation $f(z)$ in the lower plane to obtain results of the upper plane continuation. $g(z)$ does execution of computational data paths. $if(z)$ does calculation, mental reasoning, visual inspection, manual checking, requirement analysis, reporting, documentation, etc. It also includes control paths such as policies and rules. It is possible to unify the upper plane checking with the lower plane continuation. However, due to the limit to $i\infty$, it is hard to do complete continuation.

6.1.11 *Errors in Upper Plane.* In the upper plane, there are phase errors.

$$\mathcal{F}(z) = \int_z^\infty e^{\frac{1}{2}\pi it^2} dt \quad (84)$$

Continuation in the upper plane can be represented as phase operations. Errors can be transformed into the lower plane (see Equation 93). This is the cause of asymptotic behaviors in the lower plane.

6.1.12 *Real Continuation Bound.* To evaluate the effects of real quantities, a ratio of continuation is bounded if $M(x) = e^{x^2} \operatorname{erfc}(x)$.

$$\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{\pi x + 2}} \leq M(x) < \frac{1}{x + 1} \quad (85)$$

where $x \geq 0$.

Large modulus represents small continuation. Small modulus represents large continuation. This relation illustrates the bounds of real completion. Continuation does not vanish in the real domain. Even though the theoretic non-polynomial exists, computation problems are polynomial in the real domain, which is terminated by machine capacity and computation precision.

6.1.13 *Zeros of Continuation.* In the second quadrant of \mathbb{C} , erfc has an infinite set of zeros $z_n = x_n + iy_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$. The other zeros are \bar{z}_n . Hence, the left half of the plane contains all possible zeros. This proves that we do have infinite polynomial computation in history. Due to the asymmetry of the zeros, only backward checking can pass these zeros. Polynomial checking is possible. Backward checking can be done in the lower plane or the real domain. However, all future computation contains continuation. This is the disadvantage of the upper plane continuation. In the first quadrant of \mathbb{C} , $1 - \operatorname{erfc}(z)$ has an infinite set of zeros $z_n = x_n + iy_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$. The other zeros are conjugates of $-z_n, \bar{z}, -\bar{z}_n$. Errors are possible in the entire plane. Infinite errors possibly exist in all kinds of computation. A simple zero of $1 - \operatorname{erfc}(z)$ proves that we need a polynomial bootstrap for any computational procedure given an arbitrary starting point. This also proves that to support polynomial procedures, hosting environments such as operating systems and platforms that are convoluted and shared by computational procedures are non-polynomials excluding the arbitrary starting point. Otherwise computational environments may form infinite poles or non-removable singularities for computational procedures. As a consequence, computation will become non-polynomial and transform into phase operations.

6.1.14 *Parameter Specialization.* The relations between erfc and $\Gamma(a, z)$ or E_1 show that only one parameter exists in the upper plane continuation. The parameter could represent how much work is left. erfc to $U(a, b, z^2)$ means two parameters in the upper plane continuation. The parameters could show how much work is done and how much is left. In the lower plane, C, S to F has ${}_1F_2$ with three parameters. These parameters could show what is done, what is left, and what the problem is now. Unification can move continuation from the lower plane to the upper plane. Specialization can move continuation from the upper plane to the lower plane. The advantage is that the lower plane requires having more control parameters instead of knowing kernels or integration paths.

$$\operatorname{erfc} z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-z^2} U\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, z^2\right) = \frac{z}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-z^2} U\left(1, \frac{3}{2}, z^2\right) \quad (86)$$

The specification of parameters for $U(a, b, z)$ shows that with the two fixed parameters $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$, continuation is non-polynomial in z . In addition, we can evaluate the exponentially improved bounds [Daalhuis 2009a] of continuation as:

$$U(a, b, z) = -z^{-a} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \frac{(a)_s (a-b+1)_s}{s!} (-z)^{-s} + R_n(a, b, z) \quad (87)$$

and

$$R_n(a, b, z) = \frac{(-1)^n 2\pi z^{a-b}}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(a-b+1)} \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} \frac{(1-a)_s (b-a)_s}{s!} (-z)^{-s} G_{n+2a-b-s}(z) \\ + (1-a)_m (b-a)_m R_{m,n}(a, b, z) \quad (88)$$

where m is an arbitrary non-negative integer, and

$$G_p(z) = \frac{e^z}{2\pi} \Gamma(p) \Gamma(1-p, z) \quad (89)$$

Then as $z \rightarrow \infty$ with $||z| - n|$ bounded and a, b, m fixed

$$R_{m,n}(a, b, z) = \begin{cases} O(e^{-|z|} z^{-m}) & \text{for } |phz| \leq \pi \\ O(e^z z^{-m}) & \text{for } \pi \leq |phz| \leq \frac{5}{2}\pi - \delta \end{cases} \quad (90)$$

where $R_{m,n}(a, b, z)$ is independent of a, b .

6.2 Lower Plane

An alternative is to construct continuation by $C(z)$ and $S(z)$. Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} C(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} S(x) = \frac{1}{2}$, $C(x)$ with $S(x)$ should be combined as a pair in the real domain. Similarly, in the lower plane, we have a pair as

$$C(z) = \int_0^z \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi t^2\right) dt \quad (91)$$

$$S(z) = \int_0^z \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi t^2\right) dt \quad (92)$$

These are entire functions with the same phase. With the Laplace kernel, $C(z)$ and $S(z)$ can be transformed as $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ to construct continuation in the upper plane. In addition, the lower plane can represent the phase errors in the upper plane.

$$C(z) + iS(z) = \frac{1}{2}(1+i) - \mathcal{F}(z) \quad (93)$$

The modulus of $\mathcal{F}(x)$ can be calculated by the pair $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ when $x \leq 0$.

$$|\mathcal{F}(x)|^2 = 2 + f^2(-x) + g^2(-x) - 2\sqrt{2} \cos\left(\frac{1}{4}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\pi x^2\right) f(-x) - 2\sqrt{2} \cos\left(\frac{1}{4}\pi - \frac{1}{2}\pi x^2\right) g(-x) \quad (94)$$

Lower plane computation contains both modulus errors and phase errors.

$$e^{-z^2} \int_0^z e^{t^2} dt \quad (95)$$

The changes of the pair in the lower plane result in trigonometric relations with the same phase.

$$\frac{d}{dz} S(z) = \sin \frac{\pi z^2}{2} \quad (96)$$

$$\frac{d}{dz} C(z) = \cos \frac{\pi z^2}{2} \quad (97)$$

The traces of the pair in the lower plane do not create new structures.

$$\int C(z) dz = zC(z) - \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi z^2}{2})}{\pi} + constant \quad (98)$$

$$\int S(z) dz = zS(z) + \frac{\cos(\frac{\pi z^2}{2})}{\pi} + constant \quad (99)$$

The symmetric relations in the lower plane are represented as:

$$C(-z) = -C(z), S(-z) = -S(z) \quad (100)$$

$$C(iz) = iC(z), S(iz) = -iS(z) \quad (101)$$

Hence, the lower plane relations are repeatable. We do not need to trace them. In practice, there is no need to log the results of unit tests.

7. CONTINUATION EXTERNAL

The internal structures and properties of continuation reveal that the fixed parameters cannot remove continuation by themselves. To further study polynomials and non-polynomials, we need to consider the externals of continuation.

7.1 Structural Continuation

A dependency between an incomplete structure and a complete structure contains continuation.

$$\Gamma(a+1) \frac{e^{\pm\pi ia}}{2\pi i} \Gamma(-a, ze^{\pm\pi i}) = \mp \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}(\pm i\eta \sqrt{\frac{a}{2}}) + iT(a, \eta) \quad (102)$$

With parameters, we can generalize external effects to continuation. However, it is possible to adjust continuation by parameter a . Hence, parameters can contribute to continuation construction. Generalized hypergeometric functions [Askey and Daalhuis 2009]

have parameter and index relations to determine continuation. Uniform asymptotic expansions for large parameters of incomplete Gamma and related functions [Paris 2009] contain continuation. Some parabolic cylinder functions [Temme 2009c] contain continuation. Probability functions [Temme 2009a] may cause repeated continuation. This also means repeated continuation may lead to uncertainty. Asymptotic expansion [Paris 2009] of the beta function in a symmetric case contains continuation. Special cases of Whittaker functions [Daalhuis 2009a] contain continuation.

7.2 Asymptotic Continuation

Remaining parts of the original problem can be abstracted because the terms exist in the asymptotic expansion of the original non-polynomial as continuation.

$$f(z) = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} a_s z^{-s} + O(z^{-n}) \quad (103)$$

where $z \rightarrow \infty$.

7.3 Solution Continuation of Equation

Special function solutions [Clarkson 2009] of the fourth Painleve equation include continuation.

7.4 Coefficients

If a uniform expansion has coefficients that do not possess a removable singularity, the expansion [Paris 2009] may contain continuation as:

$$d(\pm\chi) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\pi} e^{\frac{\chi^2}{2}} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\pm\frac{\chi}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \quad (104)$$

where $\chi = \frac{(z-a)}{\sqrt{z}}$.

Coefficients can construct continuation and provide non-polynomials in expansions.

7.5 Continued Fractions

Continued fractions contain continuation. Continued fractions can form non-polynomials.

$$\sqrt{\pi} e^{z^2} \operatorname{erfc} z = \frac{z}{z^2+1} \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{z^2+1} \frac{1}{z^2+1} \frac{\frac{3}{2}}{z^2+1} \frac{2}{z^2+1} \dots, \quad (105)$$

where $\Re z > 0$.

7.6 Continuation of Special Values

Special values of some special functions contain continuation.

$$Q\left(n + \frac{1}{2}, z^2\right) = \frac{e^{-z^2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{z^{2k-1}}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k} + \operatorname{erfc}(z) \quad (106)$$

$$I_x(a, b) \sim \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(-\eta\sqrt{\frac{b}{2}}\right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(a+b)}} \left(\frac{x}{x_0}\right)^a \left(\frac{1-x}{1-x_0}\right)^b \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k c_k(\eta)}{(a+b)^k} \quad (107)$$

7.7 Continuation of Addition

Addition of special functions can result in continuation. Addition can construct non-polynomials.

$$n!U\left(n + \frac{1}{2}, z\right) = i^n e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{2}}\right)U\left(-n - \frac{1}{2}, iz\right) + \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor} U\left(2m - n - \frac{1}{2}, z\right) \quad (108)$$

where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

7.8 Continuation of Integration

In addition to the integrands containing e^{t^2} , some integration can result in continuation. Integration can construct non-polynomials.

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-at}}{\sqrt{t+z^2}} dt = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} e^{az^2} \operatorname{erfc}(\sqrt{a}z) \quad (109)$$

where $\Re a > 0, \Re z > 0$.

Sums construct continuation studied in [Prudnikov et al. 1986].

7.9 Continuation of Infinite Series

Infinite series cause continuation.

$$\operatorname{erfc} z \approx \frac{e^{-z^2}}{\sqrt{\pi}z} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2m-1)}{(2z^2)^m} \quad (110)$$

where $\Re a > 0, \Re z > 0$.

7.10 Continuation of Asymptotic Representation

Asymptotic representation of a special function contains continuation. For an incomplete Gamma function $P(a+1, x)$, we have

$$P(a+1, x) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}(-y) - \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi a}} (1+y^2) e^{-y^2} + O(a^{-1}) \quad (111)$$

This means erfc only represents a small continuation. There are other types of continuation. We focus on small and exponentially small continuation since their existence can be used to analyze the difference between polynomials and non-polynomials. Hence, $\operatorname{erfc}(z)$ is the selected tool for this work.

7.11 Interactions between Upper Plane and Lower Plane

Errors cause continuation in the upper plane.

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-at} \operatorname{erf}(bt) dt = \frac{1}{a} e^{\frac{a^2}{4b^2}} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{a}{2b}\right) \quad (112)$$

where $\Re a > 0, |phb| < \frac{\pi}{4}$.

Upper plane phase operations transform into a pair of lower plane continuation in both the real and imaginary domains. Computational procedures with dynamic programming methods have continuation as:

$$\int_z^\infty e^{\frac{1}{2}\pi it^2} dt = -C(z) - iS(z) + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2}\right) \quad (113)$$

Changes of continuation in the lower plane are still flow structures with two pairs of the fixed parameters.

A modulus operation can create imaginary errors in the lower plane.

$$I(z) = e^{-z^2} \int_0^z e^{t^2} dt = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\pi}e^{-z^2} \operatorname{erfi}(z) \quad (114)$$

Imaginary errors have symmetry. These errors exist in both negative or positive domains. Greedy computational procedures minimize the imaginary errors symmetrically as $\lim_{z \rightarrow \pm\infty} I(z) = 0$. Hence, from the positive domain, we can manage the lower plane continuation in entire plane.

$$\int I(z) dz = \frac{1}{2}z^2 {}_2F_2\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}, 2; -z^2\right) + \text{constant} \quad (115)$$

The traces of the imaginary errors construct a non-polynomial. A change of the imaginary errors does not create a new structure.

$$\frac{d}{dz} I(z) = 1 - \sqrt{\pi}e^{-z^2} z \operatorname{erfi}(z) = 1 - 2zI(z) \quad (116)$$

Stieltjes transformation can construct continuation. Resource allocation and divide and conquer techniques generate such continuation.

$$G(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t^2}}{t+z} dt = \frac{1}{4}e^{-z^2} (2\pi \operatorname{erfi}(z) - 2Ei(z^2) - \log(\frac{1}{z^2}) + \log(z^2) - 4 \log z) \quad (117)$$

where $|\operatorname{ph} z| < \pi$ and $\Re z > 0$

This continuation is not entire. There is no symmetric pattern. Continuation may contain poles. With space and time allocation, continuation contains imaginary errors.

Changes to continuation keep the same structure.

$$\frac{dG(z)}{dz} = -2zG(z) - \frac{1}{z} + \sqrt{\pi} \quad (118)$$

7.12 Continuation Transformation

With an imaginary kernel, phase complexity can contribute to continuation. However, continuation will not be transformed into the imaginary domain completely. A single parameter of an imaginary kernel cannot remove continuation.

$$\int_0^\infty e^{2iat} \operatorname{erfc}(bt) dt = \frac{1}{a\sqrt{\pi}} F\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) + \frac{i}{2a} (1 - e^{-(\frac{a}{b})^2}) \quad (119)$$

where $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\operatorname{ph} b| < \frac{1}{4}\pi$.

This also could be the results of policies. Continuation can transform with a set of policies such as convolution, sharing, decomposition, reducible operations, interleaving, and scheduling. Continuation can be transformed into non-polynomials.

Some specific parameters of a complex kernel in control paths can terminate continuation to form polynomials. Security methods encrypt data to prevent data operations. Machine capacity or precision criteria can form the rules to make terminate continuation with constant errors.

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-at} \operatorname{erf} \sqrt{bt} dt = \frac{1}{a} \sqrt{\frac{b}{a+b}} \quad (120)$$

where $\Re a > 0, \Re b > 0$

Shutting down computation, computing crashes, and bootstrapping result in polynomials.

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{(a-b)t} \operatorname{erfc}(\sqrt{at} + \sqrt{\frac{c}{t}}) dt = \frac{e^{-2(\sqrt{ac} + \sqrt{bc})}}{\sqrt{b}(\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b})} \quad (121)$$

where $|ph a| < \frac{\pi}{2}, \Re b > 0, \Re c \geq 0$

Continuation can be transformed as an exponential function. A special case $\sqrt{ac} + \sqrt{bc} = 0$ terminates continuation as a polynomial.

Without knowing computational details, we still can understand continuation from space and time usage. Hence, space and time complexity can determine that continuation is non-polynomial.

$$\mathcal{M}(\operatorname{erfc}(t); z) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(1+z))}{\sqrt{\pi}z} \quad (122)$$

where $\Re z > 0$.

Transformation of continuation proves that space and time management does not terminate continuation. This result is aligned with the generalized hypergeometric structure. Time evolution does not contribute to the construction of continuation. Space may introduce poles in the structure of continuation. Hence, resource estimation and planning are required to form continuation.

7.13 Conditions of Continuation

Conditions of an equation can transform a solution from a polynomial to a non-polynomial. The fourth Painleve equation has solutions in terms of parabolic cylinder functions. There is a special case where the parabolic cylinder functions $U(a, \sqrt{z}), V(a, \sqrt{z})$ reduce to Hermite polynomials multiplied by an exponential function.

$$w(z; -m, -2(m-1)^2) = -\frac{H'_{m-1}(z)}{H_{m-1}(z)} \quad (123)$$

where $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

In another case, the solution becomes a non-polynomial.

$$w(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{2e^{z^2}}{\sqrt{\pi}(C-ierfc(iz))} & \epsilon = 1 \\ \frac{2e^{-z^2}}{\sqrt{\pi}(C-erfc(z))} & \epsilon = -1 \end{cases} \quad (124)$$

where C is an arbitrary constant.

7.14 Hidden Parameters

Continuation may have higher orders, higher degrees, incomplete relations, exponents, indices, matrix arguments, accessory parameters, singularities, multiple variables, special quantities, etc. In the upper plane, we consider $erfc z$ function with the fixed parameters. In addition, continuation could be either a divergent or convergent series. The analysis needs to include these hidden effects. Continuation representation can be further generalized by hidden variables and hidden parameters. Hence, this article extends continuation to generalized hypergeometric function [Askey and Daalhuis 2009] in complex domain.

$${}_pF_q \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{matrix}; z \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!} \quad (125)$$

This series is diverged in general for all nonzero values of z . There are several cases of p and q . Any partial sum of the generalized hypergeometric structures do not create new structures.

In case $p \leq q$, continuation is an entire function for all finite z . It can be a complete non-polynomial. The associated generalized hypergeometric differential equation has a regular singularity at $z = 0$ and an irregularity at $z = \infty$. Other regular singularities are reducible.

An example could be a checker game where each opponent has the same number of pieces on a 8×8 board and starts with the same initial setup at the same time. The number of top parameters is equal to the number of bottom parameters. Hence, the game is a NP-complete problem. Another example could be a policy-driven storage and data management system. If there are a few long running archiving jobs scheduled in many time intervals, the job executions will be complete non-polynomials.

In case $p = q + 1$, if one of more a_j is a non-positive integer, continuation is terminated as a polynomial in z . The associated generalized hypergeometric differential equation has three regular singularities at $z = 0, 1, \infty$. Other regular singularities are reducible.

An example could be a messaging problem. If there is one message sender and two message topic listeners, there are two negative parameters. The topic consumption can terminate continuation and construct a polynomial. Another example could be a policy driven storage and data management system. There are two long running jobs scheduled at a fixed time interval. If one of the jobs can be executed at a given time, there is a radius ($|z| < 1$) of convergence. Outside the open disk of the time interval, computational procedures are analytic with branch cut from 1 to $+\infty$. This means jobs are continuous outside of the time interval. Job execution constructs a multi-valued function that is analytic except for possible branch points at $z = 0, 1, \infty$. This means the multi-valued job execution is continuous elsewhere other than the origin, boundary of interval, and infinity. On the boundary of the interval, jobs could be in the execution queue or be dispatched.

In case $p > q + 1$, if one of more a_j is a non-positive integer, continuation is terminated as a polynomial in z . If none of the a_k is a non-positive integer, continuation can be

defined as a contour integral, which can be a non-polynomial. The first contour path starts at infinity on a line parallel to the positive real axis, encircles the non-negative integers in negative sense, and ends at infinity on another line parallel to the positive real axis. An example is that a conjecture contains a possible true statement. A mathematician worked on it and proved some of tasks about five years ago. But there are many work items to prove in the future or left to other mathematicians. The second contour path starts from $-i\infty$ to $i\infty$. This could be the case of a unknown deadlock. In addition, to reduce the restrictions on parameters, the integration paths can be replaced with loop contours. This could be the case of a block and wait procedure processing inbound requests and returning responses.

From Equation 54 and Equation [Daalhuis 2009a] below

$$U(a, b, z) = z^{-a} {}_2F_0(a, a - b + 1; -; -z^{-1}) \quad (126)$$

We know continuation is a complete non-polynomial by default. Laplace transforms and inverse Laplace transforms of generalized hypergeometric functions are studied in [Prudnikov et al. 1992].

7.14.1 Roles of Parameters. There are different roles for parameters such as singularity parameter, exponent parameter, and accessory parameter. For the second order homogeneous differential equation with four singularities, these parameters can be reduced to exponent parameters [Sleeman and Kuznetsov 2009]. In Coulomb functions, Radial functions can be represented with parameters which are indices of a regular singularity of Coulomb Wave equation [Thompson 2009]. Similarly, indices of a regular singularity are used as parameters in solutions of Whittaker's equation. Parameters of incomplete Gamma, incomplete Beta functions, generalized exponential function, generalized sine and cosine integrals, and modified Bessel functions, can be used as parameters of hypergeometric functions. The orders and degrees of orthogonal polynomials can be used as parameters of hypergeometric functions. Variables and parameters of orthogonal polynomials can be used as parameters of hypergeometric functions. Exponents can also be used as parameters of hypergeometric functions [Daalhuis 2009a]. Kernel parameters of transformations can be used as parameters of hypergeometric functions [Daalhuis 2009a]. The order of repeated integrals can be used as parameters of hypergeometric functions [Temme 2009a]. The orders and degrees of Legendre functions can be used as parameters of hypergeometric functions [Dunster 2009]. The orders and degrees of functions of matrix arguments can be used as parameters of hypergeometric functions. A matrix argument can be used as the matrix argument of hypergeometric functions [Richards 2009]. The quantities in $3j, 6j, 9j$ can be represented as parameters of hypergeometric functions [Maximon 2009]. The parameters of kernel of solutions of Heun's equation comes from separation constants and kernel parameters [Sleeman and Kuznetsov 2009].

7.14.2 Constant Parameters. Elementary functions such as logarithm function, trigonometric functions, power functions, elliptic integrals, Legendre's integral are special cases of generalized hypergeometric functions [Daalhuis 2009b].

7.14.3 Suitable Cut. For non-polynomials, cuts can make possible polynomials. Three singularity points of Riemann's differential equation lead to a sheet structure.

7.14.4 *Environmental Design.* For real variables, we should consider matrix arguments. If a relation cannot be decomposed as a product of two ${}_2F_1$, we need to consider using multiple variables. To terminate continuation, we need to use negative exponents, higher degrees, recurrence relations, integer parameters, argument unity, etc.

Since a partial sum of the generalized hypergeometric series can be represented as a generalized hypergeometric function [Askey and Daalhuis 2009]. The results of this article hold for other discrete cases.

7.14.5 *Nonsmall Parameters.* Nonsmall parameters result in slow convergence of the series. Weniger transformations [Temme 2009b] [Borghi 2007] can accelerate convergence by rearranging the partial sum sequence of a divergent or slow convergent infinite series. The converted new sequence $\{J_n\}$ efficiently converges to the limit or the antilimit of the original sequence.

$$J_n = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} (1+j)_{n-1} \frac{s_j}{b_{j+1}}}{\sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} (1+j)_{n-1} \frac{1}{b_{j+1}}} \quad (127)$$

where $(\cdot)_k$ is the Pochhammer symbol. $s_n = \sum_{j=0}^n b_j$.

7.14.6 *Continuation Relations.* Two instances of continuation with generalized hypergeometric structures can be contiguous. The contiguous functions can be linearly related such as recurrence relations. Contiguous functions can form equations, which are equivalent to differential equations. A balanced continuation ${}_4F_3$ has a transformation [Askey and Daalhuis 2009] as:

$${}_7F_6 \left(\begin{matrix} a, \frac{1}{2}a + 1, b, c, d, e, f \\ \frac{1}{2}a, a - b + 1, a - c + 1, a - d + 1, a - e + 1, a - f + 1 \end{matrix}; 1 \right) = \frac{\Gamma(a - d + 1)\Gamma(a - e + 1)\Gamma(a - f + 1)\Gamma(a - d - e - f + 1)}{\Gamma(a + 1)\Gamma(a - d - e + 1)\Gamma(a - d - f + 1)\Gamma(a - e - f + 1)} \quad (128)$$

$$\times {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} a - b - c + 1, d, e, f \\ a - b + 1, a - c + 1, d + e + f - a \end{matrix}; 1 \right) \quad (129)$$

If the structure representing the right hand side terminates, the left hand side converges. When the right hand side is not terminated, continuation is required. Hence, it is possible to transform a convergent series into a polynomial. Otherwise, continuation exists.

7.15 Effects of Parameter Changes

Continuous changes of the generalized hypergeometric function keep the structure of generalized hypergeometric function.

$$\frac{d^n}{dz^n} {}_pF_q \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{matrix}; z \right) = \frac{(\mathbf{a})_n}{(\mathbf{b})_n} {}_pF_q \left(\begin{matrix} a_1 + n, \dots, a_p + n \\ b_1 + n, \dots, b_q + n \end{matrix}; z \right) \quad (130)$$

Continuous changes will alter all parameters synchronously. In addition, we need to update all parameter configurations at the same time to make continuous changes. This occurs during reset of computational procedures or bootstrap time.

Continuous changes can be applied by adding a range or a pair of parameters.

$$\frac{d^n}{dz^n}(z^\gamma {}_pF_q \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{matrix}; z \right)) = (\gamma - n + 1)_n z^{\gamma - n} {}_{p+1}F_{q+1} \left(\begin{matrix} \gamma + 1, a_1, \dots, a_p \\ \gamma + 1 - n, b_1, \dots, b_q \end{matrix}; z \right) \quad (131)$$

Adding a pair of parameters can construct continuous changes. This could happen as we insert a threshold or a pair of parameters at the same time.

Updating a single parameter (top parameter or bottom parameter) constructs continuous changes.

$$\left(z \frac{d}{dz} z \right)^n (z^{\gamma-1} {}_{p+1}F_q \left(\begin{matrix} \gamma, a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{matrix}; z \right)) = (\gamma)_n z^{\gamma+n-1} {}_{p+1}F_q \left(\begin{matrix} \gamma + n, a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{matrix}; z \right) \quad (132)$$

$$\frac{d^n}{dz^n} (z^{\gamma-1} {}_pF_{q+1} \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ \gamma, b_1, \dots, b_q \end{matrix}; z \right)) = (\gamma - n)_n z^{\gamma-n-1} {}_pF_{q+1} \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ \gamma - n, b_1, \dots, b_q \end{matrix}; z \right) \quad (133)$$

Similarly, discrete changes of the parameters can construct linear dependency of contiguous relations. Specially, if $p \leq q + 1$, there is a linear relation of $q + 2$ contiguous functions.

7.16 Scales of Continuation

A convergent continuation requires scaling. Scaling creates bounded continuation. For quotients of continuation involved, scaling is not required.

7.16.1 Kernel Scaling. The Fourier transform in Equation 134 can be applied to scale continuation. Considering continuation as a test function ϕ in \mathcal{T}_n , the transformation creates distribution of indices and continuation.

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(\mathbf{t}) e^{i\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{t}} d\mathbf{t} \quad (134)$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{t} = x_1 t_1 + \dots + x_n t_n$.

Transformation keeps $F(\mathbf{x})$ in \mathcal{T}_n . For a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$, set $|\alpha| = \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$. We can further compute the expected values of \mathbf{x} and α .

$$D_\alpha = i^{-|\alpha|} D^\alpha = \left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right)^{\alpha_1} \dots \left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right)^{\alpha_n} \quad (135)$$

$$P(\mathbf{x}) = P = \sum c_\alpha \mathbf{x}^\alpha = \sum c_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} \quad (136)$$

$$P(D) = P = \sum c_\alpha D_\alpha \quad (137)$$

Then we can study the distributions by further scaling the expected values as illustrated in [Roy et al. 2009]. Kernel scaling with specific functions are discussed in Equation 84, Equation 149 and Equation 158. A straight phase scaling is considered as Equation 159 and spheroidal harmonics in [Dunster 2009]. Indices for k scaling of magnitude, coordinate, and hypervolume can be used for Equation 149 and Equation 150.

7.16.2 *q-factor*. Considering scale factor q , there are scales of modulus such as space. Hence, basic hypergeometric functions can be used to include the base factors.

$${}_{r+1}\phi_s \left(\begin{matrix} a_0, a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s \end{matrix}; q, z \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_0; q)_n (a_1; q)_n \dots (a_r; q)_n}{(q; q)_n (b_1; q)_n \dots (b_s; q)_n} ((-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}})^{s-r} z^n \quad (138)$$

$${}_r\psi_s \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s \end{matrix}; q, z \right) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; q)_n (-1)^{(s-r)n} q^{\binom{s-r}{2} n}}{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s; q)_n} z^n \quad (139)$$

In q-Hahn class of polynomials [Koornwinder et al. 2009], scales terminate continuation in some structures as $({}_2\phi_1, {}_3\phi_2, {}_1\phi_1, {}_2\phi_0, {}_4\phi_3, {}_3\phi_1)$. Scaling-in terminates continuation to obtain polynomials. But we can scale back to a generalized hypergeometric structure.

If every $b_j \neq q^{-n}$ and $s > r$, the infinite series converge in z . If $r = s$, ${}_{r+1}\phi_r$ converges for $|z| < 1$, the continuation is reduced to a confluent structure as:

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} {}_{r+1}\phi_r \left(\begin{matrix} q^{a_0}, q^{a_1}, \dots, q^{a_r} \\ q^{b_1}, \dots, q^{b_r} \end{matrix}; q, z \right) = {}_{r+1}F_r \left(\begin{matrix} a_0, a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_r \end{matrix}; z \right) \quad (140)$$

or as $b_j \neq q^{-n}$ and $a_j \neq q^{n+1}$, the infinite series converge when $s \geq r$ and $|(b_1 \cdots b_s)/(a_1 \cdots a_r z)| < 1$. The series converge in the case of $s = r$, $|z| < 1$.

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} {}_r\psi_r \left(\begin{matrix} q^{a_1}, q^{a_2}, \dots, q^{a_r} \\ q^{b_1}, q^{b_2}, \dots, q^{b_r} \end{matrix}; q, z \right) = {}_rH_r \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r \end{matrix}; z \right) \quad (141)$$

Hence, scaling back to generalized hypergeometric structures can construct both polynomials and non-polynomials.

7.16.3 *Other Scales*. There are some other scales. A generalized asymptotic expansion may consider using $\phi_{s+1}(x)$ to scale as $x \rightarrow \infty$ in an unbounded set \mathbf{X} in \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . But many properties of asymptotic expansions cannot be applied. Hence, we consider better options instead of studying continuation with sequence scaling. Spheroidal wave functions have a scale in signal analysis [Volkmer 2009b]. The restrictions and conditions are not generalized to apply to continuation scaling in this article. There are different scalings used in physical applications [Thompson 2009]. This article studies continuation with general scaling. There is a scaling for solving a differential equation [Clarkson 2009]. A constant can be a scale [Dunster 2009]. There is a scale of Chebyshev polynomial as $2^{1-n}T_n(x)$, $n \geq 1$. Normalization [Paris 2009] can scale functions. Scaled Gamma function uses scaling [Dunster 2009]. The two methods are applied to study continuation in this article.

7.17 Rational Continuation

Integration of an arbitrary rational function $R(z)$ in the form of $\int e^{-z^2} R(z) dz$ contains continuation and elementary functions. Rational continuation does not require scaling.

We may consider a rational function which is decomposed by a polynomial. With a polynomial and two rational functions, we can represent other rational functions. Focusing on computation, we can apply elliptic integrals to study continuation structures. We can unify continuation with incomplete structures [Carlson 2009] with two variables and three parameters.

$$cel(k_c, p, a, b) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{a \cos^2 \theta + b \sin^2 \theta}{\cos^2 \theta + p \sin^2 \theta} \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{\cos^2 \theta + k_c^2 \sin^2 \theta}} \quad (142)$$

where a, b, p are real parameters. k_c and x are real or complex variables, with $p \neq 0$ and $k_c \neq 0$.

$$el2(x, k_c, a, b) = \int_0^{\arctan x} \frac{a + b \tan^2 \theta}{\sqrt{(1 + \tan^2 \theta)(1 + k_c^2 \tan^2 \theta)}} d\theta \quad (143)$$

$$el3(x, k_c, p) = \int_0^{\arctan x} \frac{d\theta}{(\cos^2 \theta + p \sin^2 \theta) \sqrt{\cos^2 \theta + k_c^2 \sin^2 \theta}} \quad (144)$$

where $x^2 \neq -\frac{1}{p}$

If $-\infty < p < 0$, Equation 142 represents a Cauchy principle value. With $k_c = k', p = 1 - \alpha^2, x = \tan \phi$, we can obtain integration of argument completeness as $K(k) = cel(k_c, 1, 1, 1), E(k) = cel(k_c, 1, 1, k_c^2), \Pi(\alpha^2, k) = cel(k_c, p, 1, 1)$ where k' is complementary real or complex modulus and $E(k), K(k), \Pi(\alpha^2, k)$ are complete Legendre's integrals.

If $|k| < 1$ and $|\alpha| < 1$, then:

$$K(k) = \frac{\pi}{2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; k^2\right) \quad (145)$$

$$E(k) = \frac{\pi}{2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; k^2\right) \quad (146)$$

$$\Pi(\alpha^2, k) = \frac{\pi}{2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; k^2, \alpha^2\right) \quad (147)$$

Hence, we have Equation 146 representing a polynomial, which is a finite terminated computational procedure. Equation 145 and Equation 147 represent complete non-polynomials. If $x = \infty$, the integrals are modulus complete. They are complete non-polynomial.

Elliptic integrals are special cases of a particular multivariate hypergeometric function $R_{-a}(\mathbf{b}; \mathbf{z}) = R_{-a}(b_1, \dots, b_n; z_1, \dots, z_n)$, which is homogeneous and of degree $-a$ in the z 's.

7.18 Effects of Parameter Subsets

Instead of applying scales globally, we can select a subset of parameters to study their effects on continuation. To give flexibility to the selection, the above structure can be generalized as $G_{p,q}^{m,n}(z; \mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b})$ [Askey and Daalhuis 2009] which has separated poles.

$$G_{p,q}^{m,n}(z; \mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^m \Gamma(b_\ell - s) \prod_{\ell=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_\ell + s)}{\prod_{\ell=m}^{q-1} \Gamma(1 - b_{\ell+1} + s) \prod_{\ell=n}^{p-1} \Gamma(a_{\ell+1} - s)} z^s ds \quad (148)$$

L may range from $-i\infty$ to $i\infty$. This occurs in the case of a computational procedure in an unknown deadlock. Without fixing it, the lock will occur again. This also represents a case where no acknowledgement is required or a computing procedure returns *NULL*. L may be a loop that starts at infinity on a line parallel to the negative real axis, encircles the poles once in the positive sense, and returns to infinity on another line parallel to the negative real axis. Examples are a great number of theorems known as "Euler's theorem" [Weisstein 2010]. Euler proved it in the history of mathematics. L may be a loop that starts at infinity on a line parallel to the positive real axis, encircles the poles once in the negative sense, and returns to infinity on another line parallel to the positive real axis. A conjecture contains a possible true statement. A mathematician planned to work on it and proved some of the tasks about five years ago. But there are many work items to prove in the future or left to other mathematicians.

The contours can be superimposed on the surface of continuation. In the above case, there are three possible contours, L . Other cases cause the same results. The associated differential equation has a regular singularity at $z = 0$ and an irregular singularity at $z = \infty$ when $p < q$. When $p = q$, the associated differential equation has regular singularities at $z = 0, (-1)^{p-m-n}, \infty$.

The Laplace kernel transforms a generalized function causing continuation. Laplace transforms, inverse laplace transforms, and a series of the Meijer G-function are extensively studied in the literature [Prudnikov et al. 1992].

7.19 Topology of Continuation

7.19.1 *Patterns of Continuation.* A finite set of real parameters and one real or complex variable construct a generalized polynomial as Equation 1. Scaling with an imaginary kernel,

$$\Psi_K(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(i\Phi_K(t;\mathbf{x}))} dt \quad (149)$$

where \mathbf{x} is a finite set of real parameters. t is a real or complex variable. K is the codimension.

For fixed codimension K , geometric configurations [Berry and Howls 2009] determine specific polynomials with multiple variables. Scaling with an imaginary kernel,

$$\Psi^{(U)}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(i\Phi^{(U)}(s,t;\mathbf{x}))} ds dt \quad (150)$$

where U is E for elliptic umbilic or H for hyperbolic umbilic.

Consider external effects, we add another variable as:

$$\Psi_K(\mathbf{x}; k) = \sqrt{k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(ik\Phi_K(t;\mathbf{x}))} dt \quad (151)$$

where $k > 0$.

The imaginary kernel terminates continuation in cases of no parameters. If all parameters are zeros, we terminate continuation with the initial settings (on-axis field) or partial changes of the first parameter.

$$\Psi^E(\mathbf{x}) = 2\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3}} e^{i(\frac{4}{27}z^3 + \frac{1}{3}xz - \frac{1}{4}\pi)} \int_{\infty \exp(-\frac{7\pi}{12}i)}^{\infty \exp(\frac{\pi}{12}i)} e^{i(u^6 + 2zu^4 + (z^2+x)u^2 + \frac{y^2}{12u^2})} du \quad (152)$$

$$\Psi^H(\mathbf{x}) = 4\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{6}} e^{i(\frac{1}{27}z^3 + \frac{1}{6}z(y+x) + \frac{1}{4}\pi)} \int_{\infty \exp(\frac{5\pi}{12}i)}^{\infty \exp(\frac{\pi}{12}i)} e^{i(2u^6 + 2zu^4 + (\frac{1}{2}z^2 + x + y)u^2 - \frac{(y-x)^2}{24u^2})} du \quad (153)$$

where $\mathbf{x} = \{x, y, z\}$. The integration path of $\Psi^E(\mathbf{x})$ passes $-\frac{\pi}{2}i$ but the integration path of $\Psi^H(\mathbf{x})$ does not pass $\pm\frac{\pi}{2}i$.

7.19.2 Classes of Continuation. A codimension-one surface in parameter space \mathbf{x} obtains bifurcation sets where critical points of Φ_K or $\Phi^{(U)}$ coalesce. When $K = 1$, $K = 2$, $K = 3$, the bifurcation sets form continuation by a point, or a pair of cusp lines, or a self-intersection line [Berry and Howls 2009]. $\Psi_K(\mathbf{x}, k)$ or $\Psi^{(U)}(\mathbf{x}, k)$ constructs exponentially small continuation in k which is associated with a complex critical point of Φ_K or $\Phi^{(U)}$. Crossing continuation, codimension-one surface in parameter space \mathbf{x} obtains the Stokes sets.

The topology of continuation consists of five classes of continuation with structurally stable singular configurations [Berry and Howls 2009]. There are three configurations of cusps and two configurations of umbilics related to Equation149, Equation150, and Equation151. When $K = 1$, continuation is formed as rays. When $K = 2$, continuation is the connection between a cusped bifurcation curve and a cusped Stokes curve. When $K = 3$, continuation is formed by two curves as $z = 0$. For $z < 0$, continuation is the connection between a sheet of a Stokes set and a sheet of a bifurcation set. When $z > 0$, continuation is the intersection of one sheet of a Stokes set and a bifurcation set. An elliptic umbilic Stokes set terminates continuation by three separated sheets. However, continuation is formed by the connection between a bifurcation set and the three separated sheets. A hyperbolic umbilic Stokes set forms continuation by a connection between a sheet of a Stokes set and a sheet of a bifurcation set. There is also an intersection between the Stokes set and another smooth sheet of a bifurcation set.

7.19.3 Series Representation. Single series expansions can represent all cuspid structures in closed form by generalized hypergeometric functions. Φ_K has been extended to complex parameter space \mathbf{C} . Continuation of the Pearcey function can be represented as:

$$J_{n,p}(u) = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{m=0}^4 \frac{u^m}{m!} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+pm+1}{5}\right) {}_pF_4(\mathbf{b}_m^{(p)}; \mathbf{a}_m; p^p \left(\frac{u}{5}\right)^5) \quad (154)$$

where \mathbf{a}_m is a four-vector and $\mathbf{b}_m^{(p)}$ is a p -vector whose elements are computed in [Borghini 2010]. p is 0, 1, 2, ...

If the series has slow convergence for nonsmall parameters, we can apply the same method as Equation127.

In some applications [Connor and Curtis 1982], a multinomial is used to replace Equation 1 as:

$$\Phi_K(t; \mathbf{x}) = x_K t^K + a_{K-1} t^{K-1} + \sum_{m=1}^{K-2} x_m t^m \quad (155)$$

where $t; \mathbf{x}$ are real. $K \geq 3$.

7.19.4 *Continuation of Link and Knot.* Using Jones polynomial $V_L(t)$ and $V_k(t)$ represent links and knots. The relation between a knot K and its image can be represented as $V_{K^*}(t) = V_K(t^{-1})$. A knot can be generalized to a link, which is a collection of knots with mutual entanglements. If there are odd number of components in a link, V_L terminates as a polynomial over the integers. If there are even number of components in a link, continuation of V_L is a product of $t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and a Laurent polynomial. When $V_L(-1) = \Delta_L(-1)$, any link L can be represented as the Alexander polynomial Δ_L . When $V_L(1) = (-2)^{p-1}$ where p is the number of components of L , the link is a polynomial.

For any knot K , if $V_K(e^{2\pi i/3}) = 1$, then the knot is a polynomial. The simplified trace invariant for knots can be polynomials $W_K(t)$ up to 10 crossings. The knots with up to nine crossings can be represented by Jones polynomials. Oriented links up to nine crossings can be represented by Jones polynomials. All prime knots with nine or fewer crossings have unique Jones polynomials. There are distinct knots having the same Jones polynomials and some of them even have different crossing numbers. A generalization of Jones polynomials is the Kauffman polynomial [Weisstein 2010m]. Knots up to 13 crossings can be represented by the Kauffman 2-variable polynomial.

HOMFLY polynomials [Weisstein 2010j] generalize Jones polynomials with two variables. The skein relationship can be regarded as nonhomogeneous polynomials in two variables or homogeneous polynomials in three variables. The split union of two links can be represented by HOMFLY polynomials. A HOMFLY polynomial of a composite knot can be factored into polynomials of the constituent knots. There are infinitely many distinct knots with the same HOMFLY polynomials.

Using the power series of e^x as the variable of the Jones polynomial obtains continuation as a power series with Vassiliev invariants [Weisstein 2010n] as coefficients.

7.20 Policy of Continuation

To improve efficiency, removing singularities yields a connected manifold structure. To make a compact surface, we add points at infinity. For a compact surface with genus g , cycles could be $a_j, b_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, g$. There are g linear independent holomorphic differentials $\omega_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, g$ which do not have singularities on the surface.

7.20.1 *Policy-based Continuation.* Integrating the holomorphic differentials obtains a policy function, which terminates continuation as:

$$\oint_{a_k} \omega_j = \delta_{j,k} \quad (156)$$

where $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, g$.

To terminate continuation in higher dimensions, we have a policy function as:

$$\Omega_{jk} = \oint_{b_k} \omega_j \quad (157)$$

With the policy space Ω , continuation has a discrete representation [Deconinck 2009] as:

$$\theta(\mathbf{z}|\Omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^g} e^{2\pi i(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{n} \cdot \Omega \cdot \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{z})} \quad (158)$$

where $\mathbb{Z}^g = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}$ (g times). Ω is a complex $g \times g$ dimensional space and \mathbf{z} is a complex g dimensional space.

Continuation is absolutely and uniformly convergent in \mathbf{z} , Ω space. $\theta(\mathbf{z}|\Omega)$ is analytic.

7.20.2 *Scales of Continuation.* A scaled continuation can be defined with a generalized structure [Deconinck 2009] in g dimension as:

$$\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{z}|\Omega) = e^{-\pi[\Im \mathbf{z}] \cdot [\Im \Omega]^{-1} [\Im \mathbf{z}]} \theta(\mathbf{z}|\Omega) \quad (159)$$

The scaling of $\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{z}|\Omega)$ constructs bounded and nonanalytic continuation. The quotients of $\theta(\mathbf{z}|\Omega)$ do not require scaled continuation.

7.20.3 *Periodic Continuation.* A general continuation can be defined with characteristics $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}$. We can generalize the structure [Deconinck 2009] in g dimension as:

$$\theta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} (\mathbf{z}|\Omega) = e^{2\pi i(\frac{1}{2}\alpha \cdot \Omega \cdot \alpha + \alpha \cdot [\mathbf{z} + \beta])} \theta(\mathbf{z} + \Omega\alpha + \beta|\Omega) \quad (160)$$

The quasi-periodicity of the general continuation is:

$$\theta(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{m}_1 + \Omega\mathbf{m}_2|\Omega) = e^{-2\pi i(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m}_2 \cdot \Omega \cdot \mathbf{m}_2 + \mathbf{m}_2 \cdot \mathbf{z})} \theta(\mathbf{z}|\Omega) \quad (161)$$

where $\mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{m}_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^g$.

The set of points $\mathbf{m}_1 + \Omega\mathbf{m}_2$ forms a periodic policy lattice in the g dimension.

7.20.4 *Modular Transformation.* Modular transformation [Deconinck 2009] keeps the structure of continuation.

$$\theta \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}\alpha - \mathbf{C}\beta + \frac{1}{2}\text{diag}[\mathbf{C}\mathbf{D}^T] \\ -\mathbf{B}\alpha + \mathbf{A}\beta + \frac{1}{2}\text{diag}[\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^T] \end{bmatrix} ([[\mathbf{C}\Omega + \mathbf{D}]^{-1}]^T \mathbf{z} | [\mathbf{A}\Omega + \mathbf{B}][\mathbf{C}\Omega + \mathbf{D}]^{-1}) = \kappa(\alpha, \beta, \Gamma) \sqrt{\det[\mathbf{C}\Omega + \mathbf{D}]} e^{\pi i \mathbf{z} \cdot [[\mathbf{C}\Omega + \mathbf{D}]^{-1} \mathbf{C}] \cdot \mathbf{z}} \theta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} (\mathbf{z}|\Omega) \quad (162)$$

where $\kappa(\alpha, \beta, \Gamma)$ represents a complex number. $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$ are $g \times g$ matrices with integer elements.

Γ is a matrix as:

$$\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix} \quad (163)$$

If a compact manifold M has nonnegative Ricci curvature, then its fundamental group has at most polynomial growth. If M has negative curvature, then its fundamental group can have an exponential growth [Weisstein 2010o].

7.20.5 *Continuation Parameters.* The general g dimensional continuation has $\frac{g(g+1)}{2}$ complex parameters. Constructing from the above surface, continuation has $3g-3$ complex parameters. When $g = 1$, only one parameter is required.

This type of continuation applies to security and policy-driven computing [Liu 2010c]. In practice, the fixes from high availability solutions, software patches, remote debugging, runtime compilation provide continuation.

7.21 A Sample Use Case

Here is a sample use case to illustrate continuation in the upper plane and lower plane:

A manager operates *erfc* in the upper plane and assigns a job to a developer. The developer performs analysis (in the imaginary domain), research, and development (in the real domain) as $C(z)$ and $S(z)$ in the lower plane. The developer has strategies, skills, and plans as $G_{p,q}^{m,n}$ to complete work items (remove poles and singularities) [Liu 2010a].

The developer designs primary and secondary storage systems such as CPU caches, memory caches, buffers, databases, and disks to make computation polynomial [Liu 2007]. Common external effects are hardware platforms, configurations of CPU, memory, disks, operating systems, compilers, interpreters, virtual machines, application interactions, network activities, and human interruptions. Revisiting an address or routine is negative. With an imaginary kernel such as CPU execution queues, memory latency, disk access time, and virtualization, phase complexity can contribute to continuation. Continuation cannot be removed by locks, queuing, and buffering of an individual computation.

The developer creates a plane for three phases of releases. Goals could be temporal such as long-term, mid-term and short term goals. The developer also conducts unit tests. The unit tests results are repeatable so there is no need to log them. Then the developer checks in code. However, there may be modulus and phase errors in the lower plane. This includes missed items, bugs etc.

A test engineer is assigned to verify this implementation (acting as $f(z)$ and $g(z)$). Applying strategies, test plans as $G_{p,q}^{m,n}$, the test engineer analyzes (in the imaginary domain) the test requirement and implementation. The test engineer also develops (in the real domain) test cases. Then the test engineer performs integration tests and regression tests. The tests (superposed contour) identify bugs and missed items (separate poles), which are logged in the test reports. The test engineer submits the bug report into a tracking system. The tests may ignore some bugs and items (pass poles) which may not be listed in the report due to requirements. In addition, the tests may miss some bugs or issues. These two factors contribute to construction of continuation. The test engineer may delay the tests (phase errors) but this will not affect implementation directly.

The manager works in the upper plane by checking results. The manager assigns the developer to resolve the bug reports as continuation in the upper plane. The developers may fix the bugs (remove poles or singularities to raise to g dimension surface) or find a work around (passing poles). If there is a phase error in the upper plane such as schedule changes, the manager may notify the developer to work with continuation.

Continuation has hidden parameters. Goals may be initially unknown. Goals could be changed. Goals could be discarded. Goals may not exist. Goals may not be defined. Goals may be negotiable. There could be multiple goals. A goal may have subgoals. There are competitive and cooperative goals. Goals may need to be combined with other utilities such as performance [Liu 2009b][Liu 2009a]. Learning may be involved. Due to condition changes such as cancelation of the project, the manager may prune continuation to obtain a

polynomial and giving a new assignment to the developer. If there is a change in the developer's responsibilities, such as, the developer changes his job, we obtain a polynomial in the lower plane but continuation still exists in the upper plane, and the manager can assign another developer to work on the assignment. There is continuation of non-polynomials such as bugs, features, and regression tests.

8. VERIFICATION

Verifications in the upper plane can be done by $erfc(z)$, which can be decomposed by $f(z)$ and $g(z)$. In addition, we can perform verifications as $C(z)$, $S(z)$ in the lower plane. In the upper plane, verifications can be supplied with real quantities as specified in $U(x, t)$ and $V(x, t)$. This includes requirement and specification check, IDE tool checking, compile checking, tracing, runtime variables checking, debugging, monitoring, etc. Verification by special values or special functions should be done cautiously since computation of special values or functions may contain continuation and do not construct polynomials.

The above verification may have different combinations as self verification $erfc(z)$ or internal validation, multiple checking, check and restart, repeated check, integration verification, and verification by monitoring. Verifications in the upper plane by $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ may contain phase errors. Verifications in the lower plane by $C(z)$ and $S(z)$ may contain both modulus and phase errors. Verifications in the lower plane can evaluate phase errors in the upper plane. For the exceptional small continuation, $erfc(z)$ can be checked by computational procedures.

A computational procedure with self checking of $erfc z$ constructs verifications in one dimension. The computational procedure terminates verifications. For independent check or in higher dimensions, we need to configure verifications with a number of check points to construct polynomial paths for checking computational procedures.

8.1 Check in Two Dimensions

$3j$ [Maximon 2009] check represents a simplex type in two dimensions. Due to the triangle rule, we can determine the shortest verification path to evaluate the results of computation. $3j$ verification is corresponding to a triangle verification.

$$|j_r - j_s| \leq j_t \leq j_r + j_s \quad (164)$$

where r, s, t is any permutation of 1, 2, 3.

With the associating quantum numbers m_1, m_2, m_3 satisfying:

$$m_1 + m_2 + m_3 = 0 \quad (165)$$

$3j$ check can be represented as a finite sum or as a terminating generalized hypergeometric series ${}_3F_2$ of an unit argument. There are special cases where a verification is in a simple algebraic form. Even permutation of columns of $3j$ check does not change the results of a verification. Odd permutation of columns of $3j$ verification only adds a phase factor but does not change the results of a verification. This helps us to eliminate all redundant verification paths. Generation functions can be defined for $3j$ verification to automate verification processes. It is possible to design and implement a verification in two dimensions as a polynomial. Verifications can be grouped in orthogonal polynomial classes with summation variables ranging over all values satisfying the above conditions

in Equation 164 and Equation 165. In addition, with a complex map [Weisstein 2010p], verifications also can cover entire two-dimensional space. Hence, we can use three check points to construct polynomials of a verification simplex in two dimensions.

8.2 Check in Three Dimensions

$6j$ [Maximon 2009] verification represents a simplex type in three dimensions by a combination of triangle inequalities of the four $3j$ verifications. It is possible to construct a verification in three dimensions by four $3j$ verifications. $6j$ verification corresponds to a tetrahedron verification.

$6j$ verification can be represented as a finite double sum or as finite generalized hypergeometric series ${}_4F_3$ of an unit argument. There are special cases where verifications are in a simple algebraic form as there are special lower arguments in $6j$ verification. Redundant verification paths are increased in three dimensions. Interchanging any two columns of $6j$ verification does not change the results of verifications. Interchanging of upper and lower arguments in each of any two columns of $6j$ verification does not change the results of verifications. This helps us to eliminate all redundant verification paths. On the other hand, we can utilize the redundant paths for multiple checking. The generation functions can be defined for $6j$ verification to automate verification processes. Hence, it is possible to design and implement verifications in three dimensions as polynomials. Verifications can be grouped into orthogonal polynomial classes with summation variables ranging over all values satisfying the above conditions in Equation 164 and Equation 165. In addition, with a complex map [Weisstein 2010p], verifications also can cover entire three-dimensional space. Hence, we can use four check points to construct polynomials as a verification simplex in three dimensions.

It is possible to configure more check points during a verification in three dimensions. $9j$ verification can be represented as a finite triple sum or as finite generalized hypergeometric series of three variables with an unit argument [Maximon 2009]. Redundant verification paths are increased comparing with $6j$ verification. Even permutation and transposition of rows and columns do not change the results of a verification. Odd permutation of rows and columns adds phase operations to the verification. This helps us to eliminate all redundant verification paths or use the redundant paths for multiple checking. It is known that there are 72 independent $9j$ verifications. Generation functions can be defined for $9j$ verification to automate verification processes. Hence, we can use eight check points to construct polynomials as a convenient verification in three dimensions [Liu 2010b].

8.3 Virtual Check

Virtualization, such as figures, graphics, charts, and three-dimensional images is one kind of verification. Color configurations differentiate real and imaginary worlds. Colors and density configurations verify modulus and phases for multi-valued objects. Points of the confluence of all colors in a density plot [Berry and Howls 2009] can be used to verify zeros of a special function. Color configurations also verify parameter effects of multi-valued functions. A plane plot shows branch cuts, branch points, conformal mapping, etc. Equations, formulas, and reserved mathematical symbols provide verifications. Tables are used to check mathematical constants [Abramowitz and Stegun 1970], function values, plane partitions, relations of functions, transformation, results of algorithms, computational schemes, etc.

8.4 Verification Structure

Finite generalized hypergeometric series ${}_3F_2$ and ${}_4F_3$ with unit arguments have $3j$ and $6j$ verification paths. A finite generalized hypergeometric series of three variables with an unit argument contains $9j$ verification paths. Verification should be a balanced structure with three-term identities. For lower ${}_3F_2(1)$, there are two types of three-term identities. This includes recurrence relations [Askey and Daalhuis 2009] and extension of Kummer's relations for ${}_2F_1(1)$ [Daalhuis 2009b]. These relations can be used to construct $3j$ verification paths. For higher ${}_4F_3(1)$, the balanced verification can be expressed by following relation:

$${}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, a, b, c \\ d, e, f \end{matrix}; 1 \right) = \frac{(e-a)_n (f-a)_n}{(e)_n (f)_n} {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, a, d-b, d-c \\ d, a-e-n+1, a-f-n+1 \end{matrix}; 1 \right) \quad (166)$$

when $a + b + c - n + 1 = d + e + f$.

These structures contain $6j$ verification paths in case of integer parameters. We can validate special cases in three-dimensional verification.

9. CONCLUSION

Exponentially small continuation is the mathematical evidence of (1) the relationship between a polynomial and a non-polynomial (2) existence of "mass gap".

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author developed these examples from his 15 years of service in the kingdom of science and engineering. The author specially thanks Olver and Weisstein for providing the technical references [Olver et al. 2010][Weisstein 2010q] for the author to work on the problems. This article is also dedicated to all classmates who are devoted to science and engineering.

REFERENCES

- ABRAMOWITZ, M. AND STEGUN, I. A. 1970. *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Dover Publications, Inc., 2–8.
- AL-SALAM, W. A. AND ISMAIL, M. E. H. 2009. A q-beta integral on the unit circle and some biorthogonal rational functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 121, 2, 553–561.
- ANDREWS, G. E. 2009. *q-Hypergeometric and Related Functions appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 422.
- APOSTOL, T. M. 2009a. *Functions of Number Theory appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 642.
- APOSTOL, T. M. 2009b. *Zeta and Related Functions appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 613.
- ASKEY, R. A. AND DAALHUIS, A. B. O. 2009. *Generalized Hypergeometric Functions and Meijer G-Function appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 404–417.
- BERRY, M. V. AND HOWLS, C. J. 2009. *Integrals with Coalescing Saddles appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 776–790.
- BORGHI, R. 2007. Evaluation of diffraction catastrophes by using wenger transformation. *OPTICS LETTERS* 32, 3, 226–228.
- BORGHI, R. 2010. On the numerical evaluation of cuspid diffraction catastrophes. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* 25, 7, 1682–1690.

- BRESSOUD, D. M. 2009. *Combinatorial Analysis appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 619–623.
- CARLSON, B. C. 2009. *Elliptic Integrals appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 486–501.
- CHARTRAND, G., POLIMENI, A. D., AND ZHANG, P. 2008. *Mathematical Proofs: A Transition to Advanced Mathematics*, 2Ed. Pearson Addison Wesley, 107–122.
- CLARKSON, P. A. 2009. *Painleve Transcendents appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 736–739.
- CONNOR, J. N. L. AND CURTIS, P. R. 1982. A method for the numerical evaluation of the oscillatory integrals associated with the cuspid catastrophes: application to Pearcey's integral and its derivatives. *J. Phys.* 15, 4, 1179–1190.
- DAALHUIS, A. B. O. 2009a. *Confluent Hypergeometric Functions appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 322–345.
- DAALHUIS, A. B. O. 2009b. *Hypergeometric Function appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 386–388.
- DECONINCK, B. 2009. *Multidimensional Theta Functions appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 538–543.
- DILCHER, K. 2009. *Bernoulli and Euler Polynomials appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 588.
- DUNSTER, T. M. 2009. *Legendre and Related Functions appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 365–379.
- ERIC, W. 2010. *Polynomial*. From Mathworld—A Wolfram Web Resource
<http://mathworld.wolfram.com/Polynomial.html>.
- HOUSTON, K. 2009. *How to think like a mathematician*. Cambridge, 137–180.
- KOORNWINDER, T. H., WONG, R., KOEKOEK, R., AND R.F.SWARTTOUW. 2009. *Orthogonal Polynomials appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 470–479.
- LIU, L. 2007. *Method and system for service management in a zone environment*. United States Patent Application, 20070282992.
- LIU, L. 2009a. *Method and system for mobile device performance monitoring*. United States Patent, 7,555,306.
- LIU, L. 2009b. *Performance management in a virtual computing environment*. United States Patent, 7,603,671.
- LIU, L. 2010a. *Identity synchronization across multiple domains*. United States Patent, 7,702,747.
- LIU, L. 2010b. *Method and apparatus for application verification*. United States Patent, 7,814,465.
- LIU, L. 2010c. *Security and Policy Driven Computing*. CRC Press.
- MAXIMON, L. C. 2009. *3j,6j,9j Symbols appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 758–765.
- OLVER, F. W. J., LOZIER, D. W., BOISVERT, R. F., AND CLARK, C. W. 2010. *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge.
- OLVER, F. W. J. AND MAXIMON, L. C. 2009. *Bessel Functions appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 256.
- PARIS, R. B. 2009. *Incomplete Gamma and Related Functions appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 174–187.
- PRUDNIKOV, A. P., BRYCHKOV, Y. A., AND MARICHEV, O. I. 1986. *Integrals and Series: Special Functions*. Vol. 2. Gordon & Breach Science Publishers, New York, 650–651.
- PRUDNIKOV, A. P., BRYCHKOV, Y. A., AND MARICHEV, O. I. 1992. *Integrals and Series: Direct Laplace Transforms*. Vol. 4-5. Gordon and Breach Science Publisher, New York, 1766.
- RICHARDS, D. S. P. 2009. *Functions of Matrix Argument appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 768–772.
- ROY, R., OLVER, F. W. J., ASKEY, R. A., AND WONG, R. 2009. *Algebraic and Analytic Methods appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 36–38.
- SLEEMAN, B. D. AND KUZNETSOV, V. B. 2009. *Heun Functions appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 712–719.
- TEMME, N. M. 2009a. *Error Functions, Dawson's and Fresnel Integrals appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 160–167.

- TEMME, N. M. 2009b. *Numerical Methods appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 75–100.
- TEMME, N. M. 2009c. *Parabolic Cylinder Functions appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 308–314.
- THOMPSON, I. J. 2009. *Coulomb Functions appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 745–753.
- VOLKMER, H. 2009a. *Lame Functions appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 693.
- VOLKMER, H. 2009b. *Spheroidal Wave Functions appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 707.
- WEISSTEIN, E. W. 2010a. Bell polynomial. *From MathWorld—A Wolfram Web Resource* <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/BellPolynomial.html>.
- WEISSTEIN, E. W. 2010b. Detour polynomial. *From MathWorld—A Wolfram Web Resource* <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/DetourPolynomial.html>.
- WEISSTEIN, E. W. 2010c. Dichroic polynomial. *From MathWorld—A Wolfram Web Resource* <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/DichroicPolynomial.html>.
- WEISSTEIN, E. W. 2010d. Distance polynomial. *From MathWorld—A Wolfram Web Resource* <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/DistancePolynomial.html>.
- WEISSTEIN, E. W. 2010e. Flow polynomial. *From MathWorld—A Wolfram Web Resource* <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/FlowPolynomial.html>.
- WEISSTEIN, E. W. 2010f. Idiosyncratic polynomial. *From MathWorld—A Wolfram Web Resource* <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/IdiosyncraticPolynomial.html>.
- WEISSTEIN, E. W. 2010g. Laplacian polynomial. *From MathWorld—A Wolfram Web Resource* <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/LaplacianPolynomial.html>.
- WEISSTEIN, E. W. 2010h. *CRC Encyclopedia of Mathematics*, 3Ed. Vol. 2. CRC Press, 2040–2041.
- WEISSTEIN, E. W. 2010i. *CRC Encyclopedia of Mathematics*, 3Ed. Vol. 2. CRC Press, 2563.
- WEISSTEIN, E. W. 2010j. *CRC Encyclopedia of Mathematics*, 3Ed. Vol. 2. CRC Press, 1814–1815.
- WEISSTEIN, E. W. 2010k. *CRC Encyclopedia of Mathematics*, 3Ed. Vol. 3. CRC Press, 3917.
- WEISSTEIN, E. W. 2010l. *CRC Encyclopedia of Mathematics*, 3Ed. Vol. 1. CRC Press, 1254.
- WEISSTEIN, E. W. 2010m. *CRC Encyclopedia of Mathematics*, 3Ed. Vol. 2. CRC Press, 2113–2114.
- WEISSTEIN, E. W. 2010n. *CRC Encyclopedia of Mathematics*, 3Ed. Vol. 3. CRC Press, 4165–4166.
- WEISSTEIN, E. W. 2010o. *CRC Encyclopedia of Mathematics*, 3Ed. Vol. 2. CRC Press, 2509.
- WEISSTEIN, E. W. 2010p. *CRC Encyclopedia of Mathematics*, 3Ed. Vol. 1. CRC Press, 656.
- WEISSTEIN, E. W. 2010q. *CRC Encyclopedia of Mathematics*, 3Ed. CRC Press.
- WOLF, G. 2009. *Mathieu Functions and Hill's Equation appearing in NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge, 676.