

THE THEORY OF POLICIES

LEI LIU

This article is dedicated to the Riemann hypothesis.

ABSTRACT. This article produces the general theory and special theory of policies. A case of the special theory, and reusability of mathematical variables and mathematical functions prove the Riemann hypothesis for semantics identified in \mathbb{R}^1 . We extend the reusability to semantics identified in \mathbb{R}^{2n} ($n \geq 1$). Finally, theoretic construction is deduced.

1. INTRODUCTION

Definition 1.1. A *policy* is a set of states, conditions, goals, utilities, standards, and knowledge to guide reasoning, learning, and decisions.

Definition 1.2. An *expression* is the well formed representation of the policy.

Definition 1.3. *Semantics* is the meaning of the policy.

1.1. Algebraic and Geometric Considerations.

Lemma 1.4. *Policies have complex representations.*

Proof. Meanings may not vary with different expressions as fixed expressions are used. A policy expression may not vary with meaning as functions are used. A policy expressed with utility functions such as logging, tracing, and profiling has zero semantics. An interface or expression without meaning has zero semantics. Unknown events may result in semantics without expressions. Unchecked errors have no expressions and semantics.

A policy has an expression as the real part. If semantics is identified in \mathbb{R}^1 , then the semantics and the expression form orthogonal components of a single new variable. The semantics becomes the imaginary part. The policy can be represented in the complex plane as $z = x + iy, x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. The policy can be analyzed as a quantity in \mathbb{C} . If the semantics is identified in \mathbb{R}^2 , then one-dimensional decomposition of the semantics and the expression are orthogonal components of the single variable. Hence, one-dimensional decomposition of semantics becomes the imaginary part. The policy with one-dimensional semantics decomposition can be represented in the complex plane as z . The policy with one-dimensional semantics decomposition can be analyzed as a quantity in \mathbb{C} . Similarly, a policy with one-dimensional semantics decomposition can be analyzed as a quantity in \mathbb{C} if the semantics is identified in \mathbb{R}^{2n} ($n \geq 1$).

Policies with equivalent expressions and the same meaning are considered to be the same policy since two complex numbers are equal if their real and imaginary parts are respectively equal.

Date: June 10, 2012 .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11M26.

Key words and phrases. General Theory, Special Theory, Reusability, Riemann Hypothesis, Zeros, Construction.

In the following analysis, we refer a policy or policies to the policy or policies with one-dimensional semantics decomposition if the semantics is identified in \mathbb{R}^{2n} ($n \geq 1$). We analyze a policy with one-dimensional semantics decomposition as z , if the semantics is identified in \mathbb{R}^{2n} ($n \geq 1$). \square

Lemma 1.5. *Policies have arithmetic properties.*

Proof. The operations between independent policies can be abstracted as policy additions. The operations between dependent policies can be abstracted as policy multiplications. The rules adding and multiplying can be obtained by operations of real parts and imaginary parts. Let $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$ be satisfied and let $z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$ be satisfied. For addition, real parts add together and imaginary parts add together.

$$(1.1) \quad z_1 \pm z_2 = (x_1 \pm x_2) + i(y_1 \pm y_2)$$

For multiplication, both real parts and imaginary parts contribute to dependent policies.

$$(1.2) \quad z_1 z_2 = (x_1 x_2 - y_1 y_2) + i(x_1 y_2 + y_1 x_2)$$

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{z_1}{z_2} = \frac{(x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2) + i(x_2 y_1 - x_1 y_2)}{x_2^2 + y_2^2}$$

where $z_2 \neq 0$. \square

Lemma 1.6. *The policy operations are commutative, associative and distributive.*

Proof. Policy operations satisfy following properties for $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Commutativity: The orders to define policy addition and multiplication operands are invariant. The orders do not alter the results of policy evaluation.

$$(1.4) \quad z_1 + z_2 = z_2 + z_1; z_1 z_2 = z_2 z_1$$

Associativity: Policies can have precedence to be evaluated. The precedence does not change the results of evaluation.

$$(1.5) \quad (z_1 + z_2) + z_3 = z_1 + (z_2 + z_3); (z_1 z_2) z_3 = z_1 (z_2 z_3)$$

Distributivity: A dependent policy multiplies a set of independent policies that can be distributed over each independent policy.

$$(1.6) \quad z_1 (z_2 + z_3) = z_1 z_2 + z_1 z_3$$

\square

Remark 1.7. We can parallelize commutative policy operations. We can define and evaluate a large policy by associativity and distributivity.

Lemma 1.8. *Policies have geometric properties.*

Proof. Modulus of a policy $|z|$ is the Euclidean length in \mathbb{R}^2 . Phase of the policy $\text{ph}z = \theta + 2k\pi, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Phase represents a policy identifier. The principal value $\text{ph}z \in [-\pi, \pi]$ is single valued on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ except on the interval $(-\infty, 0)$. We obtain the principal value through the naming space. \square

Lemma 1.9. *Policy z has its conjugate \bar{z} .*

Proof. Let $z = x + iy, x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\bar{z} = x - iy$ be satisfied. z has policy expression x with a if-then-else statement. With the same expression x , the conjugate semantics is reflected by the then and else statement. \square

Lemma 1.10. *Policies may converge to a policy.*

Proof. Let a sequence of policies $\{z_1, z_2, \dots\}$ satisfy $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |z_n - w| = 0$. The sequence of policies converges to a policy $w \in \mathbb{C}$. For convergent policies, we also write $w = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n$. \square

Lemma 1.11. *Policies form a complete system.*

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be satisfied. There exists an integer $N > 0$ such that $|z_n - z_m| < \epsilon$ whenever $n, m > N$. If both real parts and imaginary parts of the sequence satisfy the convergence condition, we obtain a *policy sequence* $\{z_n\}$. Since every *policy sequence* in \mathbb{C} has a limit in \mathbb{C} . We conclude that policies form a complete system. \square

These lemmas derive a theorem on policies.

Theorem 1.12. *A policy system is complex and complete.*

1.2. Topological Considerations. Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r, r_1, r_2 > 0$ be satisfied.

Definition 1.13. A set of policies can be represented as an *open policy disc* $D_r(z_0)$ as

$$(1.7) \quad D_r(z_0) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| < r\}.$$

Definition 1.14. A set of policies can be represented as a *closed policy disc* $D_r(z_0)$ as

$$(1.8) \quad D_r(z_0) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| \leq r\}.$$

Definition 1.15. A set of policies can be represented as a *policy circle* $C_r(z_0)$ as

$$(1.9) \quad C_r(z_0) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| = r\}.$$

Definition 1.16. A set of policies can be represented as a *policy unit disc* \mathbb{D} as

$$(1.10) \quad \mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}.$$

Definition 1.17. If $0 \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq \infty$, then a set of policies can be represented as a *policy annulus* as

$$(1.11) \quad \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_1 < |z - z_0| < r_2\}.$$

Definition 1.18. A set of policies $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ forms a *policy space*.

Definition 1.19. A policy z_0 is an *interior point* of a policy space Ω if $D_r(z_0) \subset \Omega$. The *interior* of Ω contains all these points.

Definition 1.20. A policy space is *open* if every point $z \in \Omega$ is an interior point of Ω .

Definition 1.21. A policy space is *closed* if it contains all its limit points.

Definition 1.22. The *closure* $\overline{\Omega}$ of a policy space Ω contains Ω and the limit points.

Definition 1.23. The *boundary* of a policy space $\partial\Omega$ is equivalent to the closure minus the interior.

Definition 1.24. A policy space Ω is *bounded* if $|z| < M$, where $M > 0$ and $z \in \Omega$. Its *diameter* is

$$(1.12) \quad \text{diam}(\Omega) = \sup_{z, w \in \Omega} |z - w|$$

Definition 1.25. If a policy space $K \subset \Omega$ is closed and bounded, then K is *compact*.

Definition 1.26. An open policy space Ω is *connected* if there are no two disjoint non-empty open spaces Ω_1 and Ω_2 such that $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$.

Definition 1.27. A connected open policy space $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a *policy region*. Similarly, a connected closed policy space is a policy region.

Definition 1.28. A *deleted neighborhood* of z_0 is $D_r(z_0) \setminus \{z_0\}$. The set is $\{z : 0 < |z - z_0| < r\}$.

We derive a set of formulations on the compact policy space.

Theorem 1.29. *The policy space $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ is compact if every policy sequence $\{z_n\} \subset \Omega$ has a subsequence that converges to a point in Ω .*

Proof. It suffices to prove Ω is bounded and closed. If Ω is unbounded, there exists a positive integer n and $z_n \in \Omega$ such that $|z_n| > n$. If z_{n_1}, z_{n_2} is the subsequence of z_1, z_2, \dots that converges to a point in Ω , then $|z_{n_k}| > n_k > k$ such that $|z_{n_k}|$ diverges as $k \rightarrow \infty$. But z_{n_1}, z_{n_2} converges. Thus Ω is bounded since every convergent sequence is bounded. If Ω is not closed, let a sequence z_1, z_2, \dots in Ω converge to a point which is not in Ω . If z_{n_1}, z_{n_2} is the subsequence of z_1, z_2, \dots that converges to a point in Ω , then this contradicts the fact that z_{n_1}, z_{n_2} must converge to the point which is not in Ω . Thus Ω is closed. Ω is bounded and closed. Ω is compact. \square

Theorem 1.30. *A policy space Ω is compact if every open covering of Ω has a finite subcovering.*

Proof. Assume every open covering of Ω has a finite subcovering. $\{U_\alpha\}$ is an arbitrary family of open sets such that $\Omega \subset \bigcup_\alpha U_\alpha$. Each $U_\alpha = V_\alpha \cap \Omega$ for a policy space V_α in \mathbb{C} . We obtain $U_\alpha \subset V_\alpha$. Thus $\{V_\alpha\}$ is a cover of Ω . By assumption, $\{V_\alpha\}$ has a finite subcover. So $\{U_\alpha\}$ covers Ω and Ω is compact. \square

Theorem 1.31. *In \mathbb{C} , a sequence of non-empty compact policy space $\Omega_1 \supset \Omega_2 \supset \dots \supset \Omega_n \supset \dots$ satisfies $\text{diam}(\Omega_n) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$. There exists a unique policy $w \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $w \in \Omega_n$.*

Proof. There exists a policy z_n in each Ω_n . The policy sequence $\{z_n\}$ converges to w since the diameters approach zero. Thus $w \in \Omega_n$ since Ω_n is compact. Now it suffices to prove w is unique. If we have w' , then $|w - w'| > 0$. This is in contradiction to the condition that the diameters approach zero. The theorem is to be shown. \square

1.3. Policy Functions. We study policy functions from above the topological discussion.

Definition 1.32. The *effect* of a policy is defined as a transformation of a given input sequence of actions.

Definition 1.33. The mapping from policies to effects is regarded as a *policy functions* $w = f(z)$ defined in a policy space Ω .

Definition 1.34. Let a policy function be f and $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(z) - f(z_0)| < \epsilon$ whenever $z, z_0 \in \Omega$ and $|z - z_0| < \delta$. f is *continuous* at z_0 . A *continuous policy function* in Ω is continuous at every point of the policy space Ω .

Remark 1.35. A set of independent polices is continuous, if each of the policy members is continuous. A set of dependent policies is continuous, if each of the policy members is continuous, since the sums and products of continuous policy functions are continuous.

Definition 1.36. Policy function f attains a *maximum* at $z_0 \in \Omega$ if $|f(z)| \leq |f(z_0)|$ where $z \in \Omega$.

Definition 1.37. A policy function f attains a *minimum* at $z_0 \in \Omega$ if $|f(z)| \geq |f(z_0)|$ where $z \in \Omega$.

Theorem 1.38. *If a continuous policy function in a compact policy space Ω is bounded, then the function attains a maximum and minimum in the policy space Ω .*

Proof. If Ω is compact, let U_α be an open covering of f . The preimages f^{-1} are an open covering of Ω . It can be formulated as a finite subcovering as $f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_1}), \dots, f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_n})$. From Theorem 1.30, f in Ω is closed and bounded. It follows that f has the upper bound and lower bound since f is bounded. f contains the limit points since f is closed. Thus f attains a maximum and minimum in Ω . \square

Definition 1.39. Let f be a policy function in a policy space Ω . f is *holomorphic* at the point $z_0 \in \Omega$ if $f'(z_0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z_0+h) - f(z_0)}{h}$, $h \in \mathbb{C}$. The policy function is holomorphic in Ω if f is holomorphic at every point of Ω .

Remark 1.40. A holomorphic policy function is infinitely differentiable and analytic. The function satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann equations and has power series expansion near every point. If f is holomorphic in \mathbb{C} , then f is entire.

Theorem 1.41. *In a policy region Ω , suppose that a continuous policy function $f(z)$ satisfies (1) $\int_\gamma f \cdot \tau ds = 0$, where $f \cdot \tau$ is the component of f tangent to any smooth closed curve γ . (2) $\int_\gamma f \cdot n ds = 0$, where $f \cdot n$ is the component of f normal to any smooth closed curve γ . ds denotes arc length along γ . Then conjugate function $\overline{f(z)}$ is holomorphic.*

Proof. Let $f(z) = u(z) + iv(z)$ be satisfied. Since $\gamma(t) = x(t) + iy(t)$, where $a \leq t \leq b$ is a smooth closed curve in \mathbb{C} , we write

$$(1.13) \quad f \cdot \tau = \frac{ux'}{\sqrt{(x')^2 + (y')^2}} + \frac{vy'}{\sqrt{(x')^2 + (y')^2}}$$

$$(1.14) \quad \int_\gamma f \cdot \tau ds = \int_\gamma u dx + v dy = \Re \int_\gamma \overline{f(z)} dz = 0$$

$$(1.15) \quad \int_\gamma f \cdot n ds = \int_\gamma u dy - v dx = \Im \int_\gamma \overline{f(z)} dz = 0$$

Thus for a small circle γ in Ω , we have

$$(1.16) \quad \iint_\Omega \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) dx dy = \int_\gamma u dx + v dy = 0$$

$$(1.17) \quad \iint_\Omega \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) dx dy = \int_\gamma u dy - v dx = 0$$

Let γ shrink down to a point. This result gives $\partial u / \partial x = -\partial v / \partial y$ and $\partial u / \partial y = \partial v / \partial x$. We conclude that the conjugate function $\overline{f} = u - iv$ is holomorphic. \square

Remark 1.42. If f satisfies the above conditions in a policy region Ω , then there exists holomorphic complex potential $G(z)$ such that $G'(z) = \overline{f(z)}$. The level curves $\Re f(z) = c_1$ do not touch the boundary of Ω but the level curves $\Im f(z) = c_2$ meet the boundary of Ω . The level curves $\Im f(z) = c_2$ represent paths. We can find the paths for policy functions around impermeable objects or in a simply connected region whose boundary consists of straight lines except for a few irregularities.

Theorem 1.43. *Different holomorphic policy functions do not dominate each other.*

Proof. If f_1 and f_2 are holomorphic policy functions, and $|f_1| \leq |f_2|$, then $f_1 = \alpha f_2$ where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $h = f_1/f_2$ be satisfied. It suffices to prove that h is entire and bounded. h is bounded such that singularities are removable. Thus h can be extended to an entire function. The result implies h is constant. \square

Lemma 1.44. *Holomorphic policy functions have dense effects.*

Proof. If the effects of policy function f are not dense, then there exist $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r > 0$ such that $D_r(z_0)$ has no effects of f . Let $g(z) = 1/(f(z) - z_0)$ be satisfied.

$$(1.18) \quad |g(z)| = \frac{1}{|f(z) - z_0|} < \frac{1}{r}$$

Thus g is constant and f is constant. This result violates the assumption. The effects are dense. \square

Lemma 1.45. *Holomorphic policy functions are continuous.*

Proof. There exist $z_0 \in \Omega$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Let ψ be a function defined for small h and $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \psi(h) = 0$ such that

$$(1.19) \quad f(z_0 + h) - f(z_0) - \alpha h = h\psi(h)$$

Thus $f'(z_0) = \alpha$ and f is continuous. \square

Lemma 1.46. *Holomorphic policy functions are affine if they are scalar multiples of the policy input.*

Proof. Let $|f(z)| \leq M|z|$ be satisfied such that

$$(1.20) \quad |f'(z)| \leq \frac{M}{2\pi} \int_{C_r} \frac{|\zeta|}{|(\zeta - z)^2|} |d\zeta|$$

f' is bounded and entire, so it is constant. Thus it is linear. Let $\zeta - z = re^{i\theta}$ be satisfied. Integrating Equation 1.20 obtains that the constant term is zero. Hence, f is affine. \square

These lemmas derive a theorem on holomorphic policy functions.

Theorem 1.47. *Holomorphic policy functions have well behaved characters.*

1.4. Changes of Holomorphic Policy Functions.

Lemma 1.48. *Rules can be applied to modify holomorphic policy functions.*

$$(1.21) \quad (af + bg)' = af' + bg'$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ are constants.

$$(1.22) \quad (fg)' = f'g + fg'$$

$$(1.23) \quad \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)' = \frac{f'g - fg'}{g^2}$$

where $g \neq 0$.

$$(1.24) \quad (f \circ g)' = g'(f(z))f'(z)$$

Proof. For holomorphic policy functions f, g where $z \in \Omega$, differentiating composite expressions and using the chain rules, we can prove the above properties. \square

Remark 1.49. To study the changes of policy effects, we need the above consequences. From Equation 1.21, to change independent policy functions, we can apply the changes to each individual policy function. The changes can be distributed. However, from Equation 1.22, to change dependent policy functions, we only can change one of the policy function at a time. The partial changes are independent. If a policy function is shared, from Equation 1.23, partial changes should be applied to each policy function at a time. For composite policy functions, from Equation 1.24, we need to apply partial changes to each policy at the same time.

Lemma 1.50. *The changes of policy expressions may change holomorphic policy functions.*

Proof. Let $z = x + iy$, $h = h_1 + ih_2$, $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$ be satisfied and fix y_0 such that

$$(1.25) \quad f'(z_0) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(z_0)$$

If we fix x_0 , then we have

$$(1.26) \quad f'(z_0) = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(z_0)$$

Thus we obtain $\partial f / \partial x = -i \partial f / \partial y$ □

Remark 1.51. The changes of policy expressions may have the same effects as the change in semantics. The changes of expressions alone may change holomorphic policy functions. For higher dimensions, $\partial u / \partial x = \partial v / \partial y$ and $\partial u / \partial y = -\partial v / \partial x$ are overdetermined where $u = \Re f$ and $v = \Im f$.

Lemma 1.52. *The changes of policy expressions and policy semantics result in the changes of holomorphic policy functions.*

Proof.

$$(1.27) \quad f'(z_0) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(z_0) + \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(z_0) \right) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z_0)$$

Let $f = u + iv$ be satisfied. We have

$$(1.28) \quad f'(z_0) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(z_0) + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(z_0) + \frac{1}{i} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(z_0) + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(z_0) \right) \right) = 2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}(z_0)$$

Thus the changes of holomorphic policy functions have observables. □

Remark 1.53. We can validate the changes of holomorphic policy functions due to the changes of policy expressions. However, the changes of expressions may be partial causes of the changes of holomorphic policy functions.

Lemma 1.54. *Holomorphic policy functions are independent of the policy reflection.*

Proof.

$$(1.29) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)$$

Let $f = u + iv$ be satisfied.

$$(1.30) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(z_0) + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(z_0) - \frac{1}{i} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(z_0) + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(z_0) \right) \right)$$

Thus we obtain $\partial f / \partial \bar{z} = 0$ □

Remark 1.55. We can construct branches of a conditional policy for holomorphic policy functions without any interruption.

These lemmas derive a theorem on holomorphic policy functions.

Theorem 1.56. *Policy expressions partially determine holomorphic policy functions. The changes can be verified.*

Remark 1.57. Holomorphic policy functions can be constructed by policy expressions and measured by real quantities.

Theorem 1.58. *A policy function f in an open policy space Ω can be holomorphic. The regular changes of f determine analyticity. The changes can be measured.*

Proof. Let $f = u + iv$ be satisfied where u, v are continuously differentiable and $h = h_1 + ih_2$.

$$(1.31) \quad u(x + h_1, y + h_2) - u(x, y) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} h_1 + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} h_2 + |h| \psi_1(h)$$

Applying the same rule to v , we have

$$(1.32) \quad iv(x + h_1, y + h_2) - iv(x, y) = i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} h_1 + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} h_2 + i|h| \psi_2(h)$$

Let $\psi(h) = \psi_1(h) + i\psi_2(h)$ be satisfied.

$$(1.33) \quad f(z + h) - f(z) = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) h + |h| \psi(h)$$

This result gives

$$(1.34) \quad f'(z) = 2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$$

□

Remark 1.59. The regular changes in a policy space can construct holomorphic policy functions. Autonomous policy systems are possible. However, the changes can be measured.

1.5. Policy Structures. We can further study the structures of holomorphic policy functions.

Lemma 1.60. *A policy structure $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$ converges if $|z - z_0| < R$ where $0 \leq R \leq \infty, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. On the disc, let $R \neq 0, \infty$ be satisfied.

$$(1.35) \quad \frac{|z - z_0|}{R} < 1$$

There exists a small $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$(1.36) \quad \left(\frac{1}{R} + \epsilon \right) |z - z_0| < 1$$

For large n , $|a_n|^{1/n} \leq 1/R + \epsilon$. This result gives

$$(1.37) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| |z - z_0|^n \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\left(\frac{1}{R} + \epsilon \right) |z - z_0| \right)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n$$

where $r < 1$.

Since the geometric series converges as $r < 1$, the policy series converges. For $R = 0$ or ∞ , the policy series converges. This result completes the proof. \square

Remark 1.61. The policy structure is holomorphic in the interior of the disc of convergence. The policy series diverges out of the disc. On the boundary of the disc, both converged and diverged structures exist. We can also study the power structures by special functions such as e^z , $\sin z$, $\cos z$ which have $R = \infty$. They are convergent in the whole plane. For geometric series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n$, $R = 1$. The series is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$. In addition, with translation, the results held for $D_R(z_0)$ also hold for the disc centered at origin.

For higher dimensions, the domain of convergence of the power structures is the interior of the set of points at which the structures converge for some ordering of the terms. Besides the power structures, there are other types of series.

Lemma 1.62. *A holomorphic policy function f has a power series structure at z_0 as $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$ if the disc of convergence $D_r(z_0)$ is contained in an open policy space Ω , where $z \in D_r(z_0)$ and $a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C f(\zeta)/((\zeta - z_0)^{n+1})d\zeta$.*

Proof. Fix $z \in D_r(z_0)$.

$$(1.38) \quad f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$$

where C is the boundary of $D_r(z_0)$.

$$(1.39) \quad \frac{1}{\zeta - z} = \frac{1}{\zeta - z_0} \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{z - z_0}{\zeta - z_0}\right)}$$

where $|(z - z_0)/(\zeta - z_0)| < 1$

$$(1.40) \quad \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{z - z_0}{\zeta - z_0}\right)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z - z_0}{\zeta - z_0}\right)^n$$

where the series converges uniformly for $\zeta \in C$.

This result gives the power series expansion and a_n . \square

Lemma 1.63. *Suppose that a holomorphic policy function f has a power series structure at z_0 as $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$ if the disc of convergence $D_r(z_0)$ is contained in a policy space Ω . Then $a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi r^n} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + re^{i\theta})e^{-in\theta}d\theta$, where $n \geq 0$ and $r \in (0, R)$. Whenever $n < 0$, then $\frac{1}{2\pi r^n} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + re^{i\theta})e^{-in\theta}d\theta = 0$.*

Proof.

$$(1.41) \quad a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_r} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z_0)^{n+1}} d\zeta$$

Let $\zeta = z_0 + re^{i\theta}$ be satisfied. For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$(1.42) \quad a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(z_0 + re^{i\theta})}{(z_0 + re^{i\theta} - z_0)^{n+1}} rie^{i\theta} d\theta$$

If $n < 0$, then we have $a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi r^n} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + re^{i\theta})e^{-in\theta}d\theta$. $f(\zeta)(\zeta - z_0)^{-n-1}$ is holomorphic in $C_r(z_0)$. Thus $\frac{1}{2\pi r^n} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + re^{i\theta})e^{-in\theta}d\theta = 0$. \square

Remark 1.64. This lemma reveals the connections between Fourier series on $C_r(z_0)$ and the power structure of holomorphic policy functions in $D_r(z_0)$. In addition, $a_0 = f(z_0)$. We have $f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + re^{i\theta}) d\theta$. Let $u = \Re f$ be satisfied. $u(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(z_0 + re^{i\theta}) d\theta$. This means a harmonic function in $D_r(z_0)$ is the real part of the holomorphic policy function in $D_r(z_0)$. For higher dimensions, pluriharmonic functions are connected with holomorphic functions. We cannot arbitrarily give the values of the pluriharmonic functions on the whole boundary of a domain.

Lemma 1.65. *The changes of policy structures preserve the power structures and the radius of convergence R .*

Proof. Let $|z - z_0| = r < R$ and $r < s < R$ be satisfied. For integers $n \geq N$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(r/s)^n = 0$. This result gives

$$(1.43) \quad nr^{n-1} \leq s^n$$

$$(1.44) \quad n|a_n||z - z_0|^{n-1} \leq |a_n|s^n$$

The series $\sum na_n(z - z_0)^{n-1}$ converges. Hence, the radius is preserved. Let $\delta = \frac{1}{2}(R - |z|)$ and $|h| < \delta$ be satisfied such that

$$(1.45) \quad f(z+h) - f(z) = a_1h + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n((z+h)^n - z^n)$$

Let $g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na_n(z - z_0)^{n-1}$ be satisfied. We have

$$(1.46) \quad \frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h} - g(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \left(\frac{(z+h)^n - z^n}{h} - nz^{n-1} \right)$$

where

$$(1.47) \quad \left| \frac{(z+h)^n - z^n}{h} - nz^{n-1} \right| \leq \sum_{j=2}^n \binom{n}{j} |z|^{n-j} |h|^{j-1}$$

where $\binom{n}{j} = n!/(j!(n-j)!)$.

$$(1.48) \quad \left| \frac{(z+h)^n - z^n}{h} - nz^{n-1} \right| \leq |h|\delta^{-2}(R - \delta)^n$$

This result leads to

$$(1.49) \quad \left| \frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h} - g(z) \right| \leq |h|\delta^{-2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n|(R - \delta)^n$$

Since $h \rightarrow 0$, we conclude that $(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n)' = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na_n z^{n-1}$. The power structure is preserved. \square

Lemma 1.66. *The changes of power structures are infinitely differentiable.*

Proof.

$$(1.50) \quad f^m(z) = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} n(n-1)\cdots(n-m+1)a_n(z - z_0)^{n-m}$$

where $m = 1, 2, \dots$ \square

Lemma 1.67. *The operations of the policy structures preserve the power structures.*

Proof. When $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ [1] both converge, we have

$$(1.51) \quad f(z) + g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n + b_n) z^n$$

$$(1.52) \quad f(z)g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$$

where c_n is computed from a_n and b_n

$$(1.53) \quad \frac{1}{f(z)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n z^n$$

where d_n is computed from a_n

$$(1.54) \quad \ln f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n z^n$$

where q_n is computed from a_n

$$(1.55) \quad (f(z))^\nu = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n z^n$$

where p_n is computed from a_n . □

Due to the above properties of power series, an important representation of holomorphic policy functions is derived.

Theorem 1.68. *For all z in a neighborhood of z_0 , a primary representation of the structure of a holomorphic policy function is*

$$(1.56) \quad f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

where $a_n = \frac{f^{(n)}(z_0)}{n!}$, $n \geq 0$.

1.6. Policy Functions Along Curves.

Definition 1.69. A *curve* is a one-dimensional object with an orientation. All piecewise-smooth curves are curves. A *parametrized curve* is a function $z(t)$ maps a closed interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ to \mathbb{C} .

Definition 1.70. A *policy function f along $[a, b]$* is defined as $\int_a^b f(t) dt = \int_a^b u(t) dt + i \int_a^b v(t) dt$, where $f(t) = u(t) + iv(t)$.

Definition 1.71. A *continuous policy function f along a smooth curve γ* is defined as $\int_\gamma f(z) dz = \int_a^b f(z(t)) z'(t) dt$ if parametrization is $z : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. For piecewise-smooth curves, $\int_\gamma f(z) dz = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{a_k}^{a_{k+1}} f(z(t)) z'(t) dt$.

Definition 1.72. A *policy primitive* for a policy function f in an open policy space Ω is a holomorphic function F that $F'(z) = f(z)$, $z \in \Omega$.

Lemma 1.73. *A policy function f along a curve γ is independent of the parametrization.*

Proof. Let two parametrizations z from $[a, b]$ and \tilde{z} from $[c, d]$ be equivalent such that

$$(1.57) \quad \int_a^b f(z(t))z'(t)dt = \int_c^d f(z(t(s)))z'(t(s))t'(s)ds = \int_c^d f(\tilde{z}(s))\tilde{z}'(s)ds$$

□

Lemma 1.74. *Continuous policy functions along curves have linearity, reversability, and inequality.*

Proof. Due to linearity of the Riemann intergral, we have

$$(1.58) \quad \int_{\gamma} (af(z) + bg(z))dz = a \int_{\gamma} f(z)dz + b \int_{\gamma} g(z)dz$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$.

For reversed curve γ^- , we have

$$(1.59) \quad \int_{\gamma^-} f(z)dz = - \int_a^b f(z(b+a-t))z'(b+a-t)dt$$

Let $s = b + a - t$ be satisfied. This result gives

$$(1.60) \quad \int_{\gamma^-} f(z)dz = - \int_a^b f(z(s))z'(s)ds = - \int_{\gamma} f(z)dz$$

For inequality, we have

$$(1.61) \quad \left| \int_{\gamma} f(z)dz \right| \leq \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |f(z(t))| \int_a^b |z'(t)|dt \leq \sup_{z \in \gamma} |f(z)| \times L(\gamma)$$

where $L(\gamma)$ is the length of γ . □

Remark 1.75. For continuous policy functions, independent policy functions along the curves can be contributed by independent policy functions separately. With the orientation of the curve, policy functions along the curves can be reversed. It follows that they can be cancelled. The overall contribution of policy functions along the curves can be estimated by the maximum of the policy function and the length of the curve.

Theorem 1.76. *Suppose that a continuous policy function f along a curve γ in a policy space Ω has end points w_1, w_2 and a policy primitive F , then $\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = F(w_2) - F(w_1)$*

Proof. If γ is smooth, let $z(t)$ be a parametrization of γ such that

$$(1.62) \quad \int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = \int_a^b f(z(t))z'(t)dt = \int_a^b \frac{d}{dt} F(z(t))dt = F(w_2) - F(w_1)$$

If γ is piecewise-smooth, then

$$(1.63) \quad \int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F(z(a_{k+1})) - F(z(a_k)) = F(z(a_n)) - F(z(a_0)) = F(w_2) - F(w_1)$$

□

Remark 1.77. Continuous policy function f along curve γ is independent of the curve chosen and can be formed directly from two end points.

Corollary 1.78. *Suppose that a continuous policy function f along a closed curve γ has a policy primitive in an open policy space Ω . Then $\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = 0$.*

Proof. For γ , $w_2 = w_1$. Let $z(t)$ be a parametrization of γ such that $\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = 0$. \square

Corollary 1.79. *If constant policy function f is defined in policy region Ω , then $f' = 0$.*

Proof. $\int_{\gamma} f'(z)dz = f(z) - f(z_0) = 0$ where $z_0 \in \Omega$. $f(z) = f(z_0)$ is proved. \square

Remark 1.80. According to the Green's Theorem, we represent a continuous policy function f along a curve γ to a certain related function over a policy space Ω as

$$(1.64) \quad \int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = i \iint_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) dx dy$$

where Ω is bounded and the boundary γ is composed of straight line segments.

Theorem 1.81. *The interior of the piecewise-smooth curve can be identified as*

$$(1.65) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{z - \zeta} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \zeta \text{ is outside } \gamma \\ 1 & \text{if } \zeta \text{ is inside } \gamma \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let Ω be the policy space inside γ and let ζ be the point outside γ and $f(z) = 1/(z - \zeta)$ such that

$$(1.66) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{-1}{(z - \zeta)^2}, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{-i}{(z - \zeta)^2}$$

It means $\partial f/\partial x + i\partial f/\partial y = 0$ in Ω . This result gives

$$(1.67) \quad \int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = i \iint_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) dx dy = 0$$

Let ζ be a point inside γ . $f(z)$ is not continuous at ζ . We study the theorem locally. If r is small so that $C_{2r}(\zeta)$ exists in Ω , then let Ω_1 be the policy space inside γ but outside $C_{2r}(\zeta)$. We have $\partial f/\partial x + i\partial f/\partial y = 0$ on Ω_1 . This result means $\int_{\gamma} dz/(z - \zeta) + \int_{C_{2r}(\zeta)} dz/(z - \zeta) = 0$. Let $z - \zeta = re^{i\theta}$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ be satisfied. We have

$$(1.68) \quad \int_{-C_{2r}(\zeta)} \frac{dz}{z - \zeta} = 2\pi i$$

Hence, $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} dz/(z - \zeta) = 1$. \square

2. GENERAL THEORY OF POLICIES

2.1. Policy Contours.

Definition 2.1. A *policy contour* γ is the closed curve in an open policy space Ω with defined interior.

Lemma 2.2. *If a holomorphic policy function f is defined in an open policy space Ω that contains a policy triangle $T \subset \Omega$ whose interior is contained in Ω , then $\int_T f(z)dz = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that T_0 is the original triangle. Let d_0 denote the diameter of T_0 and p_0 denote the perimeter of T_0 . If we bisect T_0 and obtain a sequence of triangles T_0, T_1, \dots, T_n , then we obtain $|\int_{T_0} f(z)dz| \leq 4^n |\int_{T_n} f(z)dz|$ and $d_n = 2^{-n}d_0, p_n = 2^{-n}p_0$. Since there is a unique point z_0 that belongs to all the solid triangles and f is holomorphic at z_0 , we have $f(z) = f(z_0) + f'(z_0)(z - z_0) + \psi(z)(z - z_0)$, where $\psi(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow z_0$. Hence, $\int_{T_n} f(z)dz = \int_{T_n} \psi(z)(z - z_0)dz$. Since $|z - z_0| \leq d_n$, we conclude that

$$(2.1) \quad \left| \int_{T_0} f(z)dz \right| \leq 4^n \left| \int_{T_n} f(z)dz \right| \leq \alpha_n d_0 p_0$$

where $\alpha_n = \sup_{z \in T_n} |\psi(z)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Lemma 2.3. *There exists a policy rectangle R and an open policy space Ω , $R \subset \Omega$. If R has an interior contained in Ω , then $\int_R f(z)dz = 0$, where f is holomorphic in Ω .*

Proof. By triangularizing the rectangle R into T , $\int_R f(z)dz = 0$ is given. \square

Lemma 2.4. *If a policy function f is holomorphic in an open policy disc $D_r(z_0)$, then $\int_\gamma f(z)dz = 0$, where γ is a closed curve in $D_r(z_0)$.*

Proof. In $D_r(z_0)$, we can draw proper polygonal paths. By triangularization, we can cancel f on T . We fix $z \in D_r(z_0)$. Let $h \in \mathbb{C}$ be small such that $z + h$ belongs to $D_r(z_0)$. For the remaining line segment η , which does not construct a triangle, we have $F(z + h) - F(z) = \int_\eta f(w)dw$, where $f(w) = f(z) + \psi(w)$, $\psi(w) \rightarrow 0$ as $w \rightarrow z$.

$$(2.2) \quad F(z + h) - F(z) = f(z) \int_\eta dw + \int_\eta \psi(w)dw$$

For $h \rightarrow 0$, we have $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (F(z + h) - F(z))/h = f(z)$. Hence, f has a policy primitive in $D_r(z_0)$. So we have $\int_\gamma f(z)dz = 0$. Specifically, if γ is a policy circle C , then $\int_C f(z)dz = 0$. \square

The results derive a theorem on policy contours.

Theorem 2.5. *Suppose that a policy space Ω contains a policy contour γ whose interior is contained in Ω . A holomorphic policy function f has a relation as $\int_\gamma f(z)dz = 0$.*

Remark 2.6. Locally, every holomorphic policy function has a policy primitive. Policy contours include keyhole contours, multiple keyhole contours, rectangle keyhole contours, semicircles, indented semicircles, sectors, parallelograms, etc. We can define holomorphic policy functions along contours to solve integrals without using transformations.

Corollary 2.7. *For simple closed piecewise-smooth curves, the above theorem holds.*

Proof. From Jordan's theorem, simple closed piecewise-smooth curves have simple connected interiors. Thus we can generalize theorem 2.5 for simple closed piecewise-smooth curves. \square

Corollary 2.8. *A policy function f is continuous in an open policy disc $D_r(z_0)$. If any triangle policy contour $T \subset D$ has $\int_T f(z)dz = 0$, then f is holomorphic.*

Proof. From Lemma 2.4, the policy primitive exists for f in $D_r(z_0)$. In addition, the primitive is indefinitely differentiable. Thus f is holomorphic. \square

2.2. Integrals along Policy Contours.

Theorem 2.9. *Suppose a holomorphic policy function f is defined in an open policy space Ω containing the closure of policy disc $D_r(z_0)$ with boundary C in the positive orientation.*

$$(2.3) \quad f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$$

where $z \in D_r(z_0)$.

Proof. Let $\Gamma_{\delta,\epsilon}$ be a keyhole policy contour with fixed z . From Theorem 2.5, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\Gamma_{\delta,\epsilon}} F(\zeta) = \int_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta + \int_{C_\epsilon} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = 0$$

Since C_ϵ has a negative orientation, let $\zeta - z = \epsilon e^{-i\theta}$ be satisfied. It gives

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{C_\epsilon} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = 2\pi i f(z)$$

Hence, Equation 2.3 is proved. Using a rectangular keyhole policy contour, we get

$$(2.6) \quad f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_R \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$$

Thus Theorem 2.9 can be generalized for other policy contours. \square

Remark 2.10. A policy integral gives a holomorphic policy function in a policy disc from the integral's behavior on a policy contour. From Theorem 2.9, we can represent the changes of the holomorphic policy function in Ω based upon policy contour $C \subset \Omega$ for all z in the interior of C .

$$(2.7) \quad f^{(n)}(z) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{n+1}} d\zeta$$

Let a policy disc $D_r(z_0)$ reside in Ω and $\zeta - z = r e^{i\theta}$. We find that the changes of the holomorphic policy function at z_0 are bounded as

$$(2.8) \quad |f^{(n)}(z_0)| = \left| \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(z_0 + r e^{i\theta})}{(r e^{i\theta})^{n+1}} r i e^{i\theta} d\theta \right| \leq \frac{n!}{r^n} \sup_{z \in C} |f(z)|$$

If f is entire and bounded, then $r \rightarrow \infty$ and $|f| < B$. Hence, $f' = 0$. This result means f is a constant policy function.

Remark 2.11. From a proper and arbitrarily small subset, a holomorphic policy function can be determined by analytic continuation.

Remark 2.12. For higher dimensions, there does not exist a simple integral representation of policy functions.

2.3. Policy Continuation.

Lemma 2.13. *If a holomorphic policy function f in a policy region Ω has a sequence of zeros $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converging in Ω , then $f = 0$.*

Proof. Let w be the limit point of sequence $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $f(z_n) = 0$. We write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = w$. It suffices to prove that $f = 0$ locally in $D_r(w) \subset \Omega$. In $D_r(w)$, $f(z)$ has a primary representation as $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n (z - w)^n$. Suppose that $f \neq 0$ and there exists a smallest $a_m \neq 0, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have $f(z) = a_m (z - w)^m (1 + g(z - w))$ where $g(z - w) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow w$. If $z = z_n \neq 0$, then $f(z_n) \neq 0$. This is a contradiction since $f(z_n) = 0$. Hence, $f = 0$ is in a neighborhood $D_r(w)$. Let the interior of $f = 0$ be Ω_1 . If $z_n \rightarrow w$, then $f = 0$ in a neighborhood of w . Since Ω_1 is open and closed, $z \in \Omega_1$. If $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$, then Ω is connected. Since $w \in \Omega_1, \Omega_2 = \emptyset$. Thus $f = 0$ in Ω . \square

Lemma 2.14. *If a holomorphic policy function $f_1 = f_2$ for a sequence of $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converging in policy region Ω , then two policy functions f_1, f_2 are equivalent in Ω .*

Proof. As Lemma 2.13, let w be the limit point of sequence $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. We write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = w$. We can prove that $f_1 - f_2 = 0$ locally in $D_r(w) \subset \Omega$. In $D_r(w)$, $f_1(z) - f_2(z)$ has a primary representation as $f_1(z) - f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z-w)^n$. Suppose that $f_1 - f_2 \neq 0$ and there exists a smallest $a_m \neq 0$ where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have $f_1(z) - f_2(z) = a_m(z-w)^m(1 + g(z-w))$ where $g(z-w) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow w$. If $z = z_n \neq w$, then $f_1(z_n) - f_2(z_n) \neq 0$. This is in contradiction to $f_1(z_n) - f_2(z_n) = 0$. Hence, $f_1 = f_2$ in the neighborhood $D_r(w)$. Let the interior of $f_1 - f_2 = 0$ be Ω_1 . If $z_n \rightarrow w$, then $f_1 - f_2 = 0$ in a neighborhood of w . Since Ω_1 is open and closed, $z \in \Omega_1$. If $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$, then Ω is connected. Since $w \in \Omega_1$, $\Omega_2 = \emptyset$. Thus $f_1 = f_2$ in Ω . \square

These lemmas derive a theorem on policy continuation as:

Theorem 2.15. *Suppose holomorphic policy functions f_1 and f_2 are defined in policy regions Ω_1 and Ω_2 where $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$. Then f_2 is uniquely determined by f_1 if $f_1 = f_2$ on Ω_1 .*

Remark 2.16. For higher dimensions, we can impose additional conditions on these relations. In addition, there exist domains where every holomorphic function is analytically continued into a large domain.

2.4. Sequences of Policy Functions.

Lemma 2.17. *Suppose a sequence of holomorphic policy functions $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in a policy space Ω converges uniformly to a policy function f in every compact subset. Then f is holomorphic.*

Proof. Let $D_r(z_0) \subset \Omega$ and a triangle $T \subset D_r(z_0)$ be satisfied. From Lemma 2.2, $\int_T f_n(z) dz = 0$. $\int_T f(z) dz = 0$ since $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly in $D_r(z_0)$. From Corollary 2.8, f is holomorphic in $D_r(z_0)$. It applies to every disc in Ω . We conclude that f is holomorphic in Ω . \square

Remark 2.18. The uniform limit of holomorphic policy functions is a holomorphic policy function in an open policy space Ω .

Lemma 2.19. *Suppose a sequence of holomorphic policy functions $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in a policy space Ω has derivatives as $\{f_n^{(k)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Then the sequence of derivatives converges uniformly to $f^{(k)}$ on every compact subset of Ω .*

Proof. Let Ω_r denote the subset of Ω which are points with the distance greater than r from the boundary. From Equation 2.8, we have

$$(2.9) \quad |g^{(k)}(z)| \leq \frac{k!}{r^k} \sup_{\zeta \in \Omega} |g(\zeta)|$$

where $g(z) = f_n(z) - f(z)$.

It gives

$$(2.10) \quad \sup_{z \in \Omega_r} |g^{(k)}(z)| \leq \frac{k!}{r^k} \sup_{\zeta \in \Omega} |g(\zeta)|$$

Hence, $\{f_n^{(k)}\}$ covers uniformly to $f^{(k)}$ in Ω_r for each r . \square

Remark 2.20. If the policy function $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, then the partial sum of f has derivative which converges uniformly to f' on a compact policy space K of the disc of convergence.

These lemmas derive theorems on a holomorphic sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Theorem 2.21. Suppose $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a holomorphic sequence in a policy region Ω . The series below converges uniformly in a compact subset of Ω . Then

$$(2.11) \quad F(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(z)$$

represents a holomorphic policy function.

Proof. From Lemma 2.17, it suffices to prove the theorem. \square

Remark 2.22. Some special policy functions can be represented in terms of converging series.

Theorem 2.23. Suppose $F(z, s)$ is holomorphic in z for each s and F is continuous in an open policy space $\Omega \times [0, 1]$. Then

$$(2.12) \quad f_n(z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n F\left(z, \frac{k}{n}\right)$$

represents a holomorphic policy function.

$$(2.13) \quad f(z) = \int_0^1 F(z, s) ds$$

represents a holomorphic policy function.

Proof. Since $F(z, s)$ is holomorphic in z for each s , f_n is holomorphic in Ω . Since F is continuous, $\sup_{z \in D_r(z_0)} |F(z, s_1) - F(z, s_2)| < \epsilon$ where $|s_1 - s_2| < \delta, \epsilon > 0, \delta > 0$.

$$(2.14) \quad |f_n(z) - f(z)| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} F(z, k/n) - F(z, s) ds \right| < \epsilon$$

Hence, $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges uniformly to f on the disc with closure in Ω . \square

Remark 2.24. Some special policy functions can be defined in terms of the integral type or as limits of the integrals.

2.5. Polynomial Policies.

2.5.1. Policy Structures.

Definition 2.25. A non-constant *polynomial policy function* is defined as $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^n a_n z^n$, where a_n are complex numbers.

Lemma 2.26. At least one policy $z \in \mathbb{C}$ can be defined to construct a polynomial policy function $f(z)$.

Proof. Assume that f has no root, then we may not need to specify a policy. Hence, $1/f$ is bounded and holomorphic. We write

$$(2.15) \quad \frac{f(z)}{z^n} = a_n + E(z)$$

where $E(z) = a_{n-1}/z + \dots + a_0/z^n, z \neq 0$.

Let $D_r(z_0)$ be a large disc. Since $E \rightarrow 0$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, we have $|f(z)| \geq \frac{a_n}{2}|z|^n$, where $|z| > r$. Hence, $1/f$ is bounded as $|z| > r$. Since f has no root, $1/f$ is entire and bounded. From Equation 2.8, $1/f$ is constant. It is a contradiction because f is not constant. With one root z_0 , we have

$$(2.16) \quad f(z) = a_n(z - z_0)^n + \dots + a_1(z - z_0) + a_0$$

Since $f(z_0) = 0$, $a_0 = 0$, it gives

$$(2.17) \quad f(z) = (z - z_0)P(z)$$

where $P(z) = b_n(z - z_0)^{n-1} + \dots + b_1$.

The lemma is claimed. \square

Remark 2.27. An N degree polynomial policy structure has at least one policy.

Lemma 2.28. N policies $\{z_n\}$, $z_n \in \mathbb{C}$ can be defined to construct a polynomial policy structure $f(z) = a_n(z - z_1)(z - z_2) \cdots (z - z_n)$, where $n \geq 1$.

Proof. For one root, we have

$$(2.18) \quad f(z) = (z - z_1)E(z)$$

where $E(z) = b_n(z - z_1)^{n-1} + \dots + b_1$, $b_n = a_n$.

For n roots, we have

$$(2.19) \quad f(z) = a_n(z - z_1)(z - z_2) \cdots (z - z_n)$$

\square

Theorem 2.29. An N degree polynomial policy structure can define n policies $\{z_n\}$.

2.5.2. Policy Approximation.

Lemma 2.30. If a holomorphic policy function f is defined in an open policy space Ω and a compact policy space $K \subset \Omega$, then a finite set of policy squares \mathcal{S}_N constructs the large holomorphic policy function f with finite sides on K . For $z \in K$

$$(2.20) \quad f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_n} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$$

where the finite sides of the squares $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N$ are located in $\Omega - K$.

Proof. Suppose that a proper finite set of squares $\{\mathcal{S}_N\}$ fills in Ω and intersects K . Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N$ reside in $\Omega - K$ which means the sides of squares do not belong to two adjacent squares in $\{\mathcal{S}_N\}$. From Theorem 2.9, policy along contour gives

$$(2.21) \quad f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \mathcal{S}_n} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$$

where $\partial \mathcal{S}_n$ is boundary of square \mathcal{S}_n . $z \in K$ and z is not located on the boundary of a square of $\{\mathcal{S}_N\}$.

If z does not belong to the squares that intersect K , then $f(z)$ vanishes. For all squares in $\{\mathcal{S}_N\}$, we sum over Equation 2.21. Since integrals along adjacent sides of the squares cancel each other, it gives Equation 2.20 as $z \in K$ and z is not on the boundary of $\{\mathcal{S}_N\}$. $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N$ are located in $\Omega - K$. Hence, Lemma 2.30 is proved for $z \in K$. \square

Remark 2.31. Policy squares enclosing a compact policy space $K \subset \Omega$ construct a holomorphic policy function f by the integral along the finite sides.

Lemma 2.32. Suppose that a compact policy space $K \subset \Omega$ and finite segments $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N$ are located in $\Omega - K$. Then policy along curves $\int_{\gamma} f(\zeta)/(\zeta - z)d\zeta$ can be approximated uniformly on K by a sequence of rational functions with poles on γ .

Proof. Let $\gamma(t)$ parametrize γ from $[0, 1]$. Since $\gamma \subset \Omega - K$ and K is compact, we write

$$(2.22) \quad \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)} d\zeta = \int_0^1 F(z, t) dt = \int_0^1 \frac{f(\gamma(t))}{\gamma(t) - z} \gamma'(t) dt$$

where $F(z, t)$ is holomorphic in z and continuous on $K \times [0, 1]$.

From Theorem 2.23, we have a sequence of rational functions with poles on γ to converge uniformly to $\int_{\gamma} f(\zeta)/(\zeta - z) d\zeta$. \square

Lemma 2.33. *Suppose a compact policy space $K \subset \Omega$. $\Omega - K$ is connected. Then rational function $1/(z - z_0)$, $z_0 \notin K$ can be approximated uniformly on K by polynomials.*

Proof. Let w_0 be outside the disc which is centered at the origin and contains K . Since $|z/w_0| < 1$, we have the uniformly converged series for $z \in K$ as $\sum -z^n/w_0^{n+1}$ to represent $1/(z - w_0)$.

Let w_0 be connected with z_0 by the curve γ and let $\gamma(t)$ parametrize γ on $[0, 1]$ where $\gamma(0) = z_0$ and $\gamma(1) = w_0$. If there exists a proper sequence $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ on γ where $w_1 = z_0, w_n = w_0$, then $1/(z - w)$ can be approximated uniformly on K by polynomials in $1/(z - w')$ when w is a point on γ and w' is any other point with $|w - w'| < d(K, \gamma)/2$.

$$(2.23) \quad \frac{1}{z - w} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(w - w')^n}{(z - w')^{n+1}}$$

where $|(w - w')/(z - w')| < 1$.

Hence, there exists a finite sequence $\{w_n\}$ to approximate $1/(z - z_0)$ on K by polynomials in $1/(z - w_0)$. \square

Theorem 2.34. *A holomorphic policy function f in a neighborhood of $K \subset \Omega$ can be approximated uniformly by polynomials on the compact policy space K , if $\Omega - K$ is connected.*

Remark 2.35. For higher dimensions, holomorphic policy functions can be approximated by polynomials on any polynomial convex compact set. However, there are compact sets on which approximation is not possible.

2.6. Policy Extension.

Definition 2.36. *A policy extension extends a holomorphic policy function f in a policy space Ω_1 to a larger space Ω . The result function is holomorphic.*

Definition 2.37. *Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ is symmetric with respect to the real line. Ω^+ represents the subset of Ω for $\Im(z) > 0$. Ω^- represents the subset of Ω for $\Im(z) < 0$. $I = \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}$.*

Lemma 2.38. *Suppose $\Omega = \Omega^+ \cup I \cup \Omega^-$. Holomorphic policy functions f^+ in Ω^+ and f^- in Ω^- extend continuously to I where $f^+ = f^-$ are real valued. There exists a holomorphic function f in Ω and $f = f^+$ in Ω^+ , $f = f^-$ in Ω^- .*

Proof. Let $D_r(z_0)$ be an arbitrary disc at a point in Ω^+ and let T be a triangle in $D_r(z_0)$. From Lemma 2.2, $\int_T f_n(z) dz = 0$. Hence, f is holomorphic in Ω^+ . Similarly, f is holomorphic in Ω^- . For the points on I , $\int_T f_n(z) dz = 0$, if $D_r(z_0)$ does not intersect I . Hence, f is holomorphic in half discs. If $D_r(z_0)$ intersects with I , then we can triangularize it into smaller triangles. We conclude that f is holomorphic in $D_r(z_0)$. Thus f is holomorphic in Ω . \square

Lemma 2.39. *Suppose $\Omega = \Omega^+ \cup I \cup \Omega^-$. A holomorphic policy function f in Ω^+ extends continuously to I and is real valued on I . There exists a holomorphic policy function g in Ω and $g = f$ in Ω^+ .*

Proof. Let $z, z_0 \in \Omega^-$ and $\bar{z}, \bar{z}_0 \in \Omega^+$ be satisfied. We have $f(\bar{z}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(\bar{z} - \bar{z}_0)^n$. $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{a}_n(z - z_0)^n$. Hence, g is holomorphic in Ω^- . In addition, f is real valued on I . g extends continuously up to I . From Lemma 2.38, we conclude that g is a holomorphic policy function in Ω . \square

Theorem 2.40. *A holomorphic policy function f^+ can be extended continuously to an interface I . There exists $f = f^+$ in a policy space Ω^+ and $f = f^-$ in an application space Ω^- .*

Proof. The interface I has no semantics. f is real valued there. $f = f^+$ in the policy space Ω^+ . $f = f^-$ in the application space Ω^- . From the above lemmas, f is holomorphic as has been shown. \square

2.7. Characters of Policy Functions.

2.7.1. Zeros of Policy Functions.

Definition 2.41. A holomorphic policy function f has a zero at z_0 if $f(z_0) = 0$.

Definition 2.42. A zero z_0 is isolated since $f(z) \neq 0$ for $D_r(z_0) \setminus \{z_0\}$, where $D_r(z_0)$ is a neighborhood of z_0 .

Theorem 2.43. *If a non-constant holomorphic policy function f has a zero z_0 in a policy region Ω , then f can be uniquely factored as $f(z) = (z - z_0)^n g(z)$ in a neighborhood $D_r(z_0) \subset \Omega$ of z_0 , where $g(z)$ is holomorphic in $D_r(z_0)$ and $z \in D_r(z_0)$.*

Proof. In $D_r(z_0)$, we have

$$(2.24) \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(z - z_0)^k = (z - z_0)^n(a_n + a_{n+1}(z - z_0) + \cdots) = (z - z_0)^n g(z)$$

where $a_n \neq 0$, $g(z) = a_n + a_{n+1}(z - z_0) + \cdots$.

Let $(z - z_0)^m h(z)$ be another factorization, where $h(z) \neq 0$. We have $g(z) = (z - z_0)^{m-n} h(z)$ or $h(z) = (z - z_0)^{n-m} g(z)$. Suppose $z \rightarrow z_0$. Then $g(z) = 0$, $h(z) = 0$ which leads to a contradiction. Hence, $g(z) = h(z)$ and $m = n$. Equation 2.24 is a unique factorization. \square

Corollary 2.44. *For $f(z) = (z - z_0)^n g(z)$, let n be the order of zero z_0 . Multiple interactions result in higher orders of z_0 . For the holomorphic policy function f , we can define one policy at z_0 . Due to $g(z) \neq 0$, the holomorphic policy function f has more than one policy specified at z_0 .*

2.7.2. Removable Singularities.

Theorem 2.45. *Suppose that a policy function f is holomorphic and bounded in an open policy space $\Omega \setminus \{z_0\}$. Then z_0 is a removable singularity.*

Proof. It suffices to prove Equation 2.3 in $D_r(z_0)$ except z_0 . Using a multiple keyhole contour, we fix z . This condition gives

$$(2.25) \quad \int_{C_r(z_0)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta + \int_{\gamma_1} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta + \int_{\gamma_2} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = 0$$

where γ_1 encloses z and γ_2 encloses z_0 . γ_1 and γ_2 have small radius ϵ .

From Theorem 2.9, we have $\int_{\gamma_1} f(\zeta)/(\zeta - z)d\zeta = -2\pi i f(z)$. Since f is bounded, we have $|\int_{\gamma_2} f(\zeta)/(\zeta - z)d\zeta| \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus the theorem is proved. \square

2.7.3. Poles of Policy Functions.

Definition 2.46. Let a policy function f be defined in a deleted neighborhood of z_0 . Suppose $1/f = 0$ at z_0 and is holomorphic in the neighborhood of z_0 . Then z_0 is a *pole* in the deleted neighborhood.

Lemma 2.47. A policy function f has a pole z_0 if and only if $|f(z)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $z \rightarrow z_0$.

Proof. Let z_0 be a pole. $1/f$ has a zero z_0 . Hence $|f(z)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $z \rightarrow z_0$. If $|f(z)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $z \rightarrow z_0$, then $1/f$ is bounded and approaching the zero near z_0 . Thus z_0 is a removable singularity of $1/f$ and $1/f = 0$. z_0 is a pole. \square

Remark 2.48. f has no limit point in Ω at a pole z_0 .

Lemma 2.49. Let a policy function f have a unique factorization of a pole z_0 in $D_r(z_0)$ as $f(z) = (z - z_0)^{-n}g(z)$, where $g(z) \neq 0$ is holomorphic and n is a positive integer.

Proof. From Lemma 2.43, $1/f(z) = (z - z_0)^n h(z)$, where $h(z) \neq 0$ is holomorphic in $D_r(z_0)$. Hence, we have $f(z) = (z - z_0)^{-n}g(z)$, where $g(z) = 1/h(z)$. \square

Remark 2.50. For $f(z) = (z - z_0)^{-n}g(z)$, let n be the order of a pole z_0 . Multiple interactions result in higher orders of z_0 . We can factor out this infinity with one policy. Due to $g(z) \neq 0$, f cannot be specified by a policy z_0 .

Lemma 2.51. Suppose that a policy function f has a pole of order n at z_0 , then f can be represented with the pole as

$$(2.26) \quad f(z) = \frac{a_{-n}}{(z - z_0)^n} + \frac{a_{-n+1}}{(z - z_0)^{n-1}} + \cdots + \frac{a_{-1}}{(z - z_0)} + E(z)$$

, where $E(z)$ is holomorphic in $D_r(z_0)$.

Proof. From Lemma 2.49, $f(z) = (z - z_0)^{-n}g(z)$. With the expansion of $g(z)$, we have

$$(2.27) \quad f(z) = (z - z_0)^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k (z - z_0)^k$$

Hence, Equation 2.26 is proved. \square

Remark 2.52. The negative order indicates the existence of the pole z_0 . a_{-1} is the residue of f as $\text{res}_{z_0} f$ at z_0 . Hence, $\text{res}_{z_0} f = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} (z - z_0)f(z)$, where $f(z)$ is written in Equation 2.26. In case of order n , we have $\text{res}_{z_0} f = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^{n-1} (z - z_0)^n f(z)$, where $f(z)$ is written in Equation 2.26. If multiplicity of the pole z_0 is n , then only residue a_{-1} contributes to the policy along a curve. For higher dimensions, there are generalized notions of residues for meromorphic functions.

Lemma 2.53. Suppose that a policy function f in an open policy space Ω has a pole z_0 in a circle $C \subset \Omega$. Then $\int_C f(z)dz = 2\pi i \text{res}_{z_0} f$.

Proof. According to residue theorem, we use a keyhole contour $\Gamma_{\delta, \epsilon}$ to obtain

$$(2.28) \quad \int_C f(z)dz - \int_{C_\epsilon} f(z)dz = 0$$

Since $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_\epsilon} \frac{a-1}{(z-z_0)} dz = a-1$ and $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_\epsilon} \frac{a-m}{(z-z_0)^m} dz = 0$, the lemma is proved from Equation 2.26. \square

Remark 2.54. If there are finite poles in C , then $\int_C f(z) dz = 2\pi i \sum_{n=1}^N \text{res}_{z_n} f$ from a multiple keyhole contour.

These lemmas derive a theorem for policy functions on general contours.

Theorem 2.55. *Suppose that a policy function f has finite poles z_1, \dots, z_N in a contour $\gamma \subset \Omega$. Then $\int_\gamma f(z) dz = 2\pi i \sum_{n=1}^N \text{res}_{z_n} f$.*

Remark 2.56. With Theorem 2.55, we can define policy functions along contours to solve improper Riemann integrals in the form of $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx$.

2.7.4. Essential Singularities of Policy Functions.

Definition 2.57. Singularities that are not removable singularities or poles are *essential singularities*.

Theorem 2.58. *A policy function f has an essential singularity z_0 and is holomorphic in $D_r(z_0) \setminus \{z_0\}$. Then $f(z)$ of $D_r(z_0) \setminus \{z_0\}$ is dense.*

Proof. If the policy function $f(z)$ is not dense such that $|f(z) - w| > \delta, w \in \mathbb{C}, \delta > 0$, then we have $g(z) = 1/(f(z) - w)$ in $D_r(z_0) \setminus \{z_0\}$. Since $g(z)$ is bounded and holomorphic in $D_r(z_0) \setminus \{z_0\}$, z_0 is a removable singularity of g . If $g(z_0) \neq 0$, then $f(z) - w$ is holomorphic at z_0 . This result violates the assumption. If $g(z_0) = 0$, then $f(z) - w$ has a pole z_0 . This is a contradiction. Thus $f(z)$ is dense. \square

Corollary 2.59. *A policy function f takes on every value infinitely many times with at most one exception in $D_r(z_0) \setminus \{z_0\}$.*

2.8. Extended Policy Plane. If a policy function f is holomorphic for large values of z , we need to extend f from \mathbb{C} to $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$.

Definition 2.60. The neighborhood of ∞ is defined as $S_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| > 1/r\} \cup \{\infty\}$, where $r \in (0, \infty)$.

2.8.1. Algebraic Properties.

Definition 2.61. The algebraic properties are defined as

$$(2.29) \quad \infty \pm z = z \pm \infty = \infty$$

$$(2.30) \quad \infty \cdot z = z \cdot \infty = \infty$$

where $z \neq 0$.

$$(2.31) \quad \frac{z}{\infty} = 0$$

$$(2.32) \quad \frac{z}{0} = \infty$$

where $z \neq 0$.

However, $\infty - \infty, 0 \cdot \infty, \frac{0}{0}, \frac{\infty}{0}$, and $\frac{\infty}{\infty}$ are not defined.

Bilinear transformation does one to one conformal mapping of $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ onto itself.

$$(2.33) \quad f(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$$

where $ad - bc \neq 0, c \neq 0$.

$$(2.34) \quad f\left(-\frac{d}{c}\right) = \infty$$

$$(2.35) \quad f(\infty) = \frac{a}{c}$$

$$(2.36) \quad f'(\infty) = \frac{bc - ad}{c^2}$$

The modulus of the point of infinity is infinite, and the phase is undetermined.

Lemma 2.62. *Suppose that we extend a policy function f which is holomorphic or has a pole at infinity. Then f is a rational function.*

Proof. Let $f(1/z)$ be holomorphic in a deleted neighborhood of the origin. f has finite poles z_1, \dots, z_N . From Lemma 2.51 we have following equation near pole z_k .

$$(2.37) \quad f(z) = f_k(z) + g_k(z)$$

where $f_k(z)$ denotes a pole related representation at z_k . $g_k(z)$ is holomorphic near z_k .

$$(2.38) \quad f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = \tilde{f}_\infty(z) + \tilde{g}_\infty(z)$$

where $\tilde{f}_\infty(z)$ denotes a pole related representation at 0. $\tilde{g}_\infty(z)$ is holomorphic near 0. Hence, $f - f_\infty - \sum_{k=1}^N f_k$ becomes entire and bounded. Therefore, f is rational. \square

Remark 2.63. A rational policy function can be determined by the locations and multiplicities of its zeros and poles.

2.8.2. Geometric Properties.

Definition 2.64. The extended policy plane can be virtualized as a two-dimensional *policy sphere* \mathbb{S} which is centered at $(0, 0, 1/2)$ with a radius $1/2$.

Lemma 2.65. *Rational functions are holomorphic functions as a map from \mathbb{S} to itself.*

Proof. According to the Riemann sphere, \mathbb{S} can extend a policy function f such that $f(\infty)$ can be defined as $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} f(z)$. $f(\infty)$ may be finite or infinite. Thus rational functions are holomorphic functions from \mathbb{S} to itself in a complex manifold. \square

This lemma derives a theorem on the extended policy function f .

Theorem 2.66. *Suppose that we extend a policy function f that is holomorphic or has a pole at infinity. Then f is a holomorphic policy function as a map from \mathbb{S} to itself.*

Remark 2.67. We can create proxy servers, policy servers, routers, and middleware to construct the policy sphere \mathbb{S} to obtain holomorphic policy functions.

Remark 2.68. For higher dimensions, we do not have simple and intuitive geometric representations.

2.9. Number of Policies. We can define policies at zeros.

2.9.1. Policy Functions with Poles.

Lemma 2.69. *Suppose that a policy function f has poles in an open policy space Ω . A policy circle $C_r(z_0) \subset \Omega$ has no poles and zeros on $C_r(z_0)$. Then,*

$$(2.39) \quad N = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_r(z_0)} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz + P$$

where N, P denote the number of zeros and poles in C respectively. $\int_{C_r(z_0)} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz$ represents the change in $\text{ph}f(z)$ as z traverses $C_r(z_0)$.

Proof. Let the policy function f have a zero at z_j . n_j is the order of the zero. From Lemma 2.43, we write $f(z) = (z - z_j)^{n_j} g_j(z)$. We obtain

$$(2.40) \quad \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{n_j}{z - z_j} + \frac{g_j'(z)}{g_j(z)}$$

Hence, $\text{res}_{z_j} f = n_j$. Let the policy function f have a pole at w_k . m_k is the order of the pole. From Lemma 2.49, we write $f(z) = (z - w_k)^{-m_k} g_k(z)$. We obtain

$$(2.41) \quad \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{-m_k}{z - w_k} + \frac{g_k'(z)}{g_k(z)}$$

Hence, $\text{res}_{w_k} f = -m_k$. From Theorem 2.55, we have

$$(2.42) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_r} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \sum_{j=1}^N n_j - \sum_{k=1}^M m_k$$

where N, M are the number of zeros and poles respectively.

This result completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.70. Lemma 2.69 holds for general contours.

Lemma 2.71. *Suppose that a policy function f has poles in an open policy space Ω . A policy circle $C_r(z_0) \subset \Omega$ has no poles and zeros on $C_r(z_0)$. Then,*

$$(2.43) \quad N = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta_C (\text{ph}f(z)) + P$$

where N, P denotes the number of zeros and poles in C respectively. $\Delta_C(\text{ph}f(z))$ is the change in any continuous branch of $\text{ph}f(z)$ as z passes once around C in the positive sense.

Proof. Since $f \neq 0$ on $C_r(z_0)$, there exists small $\epsilon > 0$ such that $g = \log f(z)$ is holomorphic in $r - \epsilon < |z - z_0| < r + \epsilon$, $\text{ph}(z - z_0) \in (0, 2\pi)$. Let $z = z_0 + re^{i\theta}$ be satisfied. we write

$$(2.44) \quad \int_{C_r} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{2\pi - \epsilon} \frac{f'(z_0 + re^{i\theta})}{f(z_0 + re^{i\theta})} ire^{i\theta} d\theta$$

Since

$$(2.45) \quad \int_{\epsilon}^{2\pi - \epsilon} \frac{f'(z_0 + re^{i\theta})}{f(z_0 + re^{i\theta})} ire^{i\theta} d\theta = \int_{\epsilon}^{2\pi - \epsilon} \frac{d}{d\theta} g(z_0 + re^{i\theta}) d\theta$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\epsilon}^{2\pi - \epsilon} \frac{d}{d\theta} g(z_0 + re^{i\theta}) d\theta &= \log |f(z_0 + re^{i(2\pi - \epsilon)})| - \log |f(z_0 + re^{i\epsilon})| \\ &\quad + i(\text{ph}(f(z_0 + re^{i(2\pi - \epsilon)})) - \text{ph}(f(z_0 + re^{i\epsilon}))) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ be satisfied. We have

$$(2.46) \quad \int_{C_r} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = i(\text{ph}(f(z_0 + re^{i(2\pi-\varepsilon)})) - \text{ph}(f(z_0 + re^{i\varepsilon})))$$

From Lemma 2.69, Equation 2.43 is proved. \square

Remark 2.72. To count locations of zeros and poles with multiplicity, we have

$$(2.47) \quad \sum \text{locations of zeros} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_r} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} dz + \sum \text{locations of poles}$$

These lemmas derive a theorem on the number of policies

Theorem 2.73. *Suppose that a policy function f has poles in an open policy space Ω . There exists a piecewise smooth simple closed curve $\gamma \subset \Omega$ with its interior. Then the number of policies can be determined by the change of phase and the number of poles inside γ .*

Proof. Due to the arbitrary policy circle $C_r(z_0)$ in the above lemmas, we can obtain the theorem. \square

2.9.2. Holomorphic Policy Functions.

Lemma 2.74. *Suppose that holomorphic policy functions f, g are defined in an open policy space Ω . There exists a piecewise smooth and simple closed curve $\gamma \subset \Omega$ with its interior. Then we can define the same number of policies in γ for f and $f + g$ if $|f(z)| > |g(z)|$ where $z \in \gamma$.*

Proof. Let $G(z) = f(z) + ag(z)$, $a \in [0, 1]$ be satisfied. Since $|f(z)| > |g(z)|$ for $z \in \gamma$, $G(z) \neq 0$ on γ . Let n be the number of zeros of G in γ with multiplicities. Since $G'(z)/G(z)$ is continuous and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, n is constant. Hence, f and $f + g$ have the same number of policies in γ . \square

Remark 2.75. We may not define new policies for a holomorphic policy function with small perturbations.

Lemma 2.76. *Suppose that holomorphic policy functions f, g are defined in an open policy space Ω . There exists a piecewise smooth and simple closed curve $\gamma \subset \Omega$ with its interior. Then we can define the same number of policies in γ for f and g if $|f(z) + g(z)| < |f(z)|$ where $z \in \gamma$.*

Proof. Since $f, g \neq 0$, we write $|1 + g(z)/f(z)| < 1$ such that $g(z)/f(z)$ on γ is located in $C_1(-1)$. Hence, the phase of $g(z)/f(z)$ is not changed. This result means that the number of zeros of $g(z)/f(z)$ is equal to the number of poles of $g(z)/f(z)$ in γ . $g(z)/f(z)$ has the same number of zeros as $g(z)$. $g(z)/f(z)$ has the number of poles which equals the number of zeros of $f(z)$. \square

Lemma 2.77. *Suppose that holomorphic policy functions f, g are defined in an open policy space Ω . There exists a piecewise smooth and simple closed curve $\gamma \subset \Omega$ with its interior. Then we can define the same number of policies in γ for f and g if $|f(z) - g(z)| < |f(z)|$ where $z \in \gamma$.*

Proof. From Lemma 2.76, we know f and $-g$ have the same number of zeros in γ . Since g and $-g$ have the same zeros, we can define the same number of policies in γ for f and g . \square

Theorem 2.78. *Suppose that policy functions f, g are defined in an open policy space Ω . There exists a piecewise smooth and simple closed curve $\gamma \subset \Omega$ with its interior. We can define the number of policies for f and g from their independent relations.*

Remark 2.79. For polynomial policy functions, we can define the number of policies from Theorem 2.29.

Corollary 2.80. *Suppose that a holomorphic policy function f is nonconstant in a policy region Ω . Then f maps open policy spaces to open policy spaces.*

Proof. Let $w_0 = f(z_0)$ be satisfied. $f(z) - w_0$ has a zero of order n at z_0 and has no zero in $D_r(z_0) \subset \Omega$. Let $|f(z) - w_0| \geq \epsilon$ be satisfied for all z on $C_r(z_0)$. Select $|w - w_0| \leq \epsilon$. On $C_r(z_0)$, we write

$$(2.48) \quad |(f(z) - w) - (f(z) - w_0)| = |w - w_0| \leq |f(z) - w_0|$$

From Lemma 2.77, $f(z) - w$ and $f(z) - w_0$ have the same number of zeros in $D_r(z_0) \subset \Omega$. $f(z) - w$ has n zeros. Thus w in $D_r(w_0)$ belongs to f . \square

Remark 2.81. Suppose that a holomorphic policy function f is nonconstant in a policy region Ω . Let $f - f(z_0)$ have a zero of order n at z_0 . Then f is n to 1 in $D_\delta(z_0)$ where $\delta > 0$ is small. If $f'(z_0) = 0$, then f is not one to one in any disc containing z_0 .

Corollary 2.82. *Suppose that a holomorphic policy function f is nonconstant in a policy region Ω . Then f has no local maximum in Ω .*

Proof. If $|f(z_0)| \geq |f(z)|$, then there exists a policy disc $D_r(z_0) \subset \Omega$ such that $f(D)$ is an open policy space and $f(z_0) \in f(D)$. Hence, $|f(z)| \geq |f(z_0)|$. This is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 2.83. *There exist methods to compute zeros of holomorphic policy functions.*

Proof. There are formulas to compute the zeros of a polynomial $\sum_{n=0}^N a_n z^n$, $a_n \neq 0$ when $N \leq 4$. No explicit general formulas are found for $N \geq 5$ [2].

We can compute zeros of holomorphic policy functions. Newton's rule is an iterative process to compute zeros. Another iterative method is Halley's rule.

$$(2.49) \quad z_{n+1} = z_n - \frac{f(z_n)}{f'(z_n) - \frac{f''(z_n)f(z_n)}{2f'(z_n)}}$$

If $f(z)$ satisfies a second-order linear differential equation, then this method is useful since computing $f''(z_n)$ is convenient. This rule converges cubically. To identify initial approximation to zeros, asymptotic or other approximations to $f(z)$ can be applied. For initial approximation, Lemma 2.69 and Lemma 2.76 can be applied. With the above methods and results, no zeros will be overlooked when the complex plane is searched.

Fixed-point methods can be used to compute zeros for special policy functions. \square

2.10. Simply Connected Policy Region. Policy along curves and multiple-valued policy functions require a topological consideration. For a holomorphic policy function, we need to define a policy region for the existence of the policy primitive in a give region Ω .

Definition 2.84. A policy region Ω is a *simply connected policy region* if the interior of any simple closed curve in Ω is a subset of Ω .

Lemma 2.85. *Suppose that a holomorphic policy function f is defined in a policy region Ω . There exist two curves γ_1, γ_2 which have the same end points and close to each other without holes between them. Then policy along the curves $\int_{\gamma_1} f(z)dz = \int_{\gamma_2} f(z)dz$.*

Proof. Let $\gamma_s(t)$ parametrize curve $\gamma_s \subset \Omega$ on $[a, b]$, where $s \in [0, 1]$. $\gamma_s(t)$ is compact and jointly continuous in $s \in [0, 1]$ and $t \in [a, b]$. Hence, we can find any policy disc $D_{3\epsilon}(z_0) \subset \Omega$, where small $\epsilon > 0$, $z_0 \in \Omega$. By continuity, we write $\sup|\gamma_{s_1}(t) - \gamma_{s_2}(t)| < \epsilon$, where γ_1 and γ_2 are two nearby curves where $t \in [a, b]$ and $|s_1 - s_2| < \delta$. Fix s_1 and s_2 with $|s_1 - s_2| < \delta$. We cover γ_1 and γ_2 with a finite sequence of policy discs $\{D_i\}_{i=0}^n$ with radius 2ϵ such that $z_i, z_{i+1}, w_i, w_{i+1} \in D_i$. Let $z_1 = w_1$ be the starting point and let $z_{n+1} = w_{n+1}$ be the end-point. From Lemma 2.4, there exists a policy primitive F_i in D_i . For the primitives of the same function, $F_{i+1}(z_{i+1}) - F_i(z_{i+1}) = F_{i+1}(w_{i+1}) - F_i(w_{i+1})$. Since $F_{i+1}(z_{i+1}) - F_{i+1}(w_{i+1}) = F_i(z_{i+1}) - F_i(w_{i+1})$, we have

$$(2.50) \quad \int_{\gamma_{s_1}} f - \int_{\gamma_{s_2}} f = \sum_{i=0}^n (F_i(z_{i+1}) - F_i(z_i)) - \sum_{i=0}^n (F_i(w_{i+1}) - F_i(w_i)) = 0$$

If we divide $[0, 1]$ into $[s_i, s_{i+1}]$ of length less than δ , then we can apply the same argument to prove the lemma. \square

Remark 2.86. In a simply connected policy region Ω , policy along curves is determined by two common end points but not a path selection. A disc, a rectangle, an open convex set, the slit plane $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{(-\infty, 0]\}$, and the interior of contours are simply connected. The punctured plane $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is not simply connected.

Lemma 2.87. *A holomorphic policy function f defined in a simply connected policy region Ω has a policy primitive.*

Proof. From Lemma 2.4, we have $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (F(z+h) - F(z))/h = f(z)$, where the policy line segment η connects z with $z+h$. \square

The results derive a theorem on policy along curves in the simply connected policy region.

Theorem 2.88. *Suppose that a holomorphic policy function f defined in a simply connected policy region Ω . Then $\int_{\gamma} f(z) = 0$, where γ is a closed curve in Ω .*

Theorem 2.89. *Suppose that a holomorphic policy function f has no zeros in a simply connected policy region Ω . Then $f(z) = e^{g(z)}$, where $g(z)$ is holomorphic in Ω .*

Proof. In the simply connected policy region Ω , we fix z_0 . It gives

$$(2.51) \quad g(z) = \int_{\gamma} \frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} dw + c_0$$

where γ is any path connecting z_0 and z in Ω . $f(z_0) = e^{c_0}$. Hence, we have $g'(z) = f'(z)/f(z)$. Therefore, $\frac{d}{dz}(f(z)e^{-g(z)}) = f'(z)e^{-g(z)} - f(z)e^{-g(z)}g'(z) = 0$. From $f(z_0)e^{-c_0} = 1$, we conclude that $f(z) = e^{g(z)}$. \square

2.11. Policy Transform.

Theorem 2.90. *With a policy kernel, a policy function $f(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)e^{-izt} dt$ is holomorphic when $m_1 < \Im z < m_2$. m_1, m_2 are some numbers. $f(t)$ is a real- or complex-valued function.*

Proof. Let $|f(t)| \leq A_1 e^{-m_1 t}$, $t \in (-\infty, 0]$ be satisfied where A_1 is constant and m_1 is a number. Then $|f(t)e^{-izt}| \leq A_1 e^{t(\Im z - m_1)}$, $t \in (-\infty, 0]$. Hence, $\int_{-\infty}^0 f(t)e^{-izt} dt$, $\Im z > m_1$ converges. Let $|f(t)| \leq A_2 e^{-m_2 t}$, $t \in [0, \infty)$ be satisfied where A_2 is constant and m_2 is a number. Then $\int_0^{\infty} f(t)e^{-izt} dt$, $\Im z < m_2$ converges. If $m_1 < m_2$, then $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)e^{-izt} dt$, $m_1 < \Im z < m_2$ converges. Thus $f(z)$ is holomorphic when $m_1 < \Im z < m_2$. \square

Corollary 2.91. *With a policy kernel, a policy function $f(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)e^{-izt} dt$ is entire when $f(t) = 0$, $|t| \geq M > 0$.*

Proof. If $f(t) = 0$, $|t| \geq M > 0$, then $|f(t)| \leq A_1 e^{-m_1 t}$, $t \in (-\infty, 0]$ holds for all m_1 and $|f(t)| \leq A_2 e^{-m_2 t}$, $t \in [0, \infty)$ holds for all m_2 . $f(z)$ is entire. \square

Theorem 2.92. *With a policy kernel, a holomorphic policy function $f(z)$ has the inverse transform $f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty+i(\Im z)}^{\infty+i(\Im z)} f(z)e^{izt} dz$, $\Im z \in (m_1, m_2)$.*

Proof. Let z_0 be a point in a strip $\Im z \in (m_1, m_2)$. Then $|f(t)e^{-iz_0 t}| = |f(t)|e^{t(\Im z_0)}$. Hence, $|f(t)e^{-iz_0 t}| \leq A_1 e^{a_1 t}$, $t \in (-\infty, 0]$, A_1 and a_1 are positive constants. $|f(t)e^{-iz_0 t}| \leq A_2 e^{-a_2 t}$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, A_2 and a_2 are positive constants. Let $f_1(t) = f(t)e^{t(\Im z_0)}$ be satisfied. Then $f(t) = e^{-t(\Im z_0)} f_1(t)$. Hence, $f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty+i(\Im z)}^{\infty+i(\Im z)} f(z)e^{izt} dz$, $\Im z \in (m_1, m_2)$. \square

Similarly, we can prove the following theorems.

Theorem 2.93. *With a policy kernel, a policy function $\mathcal{L}(f(t); s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} f(t) dt$, $\Re s > \alpha$ is holomorphic. s is a real or complex parameter and α is a number. $f(t)$ is a real- or complex-valued function.*

Theorem 2.94. *With a policy kernel, the policy function has the inverse transform $f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} e^{ts} \mathcal{L}(f(t); s) ds$, $\sigma > \alpha$ when $f(t)$ is continuous and $f'(t)$ is piecewise continuous on $[0, \infty)$. α is a number.*

Theorem 2.95. *With a policy kernel, a policy function $\mathcal{M}(f; s) = \int_0^{\infty} x^{s-1} f(x) dx$ is holomorphic when $a < \Re s < b$. a and b are numbers.*

Theorem 2.96. *With a policy kernel, the policy function has the inverse transform $f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} x^{-s} \mathcal{M}(f; s) ds$ when $f(x)$ is continuous on $(0, \infty)$ and $\mathcal{M}(f; s)$ is integrable on $(-\infty, \infty)$.*

Theorem 2.97. *A policy kernel function can transform policy expressions into holomorphic policy functions. The transformed policy function $f(z)$ can be traced dynamically with a policy kernel function.*

2.12. Growth of Policy Functions.

Theorem 2.98. *Suppose that a policy function f is holomorphic in an open policy space Ω and the closure of policy disc $D_r \subset \Omega$. Let $f(0) \neq 0$ and $f(z) \neq 0$, $z \in C_r$ be satisfied. f has zeros $\{z_k\}_{k=1}^N$ inside the disc. D_r and C_r are centered at the origin.*

$$(2.52) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(Re^{i\theta})| d\theta - \log |f(0)| = \sum_{k=1}^N \log \left(\frac{R}{|z_k|} \right)$$

Proof. Let $f(z) = g(z) \prod_{k=1}^N (z - z_k)$ and holomorphic $g(z) \neq 0$, $z \in D_r$ be satisfied. In a larger disc, $g(z) = e^{h(z)}$ where $h(z)$ is holomorphic in the larger disc. Hence we write $\log |g(z)| = \Re h(z)$. From Lemma 1.63, we write $\log |g(0)| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |g(Re^{i\theta})| d\theta$. Let $F(z) = 1 - az$, $F(z) \neq 0$ be satisfied in the closure of the unit disc. In a larger disc, $F(z) = e^{G(z)}$. Since $F(0) = 1$, we have $\int_0^{2\pi} \log |1 - ae^{i\theta}| d\theta = 0$, $|a| < 1$ from Lemma 1.63. Thus $\log |w| = \log(\frac{|w|}{R}) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |Re^{i\theta} - w| d\theta$. $f(z)$ has the zeros, which are the union of zeros of g and $z - w$, $w \in D_r$. The theorem is proved. \square

Corollary 2.99. *Suppose that $n(r)$ denotes the number of zeros of a holomorphic policy function f inside the disc D_r , $0 < r < R$. Let $\int_0^R n(r) \frac{dr}{r} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(Re^{i\theta})| d\theta - \log |f(0)|$ and $\int_0^R n(r) \frac{dr}{r} = \sum_{k=1}^N \log |\frac{R}{z_k}|$ be satisfied. f has zeros $\{z_k\}_{k=1}^N$ inside the disc D_r . D_r is centered at the origin.*

Proof. Since $\sum_{k=1}^N \log |\frac{R}{z_k}| = \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{|z_k|}^R \frac{dr}{r}$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^N \int_{|z_k|}^R \frac{dr}{r} = \int_0^R (\sum_{k=1}^N \eta_k(r)) \frac{dr}{r}$, where $\eta_k(r) = 1$ if $r > |z_k|$ and $\eta_k(r) = 0$ if $r \leq |z_k|$. Thus $\sum_{k=1}^N \int_{|z_k|}^R \frac{dr}{r} = \int_0^R n(r) \frac{dr}{r}$. From the above theorem, the corollary is proved. \square

Remark 2.100. The growth of a holomorphic policy function f has a relation with the number of its zeros inside a disc. The larger f is, the more zeros f can have.

2.13. Infinite Policies.

Theorem 2.101. *Let $\sum |z_k| < \infty$ be satisfied for a sequence of policies $\{z_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. Then the interactions of the policies $\prod_{k=1}^\infty (1 + z_k)$ converges. $\prod_{k=1}^\infty (1 + z_k)$ converges to 0 if and only if its factors are 0.*

Proof. Since $\sum |z_k| < \infty$, there exists $|z_k| < \frac{1}{2}$ for a large k . Assuming the inequality holds for all k , we write $\prod_{k=1}^N (1 + z_k) = \prod_{k=1}^N e^{\log(1+z_k)} = e^{\sum_{k=1}^N b_k}$, where $b_k = \log(1 + z_k)$. Since $|\log(1 + z_k)| \leq 2|z_k|$ if $|z_k| < \frac{1}{2}$, $|b_k| \leq 2|z_k|$. Hence, $\sum_{k=1}^N b_k$ converges when $N \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $e^{\sum_{k=1}^N b_k}$ converges when $N \rightarrow \infty$. Let $1 + z_k \neq 0$ be satisfied for all k . $\prod_{k=1}^\infty (1 + z_k)$ converges to a non-zero limit. \square

Theorem 2.102. *Let constant $c_n > 0$ and $\sum c_n < \infty$ be satisfied for a sequence of holomorphic policy functions $\{F_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in an open policy space Ω , $|F_k(z) - 1| \leq c_n$, $z \in \Omega$. Then the interactions of the policy functions $\prod_{k=1}^\infty F_k(z)$ converges uniformly to a holomorphic policy function $F(z)$. In addition, the rate of the change of the policy function is $F'(z)/F(z) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty F'_k(z)/F_k(z)$.*

Proof. Let $F_k(z) = 1 + a_k(z)$ be satisfied where $|a_k(z)| \leq c_k$ and c_k is constant. From Theorem 2.101, $\prod_{k=1}^\infty F_k(z)$ converges uniformly in the open policy space Ω . The product converges to a holomorphic function $F(z)$. Let $G_N(z) = \prod_{k=1}^N F_k(z)$, $G_N \rightarrow F$ be satisfied uniformly in Ω . From Lemma 2.19, $\{G'_N\}$ converges to F' uniformly in an arbitrary compact set $K \in \Omega$. Since $1/G_N$ is uniformly bounded on K , $G'_N/G_N \rightarrow F'/F$ uniformly on K . Thus $G'_N/G_N = \sum_{k=1}^\infty F'_k/F_k$ from the derivative of logarithm. \square

2.14. Policy Mappings.

Definition 2.103. *Policy Mappings* analyze geometric properties associated with mappings of policy regions from complicated configurations to simple geometries. The *policy mappings* may be represented by policy functions.

Definition 2.104. Policy mappings are *conformal* from an open policy space U to an open policy space V if $f : U \rightarrow V$ is a bijective holomorphic policy function.

Remark 2.105. For higher dimensions, being biholomorphic is not the same as being conformal.

Lemma 2.106. *Suppose that a conformal policy map $F : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, where $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Im z > 0\}$ denotes the upper policy plane. The point -1 on the policy circle corresponds to the point at infinity of \mathbb{H} .*

Proof. Let the conformal policy maps be $F(z) = (i - z)/(i + z)$ and $G(z) = i(1 - w)/(1 + w)$. Since $|i + z| > |i - z|$ in the policy plane \mathbb{H} , $|F(z)| < 1$. Hence, F maps \mathbb{H} to \mathbb{D} . Since $\Im G(w) = \Re \frac{1-w}{1+w} > 0$, $w = u + iv$, $|w| < 1$, G maps \mathbb{D} to \mathbb{H} . $F(G(w)) = w$ and $G(F(z)) = z$. Thus we obtain a conformal map. The boundary of \mathbb{H} is \mathbb{R} . If z is real, $|F(z)| = 1$. Hence, F maps \mathbb{R} onto the boundary of \mathbb{D} . Since F starts from -1 and goes through the circle in the lower half plane as the real variable goes from $-\infty$ to ∞ , the point -1 on the policy circle corresponds to the point at infinity of \mathbb{H} . \square

Remark 2.107. A half policy plane $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Im z > 0\}$ can be conformally mapped to an infinite sector $S = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \arg w < \alpha\pi\}$ if $f(z) = z^\alpha$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. As z is real and goes from $-\infty$ to 0 , f goes from $\infty e^{i\alpha\pi}$ to 0 on the half line determined by $\arg z = \alpha\pi$. When z is real and goes from 0 to ∞ , f goes from 0 to ∞ on the real line.

Remark 2.108. A half policy disc $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1, \Im z > 0\}$ can be conformally mapped to the first quadrant $\{w \in \mathbb{C} : \Re w > 0, \Im w > 0\}$. Let $z = e^{i\theta}$ be satisfied if $f(z) = (1 + z)/(1 - z)$. When θ goes from 0 to π , f goes from $i\infty$ to 0 . When z is real and goes from -1 to 1 , f increases from 0 to ∞ .

Remark 2.109. A half policy plane $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Im z > 0\}$ can be conformally mapped to a strip $\{w \in \mathbb{C} : \Im w \in (0, \pi)\}$ if $f(z) = \log z$ is defined by the branch without the negative imaginary axis. If z is real and goes from $-\infty$ to 0 , then f goes from $\infty + i\pi$ to $-\infty + i\pi$. As z is real and goes from 0 to ∞ , f traverses from $-\infty$ to ∞ on the real line.

Remark 2.110. A half policy disc $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1, \Im z > 0\}$ can be conformally mapped to a half-strip $\{w \in \mathbb{C} : \Re w < 0, \Im w \in (0, \pi)\}$ if $f(z) = \log z$ is defined by the branch without the negative imaginary axis. When z is real and goes from 0 to 1 , f goes from $-\infty$ to 0 . When z is real and goes from 1 to -1 on the half circle, f goes from 0 to πi on the vertical segment. If z is real and goes from -1 to 0 , then f goes from πi to $-\infty + i\pi$ on the top of the strip.

Remark 2.111. A half-strip $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re z \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2), \Im z > 0\}$ can be conformally mapped to a half disc $\{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < 1, \Re w > 0\}$ if $f(z) = e^{iz}$. Let $z = x + iy$ be satisfied. As x goes from $\pi/2 + i\infty$ to $\pi/2$, f goes from 0 to i . If x goes from $\pi/2$ to $-\pi/2$, then f goes from i to $-i$ on the half circle. If x goes from $-\pi/2$ to $-\pi/2 + i\infty$, then f goes from $-i$ to 0 .

Remark 2.112. A half policy plane $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Im z > 0\}$ can be conformally mapped to the interior of half-strip by $f(z) = \int_0^z 1/(1 - \zeta^2)^{1/2} d\zeta$, where the branch is chosen for $(1 - \zeta^2)^{1/2}$ to be holomorphic in \mathbb{H} and positive when $-1 < \zeta < 1$. f maps the real line to the boundary of the half-strip. The inverse function $f(z) = \sin z$ takes the half-strip conformally to \mathbb{H} .

Remark 2.113. A half policy plane $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Im z > 0\}$ can be conformally mapped to the interior of a rectangle by

$$(2.53) \quad f(z) = \int_0^z \frac{d\zeta}{[(1-\zeta^2)(1-k^2\zeta^2)]^{1/2}}$$

where $k \in (0, 1)$. $z \in \mathbb{H}$. The branch is chosen for $[(1-\zeta^2)(1-k^2\zeta^2)]^{1/2}$ to be holomorphic in \mathbb{H} and positive when $-1 < \zeta < 1$.

The real axis is mapped onto the rectangle with vertices $-K, K, K + iK', -K + iK'$, where K and K' are integrals. The point at infinity corresponds to the mid-point of the upper side of the rectangle. The inverse map $f(z) = \text{sn}(z)$ transforms the closed rectangle into \mathbb{H} .

Remark 2.114. For higher dimensions, there exist nonlinear automorphisms.

Lemma 2.115. *Suppose that a holomorphic policy map $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and $f(0) = 0$. Then $|f(z)| \leq |z|, z \in \mathbb{D}$. f is a rotation if $|f(z_0)| = |z_0|, z_0 \neq 0$. $f'(0) \leq 1$ and f is a rotation if $|f'(0)| = 1$.*

Proof. Since $f(0) = 0$, $f(z)/z$ is holomorphic in \mathbb{D} . Let $|z| = r < 1$ be satisfied. From Corollary 2.82, $|f(z)/z| \leq 1/r$ since $|f(z)| < 1$. Then $|f(z)| \leq |z|, z \in \mathbb{D}$ if $r \rightarrow 1$. If $|f(z_0)| = |z_0|, z_0 \neq 0$, then $f(z)/z$ is constant. Hence, $f(z) = e^{i\theta}z$ since $|f(z_0)| = |z_0|$. Thus the policy map f is a rotation. Since $|f'(0)| = 1, \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} |(f(z) - f(0))/z| = 1$. Hence, $f(z) = e^{i\theta}z$. Thus f is a rotation. \square

Remark 2.116. The rotational policy map achieves the maximum values of $|f(z_0)|$ and $|f'(0)|$ for automorphisms of the disc.

Lemma 2.117. *Suppose that a policy map f is an automorphism of the disc. Then the rotations combined with a map can represent f as*

$$(2.54) \quad f(z) = e^{i\theta} \frac{\alpha - z}{1 - \bar{\alpha}z}$$

where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$.

Proof. Let $\psi_\alpha(z) = (\alpha - z)/(1 - \bar{\alpha}z)$ be satisfied. Since $|\alpha| < 1$, $\psi_\alpha(z)$ is holomorphic in \mathbb{D} . Let $z = e^{i\theta}$ be satisfied. $|\psi_\alpha(z)| = 1$. From Corollary 2.82, $|\psi_\alpha(z)| < 1, z \in \mathbb{D}$. Thus $\psi_\alpha(z)$ represents automorphisms of \mathbb{D} . Let $g = f \circ \psi_\alpha$ be satisfied. $g(0) = 0$ is obtained since $f(\alpha) = 0$ is satisfied. From Lemma 2.115, $|g(z)| \leq |z|, z \in \mathbb{D}$. Similarly, $|g^{-1}(w)| \leq |w|, w \in \mathbb{D}$ and $|z| \leq |g(z)|, z \in \mathbb{D}$ since $g^{-1}(0) = 0$. Hence, $|g(z)| = |z|, z \in \mathbb{D}$. $g(z) = e^{i\theta}z$. Thus $f(z) = e^{i\theta}\psi_\alpha(z)$ since $(\psi_\alpha \circ \psi_\alpha)(z) = z$. \square

Remark 2.118. The rotational policy map is the unique automorphism of \mathbb{D} that fixes the origin. Mappings from α to β can be done transitively as $\psi = \psi_\beta \circ \psi_\alpha$.

Lemma 2.119. *Suppose that automorphisms of \mathbb{H} takes a conformal policy map f_M , then $f_M(z) = (az + b)/(cz + d), M \in \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, where*

$$(2.55) \quad \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, \det(M) = 1 \right\}$$

Proof. If $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ denotes \mathcal{G} , then $M \in \mathcal{G}$. It suffices to prove $\Im f_M(z) > 0$ as

$$(2.56) \quad \Im f_M(z) = \frac{(ad - bc)\Im z}{|cz + d|^2} = \frac{\Im z}{|cz + d|^2} > 0$$

where $z \in \mathbb{H}$.

Since $f_M \circ f_{M'} = f_{MM'}$, $(f_M \circ f_{M^{-1}})(z) = f_{MM^{-1}}(z) = z$. Hence, f_M is an automorphism of \mathbb{H} .

Next, we need to prove every policy map with the form f_M is an automorphism of \mathbb{H} . Let $d = 0$ in Equation 2.56 be satisfied. We can select c and M_1 to make $\Im f_M(z) = 1$ and $\Im f_{M_1}(z) = 1$. Then we choose M_2 to map z to i with f_M where $M = M_2 M_1$.

Let $F : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be satisfied.

$$(2.57) \quad M_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

Then there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $F \circ f_{M_\theta} \circ F^{-1}$ does rotation since $F \circ f_{M_\theta} = e^{-2i\theta} F(z)$. Let f be an automorphism of \mathbb{H} with $f(\beta) = i$ and $f_N(i) = \beta$, where $N \in \mathcal{G}$. If $g = f \circ f_N$, then $g(i) = i$ and $F \circ g \circ F^{-1}$ is an automorphism of the disc with fixed origin. Hence, $F \circ g \circ F^{-1} = F \circ f_{M_\theta} \circ F^{-1}$. Thus $g = f_{M_\theta}$ and $f = g \circ f_{N^{-1}} = f_{M_\theta N^{-1}}$ is the expected form. This result completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.120. *Suppose that a simply connected policy region $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ is proper. Ω can be conformally mapped to the unit disc \mathbb{D} . Policy map $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is unique such that $F(z_0) = 0, F'(z_0) > 0, z_0 \in \Omega$.*

Proof. We need to prove there exists a conformal policy map. Since Ω is proper, we can select complex $\alpha \notin \Omega$. Hence, $f(z) = \log(z - \alpha)$ is holomorphic since $z - \alpha \neq 0$ and Ω is simply connected. f is injective since $e^{f(z)} = z - \alpha$.

$$(2.58) \quad F(z) = \frac{1}{f(z) - (f(w) + 2\pi i)}$$

where $f(z) \neq f(w) + 2\pi i$ and $z \in \Omega$.

F is injective and holomorphic. Hence, $F : \Omega \rightarrow F(\Omega)$ is a conformal policy map. If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{D}, 0 \in \Omega$, then let \mathcal{F} be a family of functions as

$$(2.59) \quad \mathcal{F} = \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D} \text{ holomorphic, injective, } f(0) = 0\}$$

\mathcal{F} is uniformly bounded. Now, it suffices to prove $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a conformal policy map. Let $\psi_\alpha(z) = (\alpha - z)/(1 - \bar{\alpha}z)$, $f(z) \neq \alpha$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$ be satisfied. Then $U = (\psi_\alpha \circ f)(\Omega)$ is simply connected without the origin. We write a holomorphic function as

$$(2.60) \quad g(w) = \sqrt{w} = e^{\frac{1}{2} \log w}$$

Let $F = \psi_{g(\alpha)} \circ g \circ \psi_\alpha \circ f$ be satisfied. Then F is injective, holomorphic, and $F(0) = 0$. Hence, $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $h(w) = w^2$ be satisfied. Then $f = \psi_\alpha^{-1} \circ h \circ \psi_{g(\alpha)}^{-1} \circ F = \Phi \circ F$. $\Phi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and $\Phi(0) = 0$, but Φ is not injective since h is not injective. From Lemma 2.115, $\Phi'(0) < 1$. Hence, $|f'(0)| < |F'(0)|$. However, $|f'(0)|$ is uniformly bounded from Equation 2.8. Let $s = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |f'(0)|$ be satisfied. We can select $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ to obtain $|f'_n(0)| \rightarrow s$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let K be a compact subset of Ω . From Theorem 2.9, $|f(z) - f(w)| < C|z - w|$, where $z, w \in K$ with $|z - w| < r, r$ is small, $f \in \mathcal{F}$. By diagonalization, we obtain a subsequence converging uniformly on every compact set to a holomorphic function f on Ω .

Let $f(z_1) = f(z_2)$ be satisfied where z_1 and z_2 are distinct points and $g_n(z) = f_n(z) - f_n(z_1)$. The only zero of g_n is z_1 . Since $g \neq 0$, z_2 is an isolated zero of g . We write,

$$(2.61) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_r(z_2)} \frac{g'(\zeta)}{g(\zeta)} d\zeta = 1$$

, where $g(z_2) = 0$.

Hence, $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_r(z_2)} g'_n(\zeta)/g_n(\zeta)d\zeta \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_r(z_2)} g'(\zeta)/g(\zeta)d\zeta$. It is a contradiction since g_n has no zeros inside $C_r(z_2)$. Therefore f is injective since $s \geq 1$. $|f(z)| \leq 1$, $z \in \Omega$. From Corollary 2.82, $|f(z)| < 1$. $|f'(0)| = s$ since $f(0) = 0$. This result contradicts $|f'(0)| < |F'(0)|$. Thus f is a conformal policy map.

Let F and G be policy maps $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$. Then $H = F \circ G^{-1}$ is an automorphism of the disc with the fixed origin. Hence, $H(z) = e^{i\theta}z$. Since $H'(0) > 0$, $e^{i\theta} = 1$. Thus policy map F is unique. This result completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.121. The explicit form of the policy map $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is not given in Lemma 2.120. For higher dimensions, almost any two arbitrarily chosen domains are not holomorphically equivalent.

Theorem 2.122. *The real axis can be conformally mapped onto a polygon. The polygon may have vertices at infinity. The policy map is given as*

$$(2.62) \quad S(z) = \int_0^z \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta - A_1)^{\beta_1} \cdots (\zeta - A_n)^{\beta_n}}$$

where $\beta_k < 1$ and $\sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k > 1$. The n distinct points are located on the real axis with the order as $A_1 < A_2 < \cdots < A_n$. $(z - A_k)^{\beta_k}$ is the branch in the complex plane slit along the ray $\{A_k + iy : y \leq 0\}$. The branch is positive when $z = x$ is real and $x > A_k$. $(x - A_k)^{\beta_k} > 0$ as $x > A_k$.

Proof. Since the complex plane slit along the union of rays $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \{A_k + iy : y \leq 0\}$ is simply connected, $S(z)$ is holomorphic in the open policy space. $1/(\zeta - A_k)^{-\beta_k}$ is integrable near A_k since $\beta_k < 1$. When $|\zeta|$ is large, $|\prod_{k=1}^n (\zeta - A_k)^{-\beta_k}| \leq c|\zeta|^{-\sum \beta_k}$. Hence, $S(z)$ is convergent at infinity since $\sum \beta_k > 1$.

Let $a_k = S(A_k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $a_\infty = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} S(re^{i\theta})$ be satisfied. Then the limit a_∞ exists and is independent of $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$. It suffices to prove that S maps the real axis onto the polygon with the vertices a_1, \dots, a_n . The point a_∞ is located on the segment $[a_n, a_1]$ when $\sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k = 2$. The interior angle of the vertex a_k is $\alpha_k \pi$ where $\alpha_k = 1 - \beta_k$. Let $x \in (A_k, A_{k+1})$ and $k \in [1, n - 1]$ be satisfied. Then

$$(2.63) \quad S'(x) = \prod_{j \leq k} (x - A_j)^{-\beta_j} \prod_{j > k} (x - A_j)^{-\beta_j}$$

$$(2.64) \quad \arg S'(x) = \arg \left(\prod_{j > k} (x - A_j)^{-\beta_j} \right) = \arg \prod_{j > k} e^{-i\pi\beta_j} = -\pi \sum_{j > k} \beta_j$$

This result is $S(x) = S(A_k) + \int_{A_k}^x S'(y)dy$. $S(x)$ goes from $S(A_k)$ to $S(A_{k+1})$ along $[a_k, a_{k+1}]$ with an angle of $-\pi \sum_{j > k} \beta_j$. If $x > A_n$, then $S'(x)$ is positive. If $x < A_1$, then $\arg S'(x) = -2\pi$ and $S'(x)$ is positive. If $x \in [A_n, +\infty)$, then $S(x)$ travels along a line between a_n and a_∞ . If $x \in (-\infty, A_1]$, then $S(x)$ varies along the line between a_∞ and a_1 . Thus the segment $[a_n, a_1]$ is constructed. Since the angle between $[a_{k+1}, a_k]$ and $[a_{k-1}, a_k]$ is $\pi\beta_k$, the angle at a_k is $\pi\alpha_k$. Let $1 < \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k < 2$ be satisfied. The $S(z)$ maps the real axis onto the polygon with vertices $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_\infty$. The angle at a_∞ is $\alpha_\infty \pi$ with $\alpha_\infty = 1 - \beta_\infty$ and $\beta_\infty = 2 - \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k$. \square

Remark 2.123. On boundary behavior, let $F : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow P$ be a policy map. Then F extends to a continuous bijection from $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ to the closure of the polygonal region. Specifically, F gives rise to a bijection from the boundary of the disc to the boundary polygon.

Theorem 2.124. *A half policy plane $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Im z > 0\}$ can be conformally mapped to a polygonal policy region. The policy map is given as*

$$(2.65) \quad F(z) = C_1 \int_0^z \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta - A_1)^{\beta_1} \cdots (\zeta - A_n)^{\beta_n}} + C_2$$

where C_1 and C_2 are complex numbers. F maps A_1, \dots, A_n to the vertices a_1, \dots, a_n without the infinity point.

Proof. Let $A_1 < A_2 < \cdots < A_n$ be satisfied. The segments on the real line can be presented as $[A_k, A_{k+1}]$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. This includes $(-\infty, A_1] \cup [A_n, \infty)$. These are mapped bijectively onto the corresponding sides of the polygon, which is $[a_k, a_{k+1}]$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $[a_n, a_1]$.

If z is located in the open upper half-strip bounded by $\Re z = A_k$ and $\Re z = A_{k+1}$, let $h_k(z) = (F(z) - a_k)^{1/\alpha_k}$ be satisfied by selecting a branch of the logarithm. A policy map h_k is continuous up to the segment (A_{k-1}, A_{k+1}) . h_k maps segment $[A_{k-1}, A_{k+1}]$ to a straight line segment L_k in the complex plane with A_k mapped to 0. From Lemma 2.39, h_k is continuable to a holomorphic function in an infinite strip $A_{k-1} < \Re z < A_{k+1}$. Then

$$(2.66) \quad h'_k(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha_k} \frac{F'(z)}{F(z) - F(A_k)} (F(z) - F(A_k))^{1/\alpha_k}$$

$$(2.67) \quad \alpha_k \frac{h'_k(z)}{h_k(z)} = \frac{F'(z)}{F(z) - F(A_k)}$$

Hence, $h'_k(z) \neq 0$. From Lemma 2.39, the above result holds in the lower half-strip. For the points on segment (A_{k-1}, A_{k+1}) , the image under h_k of a small half disc at $A_{k-1} < x < A_{k+1}$ in \mathbb{H} is located on one side of L_k . Since h_k is injective on L_k , h_k is injective in the whole disc from Lemma 2.39 because $h'(x) \neq 0$ and $h'(z) \neq 0$ for $A_{k-1} < \Re z < A_{k+1}$. From Equation 2.67, we have $F' = \alpha_k h_k^{-\beta_k} h'_k$ and $F'' = -\beta_k \alpha_k h_k^{-\beta_k - 1} (h'_k)^2 + \alpha_k h_k^{-\beta_k} h''_k$, where $\alpha_k + \beta_k = 1$. Since $h'_k(z) \neq 0$, $F''(z)/F'(z) = -\beta_k h'_k/h_k + h''_k/h'_k$. Hence,

$$(2.68) \quad \frac{F''(z)}{F'(z)} = \frac{-\beta_k}{z - A_k} + E_k(z)$$

where $E_k(z)$ is holomorphic in the open strip. The similar result holds as $k = 1$ and $k = n$.

The union of the segments $(-\infty, A_1] \cup (A_n, \infty)$ has the image of a straight line segment under F . From Lemma 2.39, F is continuous in the exterior of $|z| \leq R$, $R > \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |A_k|$. We expand $F(z) = c_0 + c_1/z + c_2/z^2 + \cdots$ at $z = \infty$. $F''/F' \rightarrow 0$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $F''(z)/F'(z) + \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k/(z - A_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ since different strips overlap and cover the entire plane. From Equation 2.8, we write

$$(2.69) \quad \frac{F''(z)}{F'(z)} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\beta_k}{z - A_k} = 0$$

Let $Q(z) = c(z - A_1)^{-\beta_1} \cdots (z - A_n)^{-\beta_n}$ be satisfied. We have

$$(2.70) \quad \frac{Q'(z)}{Q(z)} = - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\beta_k}{z - A_k}$$

$$(2.71) \quad \frac{d}{dz} \left(\frac{F'(z)}{Q(z)} \right) = 0$$

Hence, $F'(z) = Q(z)$. Equation 2.65 is proved. \square

Corollary 2.125. *A half policy plane $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Im z > 0\}$ can be conformally mapped to a polygonal policy region. The policy map is given as*

$$(2.72) \quad F(z) = C_1 \int_0^z \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta - A_1)^{\beta_1} \cdots (\zeta - A_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}}} + C_2$$

where C_1 and C_2 are constants. F maps $A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, \infty$ to the vertices of the polygon boundary.

Proof. Let $A_j \neq 0$ be satisfied with a proper translation. Then $\Phi(z) = A_n^* - 1/z$ is a policy map where $A_n^* > 0$. Hence, $A_k^* = \Phi(A_k)$, where $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ because Φ is an automorphism of \mathbb{H} . Since $A_n^* = \Phi(\infty)$, we write $(F \circ \Phi^{-1})(A_k^*) = a_k$, where $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. From Theorem 2.124, we write

$$(2.73) \quad (F \circ \Phi^{-1})(z') = C_1 \int_0^{z'} \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta - A_1^*)^{\beta_1} \cdots (\zeta - A_n^*)^{\beta_n}} + C_2$$

Let $\zeta = \Phi(w)$ be satisfied. Then $d\zeta = dw/w^2$ and $(1/w)^{\sum \beta_n} = 1/w^2$. We write

$$(2.74) \quad (F \circ \Phi^{-1})(z') = C_1 \int_0^{\Phi^{-1}(z')} \frac{dw}{(w(A_n^* - A_1^*) - 1)^{\beta_1} \cdots (w(A_n^* - A_{n-1}^*) - 1)^{\beta_{n-1}}} + C_2'$$

Since $A_k^* = \Phi(A_k)$, $1/(A_n^* - A_k^*) = A_k$. With $\Phi^{-1}(z') = z$, we write

$$(2.75) \quad F(z) = C_1' \int_0^z \frac{dw}{(w - A_1)^{\beta_1} \cdots (w - A_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}}} + C_2'$$

This result completes the proof. \square

2.15. Elementary Policy Functions.

Definition 2.126. *Elementary policy functions* form a class of policy functions which can be represented by the elementary functions defined in [3].

Theorem 2.127. *Elementary policy functions have zeros.*

Proof. A logarithmic function $\ln z$ has only one zero at $z = 1$. An exponential function e^z and a power function z^a have no zeros. A trigonometric function $\sin z$ has zeros at $z = k\pi, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The zeros of $\cos z$ are located at $z = (k + \frac{1}{2})\pi, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\tan z$ has the same zeros as $\sin z$. $\csc z$ and $\sec z$ have no zeros. $\cot z$ has the same zeros as $\cos z$. $\csc z$ and $\sec z$ have no zeros. A hyperbolic function $\sinh z$ has zeros at $z = ik\pi, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\cosh z$ has zeros at $z = i(k + \frac{1}{2})\pi, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\tanh z$ has the same zeros as $\sinh z$. $\coth z$ has the same zeros as $\cosh z$. $\operatorname{csch} z$ and $\operatorname{sech} z$ have no zeros. \square

Lemma 2.128. *Logarithm, exponential, and power policy functions can be decomposed.*

Proof. Elementary policy functions such as a logarithm policy function $f(z) = \ln z$, an exponential policy function $f(z) = e^z$, and a power policy function $f(z) = z^a$, where a is real or complex constant, can be decomposed by the following continued fractions:

$$(2.76) \quad \ln(1+z) = \frac{z}{1+} \frac{z}{2+} \frac{z}{3+} \frac{4z}{4+} \frac{4z}{5+} \frac{9z}{6+} \frac{9z}{7+} \cdots$$

where $|\operatorname{ph}(1+z)| < \pi$.

$$(2.77) \quad e^z = \frac{1}{1-} \frac{z}{1+} \frac{z}{2-} \frac{z}{3+} \frac{z}{2-} \frac{z}{5+} \frac{z}{2-} \cdots$$

The expansions for z^a have been studied in [3]. \square

Lemma 2.129. *Trigonometric functions and inverse trigonometric functions can be decomposed.*

Proof. Trigonometric functions can be decomposed from e^{iz} in definitions. The inverse trigonometric functions can be decomposed by logarithmic representations. In addition, trigonometric functions can be decomposed as infinite products.

$$(2.78) \quad \sin z = z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{n^2\pi^2}\right)$$

$$(2.79) \quad \cos z = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{4z^2}{(2n-1)^2\pi^2}\right)$$

Elementary policy functions such as $\tan(z)$ and inverse trigonometric functions can be decomposed in continued fractions. \square

Lemma 2.130. *Hyperbolic functions and inverse hyperbolic functions can be decomposed.*

Proof. Hyperbolic functions can be decomposed from e^z in definitions. The inverse hyperbolic functions can be decomposed by logarithmic representations. In addition, hyperbolic functions can be decomposed as infinite products.

$$(2.80) \quad \sinh z = z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z^2}{n^2\pi^2}\right)$$

$$(2.81) \quad \cosh z = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{4z^2}{(2n-1)^2\pi^2}\right)$$

Elementary policy functions such as $\tanh z$, $\operatorname{arcsinh} z / \sqrt{1+z^2}$, and $\operatorname{arctanh} z$ can be decomposed in continued fractions. \square

Theorem 2.131. *We can develop a policy library to provide elementary policies and elementary policy functions.*

2.16. Entire Policy Functions.

Definition 2.132. Let $|f(z)| \leq Ae^{B|z|^\rho}$ where $\rho > 0, A, B > 0$ be an entire policy function. f has an order of growth $\leq \rho$. The order of growth ρ_0 of f is the infimum of all ρ .

Lemma 2.133. *An entire policy function f with a finite order $\leq \rho$ has a bounded number of zeros inside the disc D_r such that $n(r) \leq Mr^\rho$, where r is sufficiently large and $M > 0$.*

Proof. Let $R = 2r$ and $f(0) \neq 0$ be satisfied. From Corollary 2.99, We write

$$(2.82) \quad \int_r^{2r} n(x) \frac{dx}{x} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(Re^{i\theta})| d\theta - \log |f(0)|$$

Since $n(x)$ is a non-decreasing function of r , we have $\int_r^{2r} n(x) \frac{dx}{x} \geq n(r) \log 2$. From Definition 2.132, we have $\int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(Re^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \log |Ae^{BR^\rho}| d\theta \leq M'r^\rho$, where r is sufficiently large. Thus $n(r) \leq Mr^\rho$. \square

Lemma 2.134. *An entire policy function f with a finite order $\leq \rho$ has zeros $\{z_k\}_{k=1}^\infty, z_k \neq 0$. Then we have $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{|z_k|^s} < \infty$ where $s > \rho$.*

Proof. For sufficiently large r , the sum is broken into the double sum as:

$$(2.83) \quad \sum_{|z_k| \geq 1} \frac{1}{|z_k|^s} = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \left(\sum_{2^j \leq |z_k| < 2^{j+1}} \frac{1}{|z_k|^s} \right)$$

Estimating the relation by $n(r)$, we have $\sum_{|z_k| \geq 1} |z_k|^{-s} \leq \sum_{j=0}^\infty 2^{-js} n(2^{j+1}) \leq M \sum_{j=0}^\infty (2^{\rho-s})^j$. Thus $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{|z_k|^s} < \infty, s > \rho$. \square

Lemma 2.135. *Suppose that there exists a sequence $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty, |a_k| \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let f be an entire policy function with the zeros $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. Then any other such entire function is $f(z)e^{g(z)}$, where $g(z)$ is an entire policy function.*

Proof. Let entire policy functions $f(z)$ and $h(z)$ have zeros $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. Then $h(z)/f(z)$ has removable singularities at a_k . From Theorem 2.89, $h(z)/f(z) = e^{g(z)}$, where $g(z)$ is an entire policy function. Thus $h(z) = f(z)e^{g(z)}$. \square

Lemma 2.136. *Suppose that there exists a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, |a_n| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then an entire policy function is constructed with the zeros $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ as $f(z) = z^m \prod_{n=1}^\infty E_n(z/a_n)$, where $E_0(z) = 1 - z, E_k(z) = (1 - z)e^{z+z^2/2+\dots+z^k/k}, k \geq 1$. m is multiplicity of the zeros.*

Proof.

$$(2.84) \quad E_k(z) = e^{\log(1-z)+z+z^2/2+\dots+z^k/k} = e^{-\sum_{n=k+1}^\infty z^n/n}$$

If $|z| \leq 1/2$, then

$$(2.85) \quad \left| -\sum_{n=k+1}^\infty z^n/n \right| \leq |z|^{k+1} \sum_{n=k+1}^\infty |z|^{n-k-1}/n \leq |z|^{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^\infty 2^{-j} \leq 2|z|^{k+1}$$

$$(2.86) \quad |1 - E_k(z)| = \left| 1 - e^{-\sum_{n=k+1}^\infty z^n/n} \right| \leq c' \left| -\sum_{n=k+1}^\infty z^n/n \right| \leq c|z|^{k+1}$$

Hence, $|1 - E_k(z)|$ is bounded. If m is the order of the zeros at the origin and $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are not zero-zeros, then we have $f(z) = z^m \prod_{n=1}^\infty E_n(z/a_n)$. Let $z \in D_R$ be satisfied where R is arbitrary. If $|a_n| \leq 2R$, then there are a finite number of terms since $|a_n| \rightarrow \infty$. There are a finite number of zeros at a_n and $|a_n| < R$. If $|a_n| \geq 2R, |1 - E_n(z/a_n)| \leq c|z/a_n|^{n+1} \leq c/2^{n+1}$ since $|z/a_n| \leq 1/2$. Thus $\prod_{|a_n| \geq 2R} E_n(z/a_n)$ is holomorphic for $|z| < R$ and has no zeros in the disc from Theorem 2.101. Hence, f has a zero of order m at the origin and a sequence of zeros $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. f has no zeros elsewhere. \square

Lemma 2.137. *Suppose that there exists a policy sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, |a_n| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. An entire policy function has a growth order ρ_0 . For $\rho_0 \leq s < k+1, 1/|\prod_{n=1}^\infty E_k(z/a_n)| \leq e^{c|z|^s}$ is bounded, where z is a_n away from the radius $|a_n|^{-k-1}$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$*

Proof. We decompose the product as $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_k(z/a_n) = \prod_{|a_n| \leq 2|z|} E_k(z/a_n) \prod_{|a_n| > 2|z|} E_k(z/a_n)$. For $|z| \leq 1/2$, $|E_k(z)| \geq e^{-|\sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} z^n/n|}$ and $|\sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} z^n/n| \leq c|z|^{k+1}$. We write

$$(2.87) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \prod_{|a_n| > 2|z|} E_k(z/a_n) \right| &= \prod_{|a_n| > 2|z|} |E_k(z/a_n)| \\ &\geq \prod_{|a_n| > 2|z|} e^{-c|z/a_n|^{k+1}} \\ &\geq e^{-c|z|^{k+1} \sum_{|a_n| > 2|z|} |a_n|^{-k-1}} \end{aligned}$$

Since $|a_n|^{-k-1} \leq C|a_n|^{-s}|z|^{s-k-1}$ where $s < k+1$ and $|a_n| > 2|z|$, $\sum_{|a_n| > 2|z|} |a_n|^{-k-1}$ converges. This result gives $\left| \prod_{|a_n| > 2|z|} E_k(z/a_n) \right| \geq e^{-c|z|^s}$, $c > 0$.

For $|z| \geq 1/2$, $|E_k(z)| \geq |1-z|e^{-|z+z^2/2+\dots+z^k/k|} \geq |1-z|e^{-c|z|^k}$. A further product decomposition gives $\left| \prod_{|a_n| \leq 2|z|} E_k(z/a_n) \right| \geq \prod_{|a_n| \leq 2|z|} |1-z/a_n| \prod_{|a_n| \leq 2|z|} e^{-c'|z/a_n|^k}$.

Since $\prod_{|a_n| \leq 2|z|} e^{-c'|z/a_n|^k} = e^{-c'|z|^k \sum_{|a_n| \leq 2|z|} |a_n|^{-k}}$, $\prod_{|a_n| \leq 2|z|} e^{-c'|z/a_n|^k} \geq e^{-c|z|^s}$.

For $|a_n - z| \geq |a_n|^{-k-1}$, $\prod_{|a_n| \leq 2|z|} |1-z/a_n| \geq \prod_{|a_n| \leq 2|z|} |a_n|^{-k-1}/|a_n|$. Since $(k+2) \sum_{|a_n| \leq 2|z|} \log |a_n| \leq (k+2)n(2|z|) \log 2|z| \leq c'|z|^{s'}$, $\prod_{|a_n| \leq 2|z|} |1-z/a_n| \geq e^{-c|z|^s}$. Thus $1/\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_k(z/a_n) \leq e^{c|z|^s}$. \square

Lemma 2.138. *Suppose that there exists a policy sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $|a_n| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then an entire policy function with a finite order ρ_0 is constructed with the zeros $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ as $f(z) = e^{P(z)} z^m \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_k(z/a_n)$, where $k \leq \rho_0 < k+1$, P is a polynomial of degree $\leq k$, and m is multiplicity of the zeros.*

Proof. Let $E(z) = z^m \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_k(z/a_n)$ be satisfied. From Equation 2.86, we write $|1 - E_k(z/a_n)| \leq c|z/a_n|^{k+1}$, where n is large. From lemma 2.136, $\sum |a_n|^{-k-1}$ converges. The product defines a holomorphic function. Since f and E have the same zeros, f/E is holomorphic and has no other zeros. Hence, we write $f(z)/E(z) = e^g(z)$, where g is an entire policy function. Thus we need to prove

$$(2.88) \quad \left| \frac{f(z)}{E(z)} \right| = e^{\Re g(z)} \leq c' e^{c|z|^s}$$

, where $|z| = r_m$. Let $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n|^{-k-1} < 1/10$ be satisfied where N is an integer and $\sum |a_n|^{-k-1} < \infty$. Hence, we have a policy circle C_r , where $L < r < L+1$ and L is a large integer. C_r is centered at the origin and does not intersect with the zero circles in Lemma 2.137. If there was an intersection, the union of intervals $I_n = [|a_n| - 1/|a_n|^{k+1}, |a_n| + 1/|a_n|^{k+1}]$ would cover the interval $[L, L+1]$. However, $2 \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n|^{-k-1} \geq 1$ is a contradiction. From Lemma 2.137, we conclude the proof of Equation 2.88 with $|z| = r$. Thus $\Re g(z) \leq M|z|^s$, where $|z| = r_m$.

In addition, we have a power expansion as $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$. From Lemma 1.63, we have $a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi r^n} \int_0^{2\pi} g(re^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} d\theta$, where $n \geq 0$ and the integral becomes zero if $n < 0$. Since $2u = g + \bar{g}$, we have $a_n = \frac{1}{\pi r^n} \int_0^{2\pi} u(re^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} d\theta$, when $n > 0$. For $n = 0$, we obtain $2(\Re a_0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(re^{i\theta}) d\theta$. Thus we have

$$(2.89) \quad |a_n| \leq \frac{1}{\pi r^n} \int_0^{2\pi} [Mr^s - u(re^{i\theta})] d\theta \leq 2Mr^{s-n} - 2(\Re a_0)r^{-n}$$

Hence, $a_n = 0$ for $n > s$ and $r \rightarrow \infty$. This result completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.139. *A policy library with elementary policy functions can construct entire policy functions.*

Remark 2.140. For higher dimensions, an immediate construction of the canonical products for entire policy functions is not allowed. However, constructing entire policy functions with minimal growth with given divisors can be solved. Any meromorphic function can be represented as the quotient of entire functions.

3. SPECIAL THEORY OF POLICIES

3.1. Event Triggered Policy Functions.

Definition 3.1. *Event triggered policies* form a class of policies that uses events which must have taken place for the policies to be activated.

Remark 3.2. The semantics of an event triggered policy is identified in \mathbb{R}^1 . Hence, the event triggered policy can be analyzed in the complex plane as $s = \sigma + it$ where $\sigma, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 3.3. *Event triggered policy function f has infinite real zeros.*

Proof. Let a policy be defined as s . Policy events can factor a policy function f with infinite policies triggered at $\Im s = t = 0$. Each policy s has different $\Re s$. Hence, we can define f with infinite real zeros $s = \sigma$. \square

Lemma 3.4. *An event triggered policy function f is an entire policy function.*

Proof. Let policy events trigger infinite policies $\{s_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. f has no singularity. Hence, f is an entire policy function. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose that known policy events trigger infinite policies. The policy function f triggered by elementary events with a real period can be analyzed as trigonometric functions $\sin s$ and $\cos s$.*

Proof. $\sin s$ and $\cos s$ are entire policy functions. The zeros of $\sin s$ are $s = k\pi, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The zeros of $\cos s$ are $s = (k + 1/2)\pi, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Policies triggered by known events which occurred, during bootstrapping, are negative zeros. Policies that are triggered afterwards are positive zeros. $\sin(s + 2k\pi) = \sin s, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\cos(s + 2k\pi) = \cos s, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. From Theorem 2.131, elementary policy functions can be expanded. Hence, the theorem is proved. \square

Remark 3.6. Policies triggered by known events cannot be reused for infinite different events with fixed expressions but the expressions of these policies can have a period. For known events, a policy library can construct policy functions triggered by elementary events with a real period. $\sin \pi s = O(e^{c|s|})$ has zeros at all integers.

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose that unknown policy events trigger infinite policies. The policy function f triggered by elementary events with an imaginary period can be analyzed as parabolic functions $\sinh s$ and $\cosh s$.*

Proof. $\sinh s$ and $\cosh s$ are entire policy functions. The zeros of $\sinh s$ are $s = ik\pi, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The zeros of $\cosh s$ are $s = i(k + 1/2)\pi, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. These unknown events may occur with the imaginary policies, which have no expressions. Policies triggered by unknown events occurred during bootstrapping are the zeros with $\Im s < 0$. Policies that are triggered afterwards are the zeros with $\Im s > 0$. $\sinh s$ and $\cosh s$ have a period $2\pi i$. From Theorem 2.131, elementary policy functions can be expanded. The theorem is proved. \square

Remark 3.8. Policies triggered by unknown events cannot be reused for infinite different events but the semantics of these policies can have a period. For unknown events, a policy library can construct policy functions triggered by elementary events with an imaginary period.

Theorem 3.9. *At the beginning, let a policy bootstrapping trigger infinite policies. Then the policy function f triggered by special events can be analyzed as a gamma function $1/\Gamma(s)$.*

Proof. We write

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} = \Gamma(1-s) \frac{\sin \pi s}{\pi}$$

Hence, $1/\Gamma(s)$ is an entire policy function. The zeros of $1/\Gamma(s)$ are $s = 0, -1, -2, -3, \dots$. Let's estimate the behavior now.

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!(n+1-s)} \right) \frac{\sin \pi s}{\pi} + \left(\int_1^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{-s} dt \right) \frac{\sin \pi s}{\pi}$$

$$(3.3) \quad \left| \int_1^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{-s} dt \right| \leq \int_1^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{|\sigma|} dt \leq \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^n dt$$

where $|\sigma| \leq n \leq |\sigma| + 1$.

$$(3.4) \quad \left| \int_1^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{-s} dt \right| \leq e^{(|\sigma|+1) \log(|\sigma|+1)}$$

$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!(n+1-s)}$ is bounded by $c \sum 1/n!$ when $|\Im s| \leq 1$. Since $|\sin \pi s| \leq e^{\pi|s|}$,

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!(n+1-s)} \frac{\sin \pi s}{\pi}$$

is bounded by $ce^{\pi|s|}$ in absolute value when $|\Im s| > 1$.

Thus the policy function triggered by special events grows as

$$(3.6) \quad \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \right| \leq c_1 e^{c_2 |s| \log |s|}$$

□

Corollary 3.10. *The policy function triggered by special events can be factored as*

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} = e^{\gamma s} s \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{s}{k}\right) e^{-s/k}$$

where Euler's constant $\gamma = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N 1/n - \log N$.

Proof. From Equation 3.1 and Equation 3.6, we observe that $1/\Gamma$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.136 and Lemma 2.138. Hence, we write

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} = e^{As+B} s \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{s}{k}\right) e^{-s/k}$$

where A and B are constants.

Since $s\Gamma(s) \rightarrow 1$ as $s \rightarrow 0$, $B = 2n\pi i$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Specially, $B = 0$. $\Gamma(1) = 1$. This result gives

$$(3.9) \quad e^{-A} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right) e^{-1/k}$$

$$(3.10) \quad e^{-A} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^N \left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right) e^{-1/k}$$

$$(3.11) \quad e^{-A} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} e^{-(\sum_{k=1}^N 1/k) + \log N + \log(1+1/N)} = e^{-\gamma}$$

Thus $A = \gamma + 2n\pi i$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. This result concludes that $n = 0$ since $\Gamma(s)$ is real when s is real. \square

Remark 3.11. Policy functions triggered by special events can be decomposed as the product formula with elementary policy functions.

Corollary 3.12. *At the beginning, a policy library with elementary policy functions can construct the policy function $1/\Gamma(s)$ triggered by special events.*

The results derive a theorem on event triggered policy functions.

Theorem 3.13. *A policy library with elementary policy functions can construct event triggered policy functions.*

3.2. Run-once Scheduling Policy Functions.

Definition 3.14. A class of policies is the *run-once scheduling policy* which runs either at a specific scheduled point in time or over a range of time.

Remark 3.15. The semantics of a run-once scheduling policy is identified in \mathbb{R}^1 . Hence, the run-once scheduling policy can be analyzed in the complex plane as $s = \sigma + it$ where $\sigma, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 3.16. *When $s = 1$, a run-once scheduling policy function f has a simple pole.*

Proof. When the reusable function g of the run-once scheduling policy has not been defined, let g without an expression be $\sigma = 1$. $\Re f(1) = \infty$. Hence, $|f(1)| = \infty$. If this condition holds, then $1/|f| < M$, $M \rightarrow 0$ near $s = 1$. $1/|f|$ has a removable singularity at $s = 1$. $1/|f| \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow 1$. Thus f has a simple pole at $s = 1$. Let $f(s) = 1/(s-1) + H(s)$ be satisfied where $H(s)$ is holomorphic. We have $\text{res}_{s=1} f = 1$ and $|f(s)|$ converges when $\Re s > 1$. Thus $f(s)$ is a meromorphic policy function. \square

Lemma 3.17. *A run-once scheduling policy function f has infinitely many negative real zeros.*

Proof. There are infinitely many policy expressions to define the run-once scheduling policy. Let these expressions be negative even integers. The run-once scheduling policies have fixed policy expressions. Hence, we cannot reuse these expressions. These integers are infinitely many negative real zeros. There exists an open neighborhood $D_r(s_0)$ such that $f(s) \neq 0$, $s \in D_r(s_0) \setminus \{s_0\}$. Hence, the zeros of f are isolated. \square

Lemma 3.18. *A run-once scheduling policy function f has infinitely many nontrivial zeros.*

Proof. There are infinitely many values to pass in the variables to reuse the schedule function. Let these values be isolated. Hence, there exist infinitely many nontrivial zeros. \square

Theorem 3.19. *A run-once scheduling policy function $f(s)$ can be analyzed as $\zeta(s)$, where s represents a policy.*

Proof. Both $f(s)$ and $\zeta(s)$ are converged meromorphic functions. There exist infinitely many negative real zeros, a simple pole at $s = 1$, and infinitely many nontrivial zeros. Since the zeros and the pole determine the character of the policy function, we can analyze the policy function $f(s)$ as $\zeta(s)$. \square

Remark 3.20. Since scheduling policies can be defined at zeros, we need to identify the locations of all zeros for a run-once scheduling policy function f .

Lemma 3.21. *A run-once scheduling policy function f has no zeros when $\Re s > 1$.*

Proof. For $\Re s > 1$, $\zeta(s)$ has an infinite product over prime number p as

$$(3.12) \quad \zeta(s) = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$$

where $(1 - p^{-s})^{-1} = 1 + p^{-s} + p^{-2s} + \dots + p^{-Ms} + \dots$.

Since the above geometric power series is convergent, the product does not converge to 0 from Theorem 2.101. Thus $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ whenever $\Re s > 1$. \square

Lemma 3.22. *Run-once scheduling policies can be defined at startup time $t = 0$ when $s = -2, -4, -6, \dots$*

Proof. We write $\xi(s) = \xi(1 - s)$ as

$$(3.13) \quad \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s) = \pi^{-(1-s)/2} \Gamma((1-s)/2) \zeta(1-s)$$

This result leads to

$$(3.14) \quad \zeta(s) = \pi^{s-1/2} \frac{\Gamma((1-s)/2)}{\Gamma(s/2)} \zeta(1-s)$$

Let $\Re s < 0$ be satisfied. $\zeta(1-s)$ has no zeros since $\Re(1-s) > 1$. $\Gamma((1-s)/2)$ has no zeros. $1/\Gamma(s/2)$ has zeros when s are negative even integers. Thus the only zeros of $\zeta(s)$ when $\Re s < 0$ are located at $s = -2, -4, -6, \dots$. These policies are defined by different fixed expressions. \square

Remark 3.23. Run-once scheduling policies can be triggered at the startup time $t = 0$. The zeros of $\zeta(s)$ at $s = -2, -4, -6, \dots$ are trivial zeros.

Lemma 3.24. *A run-once scheduling policy function f has no zeros when $\Re s = 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\zeta(1 + it_0) = 0, t_0 \neq 0$. Since ζ is holomorphic at $1 + it_0$,

$$(3.15) \quad |\zeta(\sigma + it_0)|^4 \leq C(\sigma - 1)^4$$

where $\sigma \rightarrow 1$, constant $C > 0$.

Since $\zeta(s)$ has a simple pole at $s = 1$, $|\zeta(\sigma)|^3 \leq C'(\sigma - 1)^{-3}$ where $\sigma \rightarrow 1$ and constant $C' > 0$. Since ζ is holomorphic at $s = \sigma + 2it_0$, $|\zeta(\sigma + 2it_0)|$ is bounded when $\sigma \rightarrow 1$. This result gives

$$(3.16) \quad |\zeta^3(\sigma) \zeta^4(\sigma + it_0) \zeta(\sigma + 2it_0)| \rightarrow 0$$

where $\sigma \rightarrow 1$.

However, this result contradicts $\log |\zeta^3(\sigma) \zeta^4(\sigma + it) \zeta(\sigma + 2it)| \geq 0$ since

$$(3.17) \quad \log |\zeta^3(\sigma) \zeta^4(\sigma + it) \zeta(\sigma + 2it)| = \sum c_n n^{-\sigma} (3 + 4 \cos(t \log n) + \cos 2(t \log n))$$

where $\Re n^{-s} = n^{-\sigma} \cos(t \log n)$, $\sigma > 1$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $c_n = 1/m$, $n = p^m$, $m \in [1, \infty)$, and p is a prime number.

Hence, Equation 3.17 is positive. This result completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.25. *A run-once scheduling policy function f has no zeros at the origin.*

Proof. From Equation 3.13,

$$(3.18) \quad \zeta(0) = \pi^{-1/2} \frac{\Gamma(1/2)}{\Gamma(0)} \zeta(1)$$

$\zeta(s)$ has a simple pole at $s = 1$. $\Gamma(1/2) \neq 0$. $1/\Gamma(0) = 0$. Thus $\zeta(0) \neq 0$. \square

Lemma 3.26. *A run-once scheduling policy function f has no imaginary zeros.*

Proof. From Equation 3.13,

$$(3.19) \quad \zeta(it) = \pi^{it-1/2} \frac{\Gamma((1-it)/2)}{\Gamma(it/2)} \zeta(1-it)$$

$\zeta(s)$ has no zeros when $\Re s = 1$. $\Gamma((1-it)/2)$ and $\Gamma(it/2)$ have no zeros. Therefore, $\zeta(s)$ has no imaginary zeros. \square

Remark 3.27. Run-once scheduling policies can be scheduled with reusable expressions. Hence, we need to study non-trivial zeros when $\Re s > 0$.

Lemma 3.28. *A run-once scheduling policy function f has no zeros when s is real and $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$.*

Proof. Define a series as

$$(3.20) \quad \tilde{\zeta}(s) = 1 - \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} - \dots = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(2n-1)^s} - \frac{1}{(2n)^s} \right)$$

Since $|(2n-1)^{-s} - (2n)^{-s}| \leq \int_{2n-1}^{2n} |(-s)t^{-s-1}| dt$, this result gives

$$(3.21) \quad \left| \frac{1}{(2n-1)^s} - \frac{1}{(2n)^s} \right| \leq |s| \int_{2n-1}^{2n} t^{-\sigma-1} dt \leq |s| (2n-1)^{-\sigma-1}$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |s|(2n-1)^{-\sigma-1}$ converges when $\sigma > 0$ and converges uniformly when $\sigma \geq \sigma_0 \geq 0$, $\tilde{\zeta}$ represents a holomorphic function when $\Re s > 0$.

If $s > 1$, then $2^{1-s}\zeta(s) = 2 \sum_{n=\text{even}} n^{-s}$. Since the series converges absolutely when $\sigma \geq \sigma_0 > 1$, this result gives

$$(3.22) \quad (1 - 2^{1-s})\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^s} = \tilde{\zeta}(s)$$

If s is real, then $\tilde{\zeta}(s) \neq 0$ since $(2n-1)^{-s} - (2n)^{-s} > 0$. Hence, ζ has no zeros when $\sigma > 1$. Since $\tilde{\zeta}(s)$ is an alternating series, $\zeta(s)$ has no zeros when $0 < \sigma < 1$. Since $\tilde{\zeta}(0) = 1 - 1/2 + 1/3 - \dots = \log 2$, $\zeta(0) \neq 0$. $\zeta(s)$ has a simple pole at $s = 1$. $\zeta(1) \neq 0$. This result completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.29. *A run-once scheduling policy function f has the same zeros when $s = \frac{1}{2} + it$ and $s = \frac{1}{2} - it$ where $t > 0$.*

Proof. From Equation 3.13, we write

$$(3.23) \quad \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) = \pi^{it} \frac{\Gamma((1/2 - it)/2)}{\Gamma((1/2 + it)/2)} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} - it\right)$$

Thus $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)$ and $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} - it)$ have the same zeros when $t > 0$. \square

Remark 3.30. We can study zeros for $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it), t > 0$.

Lemma 3.31. *There is a unique $\Re s > 0$ to schedule run-once scheduling policies.*

Proof. To schedule a run-once policy, we reuse the same function expression or interface as $\Re s$. The scheduled time is a value passed into the variable of the expression. The functions with equivalent expressions or interfaces and the same meaning are considered to be the same function. Hence, there is a unique real part. The imaginary part it represents different semantics when $t \neq 0$. We can reuse the function expression or interface to schedule policies with different time $t \neq 0$. Thus $\Re s$ is unique. \square

The results derive the theorem on the critical line $\Re s = 1/2$.

Theorem 3.32. *For $\Re s > 0$, all run-once scheduling policies can be scheduled on the critical line $\frac{1}{2} \pm it, t > 0$.*

Proof. Since there are zeros identified for $\zeta(s)$ when $\Re s = 1/2$, we prove the theorem from the above lemmas. \square

Lemma 3.33. *Interactions of elementary integrands and $1/\Gamma$ can represent $\zeta(s)$.*

Proof. $\zeta(s)$ has integral representations as [4].

$$(3.24) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{s-1}}{e^x - 1} dx$$

where $\Re s > 1$.

$$(3.25) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s+1)} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^x x^s}{(e^x - 1)^2} dx$$

where $\Re s > 1$.

$$(3.26) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{(1 - 2^{1-s})\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^s - 1}{e^x + 1} dx$$

where $\Re s > 0, s \neq 1$.

$$(3.27) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{(1 - 2^{1-s})\Gamma(s+1)} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^x x^s}{(e^x + 1)^2} dx$$

where $\Re s > 0, s \neq 1$.

$$(3.28) \quad \zeta(s) = -s \int_0^\infty \frac{x - \lfloor x \rfloor - 1/2}{x^{s+1}} dx$$

where $-1 < \Re s < 0$.

$$(3.29) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{s-1} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{e^x - 1} - \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{x^{s-1}}{e^x} dx$$

where $\Re s > -1, s \neq 1$.

$$(3.30) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{s-1} + \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{B_{2m}}{(2m)!} \frac{\Gamma(s+2m-1)}{\Gamma(s)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{e^x - 1} - \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2} - \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{B_{2m}}{(2m)!} x^{2m-1} \right) \frac{x^{s-1}}{e^x} dx$$

where $\Re s > -(2n + 1)$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ B_{2m} denotes Bernoulli number, $s \neq 1$.

$$(3.31) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{2(1 - 2^{-s})\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{s-1}}{\sinh x} dx$$

where $\Re s > 1$, $s \neq 1$.

$$(3.32) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{2^{s-1}}{\Gamma(s+1)} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^s}{(\sinh x)^2} dx$$

where $\Re s > 1$, $s \neq 1$.

$$(3.33) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{2^{s-1}}{1 - 2^{1-s}} \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos(s \arctan x)}{(1+x^2)^{s/2} \cosh(\frac{1}{2}\pi x)} dx$$

where $s \neq 1$.

$$(3.34) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{s-1} + 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(s \arctan x)}{(1+x^2)^{s/2} (e^{2\pi x} - 1)} dx$$

where $s \neq 1$.

$$(3.35) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{2^{s-1}}{s-1} - 2^s \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(s \arctan x)}{(1+x^2)^{s/2} (e^{\pi x} - 1)} dx$$

where $s \neq 1$. □

Lemma 3.34. *Interactions with special function integrands can represent $\zeta(s)$.*

Proof. $\zeta(s)$ has integral representations as [4].

$$(3.36) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{\pi^{s/2}}{s(s-1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}s)} + \frac{\pi^{s/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}s)} \int_1^\infty (x^{s/2} + x^{(1-s)/2}) \frac{\omega(x)}{x} dx$$

where $s \neq 1$ and $\omega(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-n^2\pi x} = \frac{1}{2}(\theta_3(0|ix) - 1)$. θ_3 denotes a theta function.

There are similar representations with other theta functions.

$$(3.37) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} + \frac{(\sin \pi s)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty (\ln(1+x) - \psi(1+x)) x^{-s} dx$$

where $0 < \Re s < 1$. $\psi(x)$ is the digamma function.

$$(3.38) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} + \frac{\sin(\pi s)}{\pi(s-1)} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{(1+x)} - \psi'(1+x) \right) x^{1-s} dx$$

where $0 < \Re s < 2$, $s \neq 1$. $\psi(x)$ is the digamma function.

$$(3.39) \quad \zeta(1+s) = \frac{\sin(\pi s)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty (\gamma + \psi(1+x)) x^{-s-1} dx$$

where $0 < \Re s < 1$. $\psi(x)$ is the digamma function. γ is Euler constant.

$$(3.40) \quad \zeta(1+s) = \frac{\sin(\pi s)}{\pi s} \int_0^\infty \psi'(1+x) x^{-s} dx$$

where $0 < \Re s < 1$. $\psi(x)$ is the digamma function.

$$(3.41) \quad \zeta(m+s) = (-1)^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma(s) \sin(\pi s)}{\pi \Gamma(m+s)} \int_0^\infty \psi^{(m)}(1+x) x^{-s} dx$$

where $0 < \Re s < 1$, $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ $\psi(x)$ is the digamma function. □

Lemma 3.35. *Contour integration represents $\zeta(s)$.*

Proof. $\zeta(s)$ has contour integral representations as [4]. Suppose that contour γ loops around the negative real axis starting at $-\infty$. In the positive direction, γ encircles the origin once without enclosing any of the points $s = \pm 2\pi i, \pm 4\pi i, \dots$, and returns to $-\infty$. Then the contour integration represents ζ function.

$$(3.42) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{(0+)} \frac{z^{s-1}}{e^{-z} - 1} dz$$

where $s \neq 1, 2, \dots$

If the contour loops and encircles the origin in the positive direction, then ζ function can be represented as

$$(3.43) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{2\pi i(1-2^{1-s})} \int_{-\infty}^{(0+)} \frac{z^{s-1}}{e^{-z} + 1} dz$$

where $s \neq 1, 2, \dots$. The contour does not enclose any of the points $s = \pm \pi i, \pm 3\pi i, \dots$ \square

Lemma 3.36. *Definite integrals can represent $\zeta(s)$.*

Proof. Definite integral representations of $\zeta(s)$ are reported in [4]. \square

Lemma 3.37. *Series can represent $\zeta(s)$.*

Proof. $\zeta(s)$ has series representations as [4].

$$(3.44) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{1-2^{-s}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^s}$$

where $\Re s > 1$.

$$(3.45) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{1-2^{1-s}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^s}$$

where $\Re s > 0$.

$$(3.46) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \gamma_n (s-1)^n$$

where $\Re s > 0$, $\gamma_n = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{(\ln k)^n}{k} - \frac{(\ln m)^{n+1}}{n+1})$.

$$(3.47) \quad \zeta(s) = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{k^s} + \frac{N^{1-s}}{s-1} - s \int_N^{\infty} \frac{x - |x|}{x^{s+1}} dx$$

where $\Re s > 0$, $N = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

(3.48)

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{k^s} + \frac{N^{1-s}}{s-1} - \frac{1}{2} N^{-s} + \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{s+2k-2}{2k-1} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k} N^{1-s-2k} - \binom{s+2n}{2n+1} \int_N^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{B}_{2n+1}(x)}{x^{s+2n+1}} dx$$

where $\Re s > -2n$; $n, N = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. B_{2k} denotes Bernoulli number. $\tilde{B}_{2n+1}(x)$ denotes periodic Bernoulli function.

$$(3.49) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} + \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{s+2k-2}{2k-1} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k} - \binom{s+2n}{2n+1} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{B}_{2n+1}(x)}{x^{s+2n+1}} dx$$

where $\Re s > -2n, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. B_{2k} denotes Bernoulli number. $\tilde{B}_{2n+1}(x)$ denotes periodic Bernoulli function. □

The results derive a theorem on $\zeta(s)$ representations.

Theorem 3.38. *Different mathematical expressions represent $\zeta(s)$.*

Theorem 3.39. *Suppose that $\zeta(s)$ interacts with other functions. Then the structure of $\zeta(s)$ may not be preserved.*

Proof. As reported in [4], if $\zeta(s)$ interacts with other functions, the structure can be preserved as

$$(3.50) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s+k)\zeta(s+k)}{k!\Gamma(s)2^{s+k}} = (1-2^{-s})\zeta(s)$$

where $s \neq 1$.

But in other cases, interactions change the structure of $\zeta(s)$ as

$$(3.51) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s+k)}{(k+1)!} (\zeta(s+k) - 1) = \Gamma(s-1)$$

where $s \neq 1, 0, -1, -2, \dots$ □

Remark 3.40. Error functions interacting with $\zeta(s)$ may not preserve the structure of $\zeta(s)$. This theorem shows that we cannot generalize the structure of $\zeta(s)$ in the case of interactions and distribution with other policy functions.

Lemma 3.41. *A run-once scheduling policy function f can be factored over zeros.*

Proof. $\zeta(s)$ has an infinite product representation [4] as

$$(3.52) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{(2\pi)^s e^{-s-(\gamma s/2)}}{2(s-1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}s+1)} \prod_{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right) e^{s/\rho}$$

where zeros $\Re \rho > 0$. γ is Euler's constant. □

Lemma 3.42. *A run-once scheduling policy function f has expansions.*

Proof. A run-once scheduling policy function f can be expanded as Equation 3.48 for general s . We have a method and the equation below to determine if any zeros are off the critical line in the region [4].

$$(3.53) \quad Z(t) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\cos(\vartheta(t) - t \ln n)}{n^{1/2}} + R(t)$$

where $R(t) = O(t^{-1/4})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. $\vartheta(t) \equiv \text{ph} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}it\right) - \frac{1}{2}t \ln \pi$. $Z(t) = \exp(i\vartheta(t)) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)$. □

Theorem 3.43. *Special application policies and policy functions can construct run-once scheduling policy functions with general s . A policy library with schedule policies and elementary policy functions can construct run-once scheduling policy functions over zeros with $\Re s > 0$.*

We can extend the analysis to the reuseability of mathematical variables and mathematical functions.

Lemma 3.44. *For a mathematical variable $w \in \mathbb{C}$, the reusability function has infinitely many negative real zeros.*

Proof. There are infinitely many different fixed values that can be directly expressed without using variable w . But these values cannot be reused at $(t = 0)$ with the fixed expressions. We select σ as negative even integers. Hence, the reusability function has infinitely many negative real zeros. There exists an open neighborhood $D_r(s_0)$ such that the reusability function does not vanish when $s \in D_r(s_0) \setminus \{s_0\}$. Hence, the zeros of the reusability function can be isolated. \square

Lemma 3.45. *For a mathematical variable $w \in \mathbb{C}$, the reusability function has a simple pole at $z = 1$.*

Proof. We cannot evaluate the mathematical variable when w is not defined. Let the mathematical variable without an expression be $\sigma = 1$. If the reusability function is g , then $\Re g \rightarrow \infty$ without using the variable at $\Im z = t = 0$. Hence, $|g| \rightarrow \infty$ at $z = 1$. If this condition holds, then $1/|g| < M$, $M \rightarrow 0$ near $z = 1$. $1/|g|$ has a removable singularity at $z = 1$. $1/|g| = 0$ when $z = 1$. Thus the only simple pole is $z = 1$. \square

Lemma 3.46. *For a mathematical variable $w \in \mathbb{C}$, the reusability function has infinitely many nontrivial zeros.*

Proof. With the reusability of the mathematical variable, we can pass in infinitely many values of the variable to obtain different values of the variable. Let these values be isolated. Hence, the reusability function has infinitely many nontrivial zeros. \square

Lemma 3.47. *For a mathematical variable $w \in \mathbb{C}$, the reusability function can be analyzed as $\zeta(s)$ with one-dimensional semantics decomposition.*

Proof. Let $w = a + ib$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ be satisfied. The semantics of w is identified in \mathbb{R}^2 . For one dimension a , let the reusability function be $g_1(z)$, $z = \sigma + it$. For another dimension ib , let the reusability function be $g_2(z)$, $z = \sigma + iq$. Note that t and q are independent. $g_1(z)$ and $g_2(z)$ have a simple pole at $z = 1$, infinitely many zeros at negative even integers, and infinitely many nontrivial zeros. We can analyze the $g_1(z)$ and $g_2(z)$ as $\zeta(s)$ with one-dimensional semantics decomposition. \square

Lemma 3.48. *For a mathematical variable $w \in \mathbb{C}$, the reusability function has a unique real part to reuse w .*

Proof. All nontrivial zeros have a unique real part because we reuse the same variable expression. The variables with equivalent expressions and the same meaning are considered to be the same variable. Thus all nontrivial zeros are located on the plane determined by the critical lines $\frac{1}{2} \pm it$, $t > 0$ and $\frac{1}{2} \pm iq$, $q > 0$, which are intersected at $(1/2, 0, 0)$. This is a translated plane where w is defined. Hence, w can be reused. \square

Remark 3.49. If $w = a$ or $w = ib$, then the semantics is identified in \mathbb{R}^1 . all nontrivial zeros are located on the critical line $\frac{1}{2} \pm it$, $t > 0$ or $\frac{1}{2} \pm iq$, $q > 0$.

The results derive the theorems on the reusability of mathematical variables.

Theorem 3.50. *For a mathematical variable $w = a$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ or $w = ib$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, the same variable expression can be reused as zeros of $\zeta(s)$ on the critical line $\frac{1}{2} \pm it$, $t > 0$ or $\frac{1}{2} \pm iq$, $q > 0$. For a mathematical variable $w = a + ib$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, the same variable expression can be reused on the translated plane where w is defined.*

Theorem 3.51. *For a mathematical variable $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$, the same variable expression can be reused in the dimensions where w is defined.*

Proof. If a mathematical variable $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then semantics of w is identified in \mathbb{R}^{2n} ($n \geq 1$). The above analysis can be applied to $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$. The result is the Cartesian product of the planes. Thus w can be reused in \mathbb{C}^n . An ordered set of $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ forms \mathbb{C}^n . The ordered set is a reusable object. In addition, one can use the structure of a vector space over the field \mathbb{C} in \mathbb{C}^n . Hence, vectors are mathematical objects that can be reused. It is convenient to use square matrices $W = (w_{jk}), j, k = 1, \dots, n$ for $w \in \mathbb{C}^{n^2}$. Thus the matrices are reusable mathematical objects. \square

Lemma 3.52. *For a mathematical function $f(w), w \in \mathbb{C}$, the reusability function has infinitely many negative real zeros.*

Proof. There are infinitely many different fixed expressions σ which can be directly evaluated without using the function. But these fixed expressions cannot be reused at ($t = 0$). We select σ as negative even integers. Hence, the reusability function has infinitely many negative real zeros. There exists an open neighborhood $D_r(s_0)$ such that the reusability function does not vanish when $s \in D_r(s_0) \setminus \{s_0\}$. Hence, the zeros of the reusability function can be isolated. \square

Lemma 3.53. *For a mathematical function $f(w), w \in \mathbb{C}$, the reusability function has a simple pole at $z = 1$.*

Proof. We cannot evaluate the mathematical function when $f(z)$ is not defined. Let the mathematical function without an expression be $\sigma = 1$. If the reusability function is g , then $\Re g \rightarrow \infty$ without using the function at $\Im z = t = 0$. Hence, $|g| \rightarrow \infty$ at $z = 1$. If this condition holds, then $1/|g| < M, M \rightarrow 0$ near $z = 1$. $1/|g|$ has a removable singularity at $z = 1$. $1/|g| = 0$ at $z = 1$. Thus $g(z)$ has a simple pole at $z = 1$. \square

Lemma 3.54. *For a mathematical function $f(w), w \in \mathbb{C}$, the reusability function has infinitely many nontrivial zeros.*

Proof. With the reusability of the mathematical function, we can pass in infinitely many values of the variable to obtain different values of the function. Let these values be isolated. Hence, the reusability function has infinitely many nontrivial zeros. \square

Lemma 3.55. *For a mathematical function $f(w), w \in \mathbb{C}$, the reusability function can be analyzed as $\zeta(s)$ with one-dimensional semantics decomposition.*

Proof. Let $f(w) = a + ib, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ be satisfied. The semantics of $f(w)$ is identified in \mathbb{R}^2 . For one dimension a , let the reusability function be $g_1(z), z = \sigma + it$. For another dimension ib , let the reusability function be $g_2(z), z = \sigma + iq$. t and q are independent. $g_1(z)$ and $g_2(z)$ have a simple pole at $z = 1$, infinitely many zeros at negative even integers, and infinitely many nontrivial zeros. We can analyze the $g_1(z)$ and $g_2(z)$ as $\zeta(s)$ with one-dimensional semantics decomposition. \square

Lemma 3.56. *For a mathematical function $f(w), w \in \mathbb{C}$, the reusability function has a unique real part to reuse $f(w)$.*

Proof. All nontrivial zeros have a unique real part because we reuse the same function expression. The functions with equivalent expressions and the same meaning are considered to be the same function. Thus all nontrivial zeros are located on the plane determined by

the critical lines $\frac{1}{2} \pm it, t > 0$ and $\frac{1}{2} \pm iq, q > 0$, which are intersected at $(1/2, 0, 0)$. This is the translated plane where $f(w)$ is defined. Hence, $f(w)$ can be reused. \square

Remark 3.57. If $f(w) = a$ or $f(w) = ib$, then the semantics is identified in \mathbb{R}^1 . All nontrivial zeros are located on the critical line $\frac{1}{2} \pm it, t > 0$ or $\frac{1}{2} \pm iq, q > 0$.

These lemmas derive a theorem on the reusability of mathematical functions.

Theorem 3.58. *For a mathematical function $f(w) = a, a \in \mathbb{R}$ or $f(w) = ib, b \in \mathbb{R}$, the same function expression can be reused as zeros of $\zeta(s)$ on the critical line $\frac{1}{2} \pm it, t > 0$ or $\frac{1}{2} \pm iq, q > 0$. For mathematical function $f(w) = a + ib, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, the same function expression can be reused on the translated plane where $f(w)$ is defined.*

Remark 3.59. Structures, flows or fields have expressions. Hence, we can reuse the structures, flows or fields with the expressions.

Theorem 3.60. *For a mathematical function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ where U is a neighborhood of a point $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, the same function expression can be reused in the dimensions where f is defined.*

Proof. If the domain of f is identified in $\mathbb{R}^{2n} (n \geq 1)$, then the image of f is identified in \mathbb{R}^2 . Thus the above analysis can be applied to f in \mathbb{C}^n . \square

These results derive a theorem on nontrivial zeros of ζ function.

Theorem 3.61. *ζ function has nontrivial zeros located on the critical line.*

Proof. Mathematical variables and mathematical functions form the first class of objects in mathematics. If a function is holomorphic, then the power series expansion determines the values. If a function has a pole or a branch point, then the convergent series expansion can be used. If a function has an essential singularity, then the asymptotic expansion studies the values near the point of the essential singularity. For semantics identified in \mathbb{R}^1 , the reusability of mathematical variables and mathematical functions proves that nontrivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ are located on the critical line $\frac{1}{2} \pm it, t > 0$. \square

3.3. Planet/Host Policy Functions.

Definition 3.62. A class of policies is the *host policy*, which manages periodic local functions and periodic remote communications functions.

Definition 3.63. For lattice $\Lambda = \{n + m\tau : n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, the *fundamental parallelogram* is defined as $P_0 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z = a + b\tau, a \in [0, 1), b \in [0, 1)\}$ and the *period parallelogram* is defined as $P = P_0 + h, h \in \mathbb{C}$ where $\tau = \omega_1/\omega_2, \tau \in \mathbb{H}$.

Remark 3.64. The semantics of a host policy is identified in \mathbb{R}^1 . Hence, the host policy can be analyzed in the complex plane as $z = \sigma + it$ where $\sigma, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 3.65. *A host policy function f has two periods.*

Proof. A host policy function f forms two periods. ω_1 represents the period of local functions and ω_2 represents the period of remote communications functions. $\tau = \omega_1/\omega_2, \tau \in \mathbb{H}$. \square

Lemma 3.66. *A host policy function f is uniquely determined by its values in P_0 and $P_0 + h$.*

Proof. Let z and w be congruent. Then $z = w + n + m\tau, n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $f(z + n + m\tau) = f(z)$, $f(z) = f(w)$. For $z = x + iy$, we write $z = j + k\tau, j, k \in \mathbb{R}$. Let n be the greatest integers no greater than j and let m be the greatest integers no greater than k . Then $w = (j - n) + (k - m)\tau$. Thus $w \in P_0$. It suffices to prove uniqueness. Suppose that w and w' are congruent in P_0 where $w = a + b\tau$ and $w' = a' + b'\tau$. Then $w - w' = (a - a') + (b - b')\tau \in \Lambda$. Since $a - a'$ and $b - b'$ are integers, $a - a' = 0$ and $b - b' = 0$. $w = w'$. Since Λ provides a disjoint covering of the complex plane, every point in the complex plane is uniquely congruent to a point in P_0 . For $z - h$, any point in the complex plane is congruent to a unique point in a period parallelogram $P_0 + h$. Thus f is uniquely determined by its values in the fundamental parallelogram P_0 and any period parallelogram $P_0 + h$. \square

Remark 3.67. We can study the behavior of host policy functions in P_0 and $P_0 + h$.

Lemma 3.68. *A host policy function f is meromorphic.*

Proof. Suppose that f has no poles on the boundary ∂P_0 . From Theorem 2.55, we write $\int_{\partial P_0} f(z) dz = 2\pi i \sum \text{res} f$. Since the integral over opposite sides cancel out, $\int_{\partial P_0} f(z) dz = 0$. Hence, $2\pi i \sum \text{res} f = 0$. Thus f must have no less than two poles in P_0 . If f has a pole on ∂P_0 , then f has no pole on ∂P where $P = P_0 + h$ and h is small. f must have at least two poles in P . P_0 has at least two poles. Thus f is meromorphic. \square

Remark 3.69. Host policy functions have at least two poles in P_0 .

Lemma 3.70. *Every elliptic function f of order m has m zeros in the fundamental parallelogram P_0 .*

Proof. Suppose that f has no poles on the boundary ∂P_0 . From Lemma 2.69, we write $\int_{\partial P_0} f'(z)/f(z) dz = 2\pi i (\text{Number of zeros} - \text{Number of poles})$. Since the integral over opposite sides cancel out, $\int_{\partial P_0} f'(z)/f(z) dz = 0$. Hence, the number of zeros is equal to the number of poles. If f has a pole on ∂P_0 , then f has no pole on ∂P where $P = P_0 + h$ and h is small. f must have at least two poles in P . P_0 has at least two poles. Hence, the number of zeros is equal to the number of poles in P_0 . \square

Theorem 3.71. *We can analyze host policy functions as elliptical functions in the fundamental parallelogram.*

Lemma 3.72. *Weierstrass \wp function is a host policy function with periods 1 and τ , and double poles at the lattice points.*

Proof. Weierstrass \wp function is given as

$$(3.54) \quad \wp(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\omega \in \Lambda^*} \left(\frac{1}{(z + \omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right)$$

where $\Lambda^* = \Lambda \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$.

Let $|z| < R$ be satisfied. We write

$$(3.55) \quad \wp(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{|\omega| \leq 2R} \left(\frac{1}{(z + \omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right) + \sum_{|\omega| > 2R} \left(\frac{1}{(z + \omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right)$$

$1/(z + \omega)^2 - 1/\omega^2 = (-z^2 - 2z\omega)/(z + \omega)^2\omega^2 = O(1/\omega^3), |\omega| \rightarrow \infty$. The third term defines a holomorphic function when $|z| < R$. The second term shows double poles at the

lattice points in disc $|z| < R$. Since $\omega = n + m\tau$, we write

$$(3.56) \quad \wp'(z) = -2 \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(z + n + m\tau)^3}$$

\wp' is doubly periodic with periods 1 and τ . Let $\wp(z+1) = \wp(z)+a$ and $\wp(z+\tau) = \wp(z)+b$ be satisfied. Since \wp is even, $\wp(-1/2) = \wp(1/2)$ and $\wp(-\tau/2) = \wp(\tau/2)$. If $z = -1/2$ and $z = -\tau/2$, then $a = b = 0$. This result completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.73. When considering the dependence with τ , a host policy function f has modular nature. $\wp_\tau(z) = \wp_{\tau+1}(z)$ and $\wp_{-1/\tau}(z) = \tau^2 \wp_\tau(\tau z)$. These two transformations preserve \mathbb{R} .

Lemma 3.74. *Every host policy function f with periods 1 and τ is a rational function of Weierstrass \wp function and \wp' .*

Proof. Suppose that f is an even elliptic function. If f has a zero or pole at the origin, then the zero or pole must be of even order. Since there exists an integer m such that $F\wp^m$ has no zero or pole at the lattice points, we assume that f has no zero or pole on Λ .

It suffices to prove that we can use \wp to construct a doubly-periodic function G with the same zeros and poles as f . Let a and $-a$ be zeros of f . The zero is even order if a is congruent to $-a$ as some special periods. Hence, $a_1, -a_1, \dots, a_m, -a_m$ with multiplicities represent all the zeros of f . Similarly, let $b_1, -b_1, \dots, b_m, -b_m$ with multiplicities represent all the poles of f . We write

$$(3.57) \quad G(z) = \frac{(\wp(z) - \wp(a_1)) \cdots (\wp(z) - \wp(a_m))}{(\wp(z) - \wp(b_1)) \cdots (\wp(z) - \wp(b_m))}$$

$G(z)$ is periodic and has the same zeros and poles as f . Hence, f/G is holomorphic and doubly periodic. Hence, f/G is constant. Let $f(z) = f_{\text{even}}(z) + f_{\text{odd}}(z)$, $f_{\text{even}}(z) = (f(z) + f(-z))/2$ and $f_{\text{odd}}(z) = (f(z) - f(-z))/2$ be satisfied. Since f_{odd}/\wp' is even, f is a rational combination of \wp and \wp' . \square

Theorem 3.75. *Every host policy function is a rational combination of \wp and \wp' .*

Lemma 3.76. *Weierstrass elliptic and modular functions can be decomposed into elementary functions.*

Proof. For $\tau = \omega_3/\omega_1$, $\Im\tau > 0$, Elliptic modular function $\lambda(\tau)$, Dedekind's eta function $\eta(\tau)$, and Weierstrass sigma function $\sigma(z)$ can be decomposed into elementary functions as [5]

$$(3.58) \quad \lambda(\tau) = 16q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + q^{2n}}{1 + q^{2n-1}} \right)^8$$

where $q = e^{i\pi\omega_3/\omega_1}$.

$$(3.59) \quad \eta(\tau) = q^{1/12} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n})$$

where $q^{1/12} = e^{i\pi\tau/12}$, $q = e^{i\pi\omega_3/\omega_1}$.

$$(3.60) \quad \sigma(z) = \frac{2\omega_1}{\pi} \exp\left(\frac{\eta_1 z^2}{2\omega_1}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi z}{2\omega_1}\right) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - 2q^{2n} \cos(\pi z/\omega_1) + q^{4n}}{(1 - q^{2n})^2}$$

where $q = e^{i\pi\omega_3/\omega_1}$, $\eta_1 = \frac{\pi^2}{2\omega_1} \left(\frac{1}{6} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \csc^2\left(\frac{n\pi\omega_3}{\omega_1}\right)\right)$.

$$(3.61) \quad \sigma(z) = \frac{2\omega_1}{\pi} \exp\left(\frac{\eta_1 z^2}{2\omega_1}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi z}{2\omega_1}\right) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(\pi(2n\omega_3 + z)/(2\omega_1)) \sin(\pi(2n\omega_3 - z)/(2\omega_1))}{\sin^2(\pi n\omega_3/\omega_1)}$$

where $q = e^{i\pi\omega_3/\omega_1}$, $\eta_1 = \frac{\pi^2}{2\omega_1} \left(\frac{1}{6} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \csc^2\left(\frac{n\pi\omega_3}{\omega_1}\right)\right)$.

Weierstrass \wp function $\wp(z)$ and Weierstrass zeta function $\zeta(z)$ can be represented by theta functions as

$$(3.62) \quad \wp(z) - e_1 = \left(\frac{\pi \theta_3(0, q) \theta_4(0, q) \theta_2(\pi z/(2\omega_1), q)}{2\omega_1 \theta_1(\pi z/(2\omega_1), q)} \right)^2$$

where $e_1 = \frac{\pi^2}{12\omega_1^2} (\theta_2^4(0, q) + \theta_4^4(0, q))$

$$(3.63) \quad \zeta\left(\frac{\pi z}{2\omega_1}\right) = \frac{\eta_1}{\omega_1} \frac{\pi z}{2\omega_1} + \frac{\pi}{2\omega_1} \frac{d}{dz} \ln \theta_1\left(\frac{\pi z}{2\omega_1}, q\right)$$

where $\eta_1 = -\frac{\pi^2}{12\omega_1} \frac{\theta_1'''(0, q)}{\theta_1'(0, q)}$.

Since theta functions can be decomposed into elementary functions, we can decompose $\wp(z)$ and Weierstrass zeta function $\zeta(z)$ into elementary functions. \square

Theorem 3.77. *A policy library with elementary policy functions can construct Weierstrass elliptic and modular functions.*

Lemma 3.78. *Jacobian elliptic functions can be represented by theta functions.*

Proof. For $0 \leq q < 1$, $\zeta = \pi z/2K(k)$ where k is modulus and $K(k)$ is complete elliptic integrals of the first kind, we write [6]

$$(3.64) \quad \operatorname{sn}(z, k) = \frac{\theta_3(0, q) \theta_1(\zeta, q)}{\theta_2(0, q) \theta_4(\zeta, q)} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{ns}(z, k)}$$

$$(3.65) \quad \operatorname{cn}(z, k) = \frac{\theta_4(0, q) \theta_2(\zeta, q)}{\theta_2(0, q) \theta_4(\zeta, q)} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{nc}(z, k)}$$

$$(3.66) \quad \operatorname{dn}(z, k) = \frac{\theta_4(0, q) \theta_3(\zeta, q)}{\theta_3(0, q) \theta_4(\zeta, q)} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{nd}(z, k)}$$

$$(3.67) \quad \operatorname{sd}(z, k) = \frac{\theta_3^2(0, q) \theta_1(\zeta, q)}{\theta_2(0, q) \theta_4(0, q) \theta_3(\zeta, q)} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{ds}(z, k)}$$

$$(3.68) \quad \operatorname{cd}(z, k) = \frac{\theta_3(0, q) \theta_2(\zeta, q)}{\theta_2(0, q) \theta_3(\zeta, q)} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{dc}(z, k)}$$

$$(3.69) \quad \operatorname{sc}(z, k) = \frac{\theta_3(0, q) \theta_1(\zeta, q)}{\theta_4(0, q) \theta_2(\zeta, q)} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{cs}(z, k)}$$

\square

Theorem 3.79. *A policy library with elementary functions can construct Jacobian elliptic functions.*

3.4. Dual Policy Functions.

Definition 3.80. The *dual policy function* is a class of policy functions with multiple characters. As a function of z , the dual policy function is periodic with one period and one quasi-period. As a function of τ , the dual policy function does modular transformations. In addition, the dual policy functions have infinite zeros.

Remark 3.81. A dual policy function f can be analyzed as a theta function $\Theta(z, \tau)$.

Lemma 3.82. *A dual policy function f has simple zeros as $z = 1/2 + \tau/2 + n + m\tau$, $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$.*

Proof.

$$(3.70) \quad \Theta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\tau}{2} | \tau\right) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n e^{\pi i(n^2+n)\tau}$$

Since the terms $n \geq 0$ cancel out with the terms $-n - 1$, the sum is zero. Hence,

$$(3.71) \quad \Theta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\tau}{2} + n + m\tau\right) = 0$$

□

Lemma 3.83. *For z , a dual policy function f is entire and has two periods 1 and quasi-period τ . For τ , the dual policy function f is holomorphic and a modular function.*

Proof. Let $\Im\tau \geq t_0 > 0$ and $|z| \leq M$ be satisfied. Then $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |e^{\pi i n^2 \tau} e^{2\pi i n z}| \leq C \sum_{n \geq 0} e^{-\pi n^2 t_0} e^{2\pi n M}$ is uniformly convergent. If $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ is fixed, then Θ is entire. If $z \in \mathbb{C}$, then Θ is holomorphic as $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$. Since $e^{2\pi i n z} = e^{2\pi i n(z+1)}$, $\Theta(z+1|\tau) = \Theta(z|\tau)$. For $\Theta(z + \tau|\tau)$,

$$(3.72) \quad \Theta(z + \tau|\tau) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\pi i(n+1)^2 \tau} e^{-\pi i \tau} e^{2\pi i(n+1)z} e^{-2\pi i z} = \Theta(z|\tau) e^{-\pi i \tau} e^{-2\pi i z}$$

Since $\Theta(z|\tau + 2) = \Theta(z|\tau)$, the transformation exists as $\tau \mapsto \tau + 2$. For $\tau \mapsto -1/\tau$, it suffices to prove

$$(3.73) \quad \Theta\left(z \middle| -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{i}} e^{\pi i \tau z^2} \Theta(z\tau|\tau)$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $z = x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tau = it, t > 0$ be satisfied. We write

$$(3.74) \quad \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2/t} e^{2\pi i n x} = t^{1/2} e^{-\pi t x^2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 t} e^{-2\pi i n x t}$$

If x is fixed, then Equation 3.73 holds on the positive imaginary axis with holomorphic functions in \mathbb{H} . If τ is fixed, then Equation 3.73 holds on the real axis with holomorphic functions. Equation 3.74 can be derived from the Poisson summation formula. □

Theorem 3.84. *Dual policy function f has dual nature.*

Lemma 3.85. *Dual policy functions can be decomposed into elementary functions.*

Proof. For $p = e^{i\pi z}$, $q = e^{i\pi\tau}$, $0 < |q| < 1$, $\Im\tau > 0$, we write [7]

$$(3.75) \quad \theta_1(z, q) = 2q^{1/4} \sin z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n})(1 - 2q^{2n} \cos(2z) + q^{4n})$$

$$(3.76) \quad \theta_2(z, q) = 2q^{1/4} \cos z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n})(1 + 2q^{2n} \cos(2z) + q^{4n})$$

$$(3.77) \quad \theta_3(z, q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n})(1 + 2q^{2n-1} \cos(2z) + q^{4n-2})$$

$$(3.78) \quad \theta_4(z, q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n})(1 - 2q^{2n-1} \cos(2z) + q^{4n-2})$$

$$(3.79) \quad \theta_1(z|\tau) = \theta_1'(0|\tau) \sin z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(n\pi\tau + z) \sin(n\pi\tau - z)}{\sin^2(n\pi\tau)}$$

$$(3.80) \quad \theta_2(z|\tau) = \theta_2(0|\tau) \cos z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(n\pi\tau + z) \cos(n\pi\tau - z)}{\cos^2(n\pi\tau)}$$

$$(3.81) \quad \theta_3(z|\tau) = \theta_3(0|\tau) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos((n - \frac{1}{2})\pi\tau + z) \cos((n - \frac{1}{2})\pi\tau - z)}{\cos^2((n - \frac{1}{2})\pi\tau)}$$

$$(3.82) \quad \theta_4(z|\tau) = \theta_4(0|\tau) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin((n - \frac{1}{2})\pi\tau + z) \sin((n - \frac{1}{2})\pi\tau - z)}{\sin^2((n - \frac{1}{2})\pi\tau)}$$

$$(3.83) \quad \theta_3(\pi z|\tau) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} p^{2n} q^{n^2} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n})(1 + q^{2n-1} p^2)(1 + q^{2n-1} p^{-2})$$

For following double products, the order of the limits follows the Eisenstein convention.

$$(3.84) \quad \theta_1(z|\tau) = z\theta_1'(0|\tau) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{n=-N}^N \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{\substack{m=-M \\ |m|+|n| \neq 0}}^M \left(1 + \frac{z}{(m+n)\tau}\right)$$

$$(3.85) \quad \theta_2(z|\tau) = \theta_2(0|\tau) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{n=-N}^N \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{m=1-M}^M \left(1 + \frac{z}{(m - \frac{1}{2} + n\tau)\tau}\right)$$

$$(3.86) \quad \theta_3(z|\tau) = \theta_3(0|\tau) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{n=1-N}^N \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{m=1-M}^M \left(1 + \frac{z}{(m - \frac{1}{2} + (n - \frac{1}{2})\tau)\tau}\right)$$

$$(3.87) \quad \theta_4(z|\tau) = \theta_4(0|\tau) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{n=1-N}^N \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{m=-M}^M \left(1 + \frac{z}{(m + (n - \frac{1}{2})\tau)\tau}\right)$$

□

Theorem 3.86. *A policy library can construct theta functions.*

3.5. Star/Kernel Policy.

3.5.1. Error Policy Functions.

Lemma 3.87. *An error policy function f has a simple zero at $z = 0$ and infinite zeros.*

Proof. Checked errors can be specified as policies with $\Re z > 0$ as expressions and $\Im z > 0$ as semantics. Error policies are symmetric. Other errors are $-z, \bar{z}, -\bar{z}$. For unchecked errors, $z = 0$ represents no expressions and semantics to ignore these errors. \square

Lemma 3.88. *An error policy function f is an entire policy function.*

Proof. Error policy functions have no singularities. Thus f is an entire policy function. \square

Theorem 3.89. *An error policy function f can be analyzed as error function $\text{erfc}z$.*

Lemma 3.90. *Error policy functions can be expanded.*

Proof. Power series expansions can be used for small or moderate $|z|$. For large $|z|$, series of spherical Bessel functions can be used. In addition, expansions in inverse factorial series and asymptotic expansions are available. Quadrature of integral representations can be effective. As $|z|$ is bounded away from the origin, the continued fraction can be used. \square

Theorem 3.91. *Special kernel policies and functions can construct error policy functions.*

Proof. Since many functions can be represented by $\text{erfc}z$ and error functions are common policy functions for applications, we can develop the special kernel functions. \square

3.5.2. Continuation Policy Functions.

Lemma 3.92. *Continuation policy functions have infinitely many zeros.*

Proof. $\text{erfc}z$ has infinitely many complex zeros $\Re z < 0, \Im z > 0$. $\text{erfc}z$ has other zeros \bar{z} . $w(z)$ has zeros iz and $i\bar{z}$.

Fresnel integrals $C(z)$ has a simple zero at $z = 0$. $S(z)$ has a triple zero at $z = 0$. $C(z)$ and $S(z)$ have infinitely many complex zeros $\Re z > 0, \Im z > 0$. Fresnel integrals have other zeros $-z, \bar{z}, -\bar{z}, iz, -iz, i\bar{z}, -i\bar{z}$. Large zeros of $C(z)$ and $S(z)$ are given in [8]. Fresnel integral $\mathcal{F}(z)$ has zeros related to $\text{erfc}z$. The zeros of $\int_0^z \exp(\frac{1}{2}\pi it^2) dt$ require asymptotic expansions. \square

Lemma 3.93. *Continuation policy functions can be expanded.*

Proof. Power series expansions can be used for small or moderate $|z|$. For large $|z|$, series of spherical Bessel functions can be used. In addition, expansions in inverse factorial series and asymptotic expansions are available. Quadrature of integral representations can be effective. As $|z|$ is bounded away from the origin, the continued fraction can be used. The Voigt functions use algorithms for $\text{erfc}z$ with the complex argument. \square

Theorem 3.94. *Special kernel policies and functions can construct continuation policy functions.*

Proof. Since $\text{erfc}z$ is ubiquitous and represents many other policy functions, it is common to applications. We can develop the special kernel functions. \square

3.6. Other Special Policy Functions.

3.6.1. Exponential, Logarithmic, Sine, and Cosine Integrals.

Lemma 3.95. *A class of policy functions has zeros.*

Proof. The function $Ei(x)$ has one real zero. $Ci(x)$ and $si(x)$ each have infinitely many positive real zeros. \square

Lemma 3.96. *Exponential, Logarithmic, Sine, and Cosine Integrals can be expanded.*

Proof. Power series expansions can be used for small or moderate $|z|$. For large $|z|$, series of spherical Bessel functions can be used. In addition, expansions in inverse factorial series and asymptotic expansions are available. Quadrature of integral representations can be effective. As $|z|$ is bounded away from the origin, the continued fraction can be used. \square

Theorem 3.97. *Special application policies and policy functions can construct exponential, logarithmic, sine, and cosine integrals.*

3.6.2. Airy Policy Functions.

Lemma 3.98. *A class of policy functions has infinite zeros.*

Proof. $Ai(z)$ have infinite negative zeros. $Bi(z)$ have infinite complex zeros in the sectors $\frac{1}{3}\pi < \text{ph}z < \frac{1}{2}\pi$ and $-\frac{1}{2}\pi < \text{ph}z < -\frac{1}{3}\pi$. $Gi(z)$ and $Hi(z)$ have simple zeros. $Hi(z)$ has no real zeros. $Gi(z)$ has no non-negative real zeros. $Gi(z)$ has infinite interlaced negative real zeros. \square

Lemma 3.99. *Airy policy function f is entire.*

Proof. $Ai(z)$ and $Bi(z)$ have no singularities. \square

Lemma 3.100. *Airy policy functions have series expansions.*

Proof. The Maclaurin-series expansions can be used for all finite values of z . For large z the asymptotic expansions should be used [9].

(3.88)

$$Ai(z) = Ai(0) \left(1 + \frac{1}{3!}z^3 + \frac{1 \cdot 4}{6!}z^6 + \frac{1 \cdot 4 \cdot 7}{9!}z^9 + \dots \right) + Ai'(0) \left(z + \frac{2}{4!}z^4 + \frac{2 \cdot 5}{7!}z^7 + \frac{2 \cdot 5 \cdot 8}{10!}z^{10} + \dots \right)$$

(3.89)

$$Bi(z) = Bi(0) \left(1 + \frac{1}{3!}z^3 + \frac{1 \cdot 4}{6!}z^6 + \frac{1 \cdot 4 \cdot 7}{9!}z^9 + \dots \right) + Bi'(0) \left(z + \frac{2}{4!}z^4 + \frac{2 \cdot 5}{7!}z^7 + \frac{2 \cdot 5 \cdot 8}{10!}z^{10} + \dots \right)$$

(3.90)

$$Gi(z) = \frac{3^{-2/3}}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \cos\left(\frac{2k-1}{3}\pi\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{3}\right) \frac{(3^{1/3}z)^k}{k!}$$

(3.91)

$$Hi(z) = \frac{3^{-2/3}}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{3}\right) \frac{(3^{1/3}z)^k}{k!}$$

Since Airy functions can be represented by Bessel functions, Hankel functions, and modified Bessel functions, the methods constructing them can be applied to construct $Ai(z)$ and $Bi(z)$. \square

Theorem 3.101. *Special application policies and policy functions can construct airy policy functions.*

3.6.3. Struve and Related Policy Functions.

Lemma 3.102. *Struve policy functions have zeros.*

Proof. Struve policy functions have zeros reported in [10]. \square

Lemma 3.103. *Struve policy functions have series expansions.*

Proof. For finite z , power series expansions and Bessel function expansions can be used. For large $|z|$ and/or complex order $|\nu|$, asymptotic expansions can be used [10].

$$(3.92) \quad \mathbf{H}_\nu(z) = \left(\frac{1}{2}z\right)^{\nu+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{2}z\right)^{2n}}{\Gamma\left(n + \frac{3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(n + \nu + \frac{3}{2}\right)}$$

$$(3.93) \quad \mathbf{L}_\nu(z) = -ie^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi i\nu} \mathbf{H}_\nu(iz)$$

Principal values is determined by the principal values of $\left(\frac{1}{2}z\right)^{\nu+1}$. Struve policy functions have series expansions in Bessel functions.

$$(3.94) \quad \mathbf{H}_\nu(z) = \left(\frac{z}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}z\right)^k}{k!(k + \frac{1}{2})} J_{k+\nu+\frac{1}{2}}(z)$$

\square

Theorem 3.104. *Special application policy functions can construct Struve policy functions.*

3.6.4. Parabolic Cylinder Policy Functions.

Lemma 3.105. *Parabolic cylinder policy functions have zeros.*

Proof. For asymptotic expansions of large zeros, Weber parabolic cylinder function $U(a, z)$ has a string and a conjugate string of complex zeros approaching $\text{ph}z = \frac{3}{4}\pi$ when $a > -\frac{1}{2}$, $z \rightarrow \infty$. If $a = \frac{1}{2}$, $U(a, z)$ has the same zeros as $\text{erfc}(z/\sqrt{2})$ [11]. \square

Lemma 3.106. *Parabolic cylinder policy functions have series expansions.*

Proof. For finite z , power series expansions can be used. For large $|z|$, asymptotic expansions should be used. \square

Theorem 3.107. *Special application policies and policy functions can construct parabolic cylinder policy functions.*

3.6.5. Confluent Hypergeometric Policy Functions.

Lemma 3.108. *Confluent hypergeometric policy functions have zeros.*

Proof. Kummer function $M(a, b, z)$ has infinitely many complex z -zeros if $a, b - a \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$. If $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then this function has a finite number of real zeros. If $a < 0, b > 0$, $M(a, b, z)$ has positive zeros. Equations on infinitely many complex zeros were studied. For fixed $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, $M(a, b, z)$ has large z -zeros. For fixed $b, c \in \mathbb{C}$, $M(a, b, z)$ has large a -zeros. For fixed $a, z \in \mathbb{C}$, $M(a, b, z)$ has large b -zeros. $M(a, b, z)$ has no zeros in the region as discussed in [12].

For fixed $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, Kummer function $U(a, b, z)$ has a finite number of z -zeros in the sector $|\text{ph}z| \leq \frac{3}{2}\pi - \delta (< \frac{3}{2}\pi)$. When $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $2a - b > -1$, $U(a, b, z)$ has no zeros in the sector $|\text{ph}z| \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi$. For fixed $b, c \in \mathbb{C}$, $U(a, b, z)$ has large a -zeros. For fixed $a, z \in \mathbb{C}$, $U(a, b, z)$ has infinitely many b -zeros [12].

Whittaker functions $M_{\kappa,\mu}(z)$ have the same zeros as Kummer function $M(\frac{1}{2} + \mu - \kappa, 1 + 2\mu, z)$. $W_{\kappa,\mu}(z)$ has the same zeros as Kummer function $U(\frac{1}{2} + \mu - \kappa, 1 + 2\mu, z)$. Asymptotic approximations for large κ, μ , are reported [12]. \square

Lemma 3.109. *Confluent hypergeometric policy functions have series expansions.*

Proof. For finite z , the Maclaurin series expansions can be used. For large $|z|$, asymptotic expansions should be used. \square

Theorem 3.110. *Special application policies and policy functions can construct confluent hypergeometric policy functions.*

3.6.6. Incomplete Gamma Policy Functions.

Lemma 3.111. *Incomplete gamma policy functions have zeros.*

Proof. $\gamma^*(a, x)$ have zeros under certain conditions. Distribution of zeros of $\gamma(a, \lambda a)$ and $\Gamma(a, \lambda a)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and large $a > 0$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is reported in [13]. \square

Lemma 3.112. *Incomplete gamma policy functions have series expansions.*

Proof. For finite z , power series expansions can be used. For large $|z|$, asymptotic expansions should be used. $\gamma(a, z)$ and $\Gamma(a, z)$ have expansions in continued fractions. \square

Theorem 3.113. *Special application policies and policy functions can construct incomplete gamma policy functions.*

3.6.7. Gamma Policy Functions.

Lemma 3.114. *Gamma policy functions have no zeros.*

Proof. $\Gamma(z)$ has no zeros. $1/\Gamma(z)$ is analyzed as event triggered policy functions. \square

Lemma 3.115. *Gamma policy functions have expansions.*

Proof. In the right half plane, backward recurrence and forward recurrence can be used for $\Gamma(z)$. In the left half plane, we can use backward recurrence or reflection formulas. Numerical quadrature can also be applied [14]. \square

Lemma 3.116. *Barnes's G-function can be decomposed into elementary functions.*

Proof.

(3.95)

$$G(z+1) = (2\pi)^{z/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}z(z+1) - \frac{1}{2}\gamma z^2\right) \times \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\left(1 + \frac{z}{k}\right)^k \exp\left(-z + \frac{z^2}{2k}\right) \right)$$

where γ is Euler's constant. $G(z+1) = \Gamma(z)G(z)$. \square

Theorem 3.117. *Special application policies and policy functions can construct Gamma policy functions. A policy library can construct Barnes's G-functions with elementary policy functions.*

3.6.8. Legendre Policy Functions.

Lemma 3.118. *Legendre policy functions have zeros.*

Proof. $P_\nu^\mu(x)$ has a bounded number of zeros when $-1 < x < 1$. $P_\nu^\mu(x)$ has one zero or no zeros in interval $(1, \infty)$. $Q_\nu^\mu(x)$ has no zeros or at most one zero in interval $(1, \infty)$ [15]. \square

Lemma 3.119. *Legendre policy functions have series expansions.*

Proof. For small or moderate μ and ν , the power-series expansions can be used. For large values of the parameters, uniform asymptotic expansions should be selected. \square

Theorem 3.120. *Special application policies and policy functions can construct Legendre policy functions.*

3.6.9. Elliptic Integral Policy Functions.

Lemma 3.121. *Elliptic integral policy functions can be expanded.*

Proof. Legendre's integrals have relations with theta functions, Jacobian functions, and Weierstrass functions. Elliptic integral policy functions also have series expansions. The series expansions are listed below [16].

$$(3.96) \quad K(k) = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_m \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_m}{m! m!} k^{2m} = \frac{\pi}{2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; k^2\right)$$

where $|k| < 1, |\alpha| < 1$.

$$(3.97) \quad E(k) = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)_m \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_m}{m! m!} k^{2m} = \frac{\pi}{2} {}_2F_1\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; k^2\right)$$

$$(3.98) \quad D(k) = \frac{\pi}{4} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_m \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_m}{(m+1)! m!} k^{2m} = \frac{\pi}{4} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 2; k^2\right)$$

$$(3.99) \quad \Pi(\alpha^2, k) = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_n}{n!} \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_m}{m!} k^{2m} \alpha^{2n-2m} = \frac{\pi}{2} F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, 1; 1; k^2, \alpha^2\right)$$

where $F_1(\alpha; \beta, \beta'; \gamma; x, y)$ is an Appell function. \square

Theorem 3.122. *A policy library with special application policies and policy functions can construct elliptic integral policy functions.*

3.6.10. Multidimensional Theta Policy Functions.

Lemma 3.123. *Riemann theta policy function $\theta(\mathbf{z}|\Omega)$ supports g dimensional components.*

Proof. Suppose that we specify a set of policies $\Omega(g \times g)$. Then we have

$$(3.100) \quad \hat{\theta}(\mathbf{z}|\Omega) = e^{-\pi[\Im \mathbf{z}] \cdot [\Im \Omega]^{-1} \cdot [\Im \mathbf{z}]} \theta(\mathbf{z}|\Omega)$$

where $\theta(\mathbf{z}|\Omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^g} e^{2\pi i \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{n} \cdot \Omega \cdot \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{z}\right)}$. $\mathbb{Z}^g = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}$ (g times). \square

Lemma 3.124. *Riemann theta policy function $\theta(\mathbf{z}|\Omega)$ has a series expansion.*

Proof.

(3.101)

$$\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{z}|\Omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in S(\epsilon)} e^{\pi i[\mathbf{n} - [\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{y}]] \cdot \mathbf{X} \cdot [\mathbf{n} - [\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{y}]]} e^{2\pi i[\mathbf{n} - [\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{y}]] \cdot \mathbf{x}} e^{-\pi[\mathbf{n} + [\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{y}]] \cdot \mathbf{Y} \cdot [\mathbf{n} + [\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{y}]]}$$

where $S(\epsilon) = \left\{ \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^g \mid \pi [\mathbf{m} + [\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{y}]] \cdot \mathbf{Y} \cdot [\mathbf{m} + [\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{y}]] \leq R(\epsilon) \right\}$, $\mathbf{X} = \mathfrak{R}(\Omega)$, $\mathbf{Y} = \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$, $\mathbf{x} = \mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{z})$, $\mathbf{y} = \mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{z})$. $R(\epsilon)$ is determined in [17]. \square

Theorem 3.125. *Special kernel or application policies and policy functions can construct multidimensional theta policy functions.*

3.6.11. *Hypergeometric Policy Functions.*

Lemma 3.126. *Hypergeometric policy functions have zeros.*

Proof. The number of zeros of the hypergeometric function $F(a, b; c; z)$ in the sector $|\text{ph}(1 - z)| < \pi$ is given in [18]. In addition, $F(a, b; c; z)$ has real zeros. \square

Lemma 3.127. *Hypergeometric policy functions have series expansions.*

Proof. For small and moderate $|a|$ and $|b|$, we have [18]

(3.102)

$$F(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_s (b)_s}{(c)_s s!} z^s = 1 + \frac{ab}{c} z + \frac{a(a+1)b(b+1)}{c(c+1)2!} z^2 + \dots = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+s)\Gamma(b+s)}{\Gamma(c+s)s!} z^s$$

where $|z| < 1$.

By the properly selected constant, the linear transformation can be used to obtain an infinite series which converges on a disc. \square

Theorem 3.128. *Special application policies and policy functions can construct hypergeometric policy functions.*

3.6.12. *Generalized Hypergeometric Policy Functions.*

Lemma 3.129. *Generalized hypergeometric policy functions have zeros.*

Proof. Suppose that $p = q$ and none of a_j is a nonpositive integer. Then ${}_pF_q(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}; z)$ has a finite number of zeros when a_j can be re-indexed for $j = 1, \dots, p$ such that $a_j - b_j$ is a non-negative integer. Suppose that $p = q$, $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $(\mathbf{a})_j / (\mathbf{b})_j \in \mathbb{R}$. Then ${}_pF_q(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}; z)$ has a finite number of real zeros. \square

Lemma 3.130. *Generalized hypergeometric policy functions have series expansions.*

Proof. For finite z , power series expansions can be used. For large $|z|$, asymptotic expansions should be used. \square

Lemma 3.131. *Infinite products can be applied to large parameter expansions.*

Proof. We write the infinite product relation for the formal series [19] as

$$(3.103) \quad H_{p,q}(z) = \sum_{m=1}^p \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \Gamma(a_m + k) \left(\frac{\prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq m}}^p \Gamma(a_\ell - a_m - k)}{\prod_{\ell=1}^q \Gamma(b_\ell - a_m - k)} \right) z^{-a_m - k}$$

We write the infinite product relation for the large variable [19] as

$$(3.104) \quad \left(\frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{q+1} \Gamma(a_\ell)}{\prod_{\ell=1}^q \Gamma(b_\ell)} \right) {}_{q+1}F_q \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_{q+1} \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{matrix}; z \right) = H_{q+1,q}(-z)$$

where $|\text{ph}(-z)| \leq \pi$ and $|z| > 1$. \square

Theorem 3.132. *A policy library with special application policies and policy functions can construct generalized hypergeometric policy functions.*

3.6.13. q -Hypergeometric and Related Policy Functions.

Lemma 3.133. *q -Hypergeometric policy functions have binomial cases which can be decomposed into elementary functions.*

Proof. We write the relation [20] as

$$(3.105) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} n+m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q z^n = \frac{1}{(z; q)_{m+1}}$$

$$(3.106) \quad \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q (-z)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}} = (z; q)_n = (1-z)(1-zq) \cdots (1-zq^{n-1})$$

when $m \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow 0$, the results become convergent infinite series and infinite products. \square

Lemma 3.134. *q -Hypergeometric policy functions have series expansions.*

Proof. We expand the functions [20] as

$$(3.107) \quad {}_{r+1}\phi_s \left(\begin{matrix} a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s \end{matrix}; q, z \right) = {}_{r+1}\phi_s(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s; q, z) \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_0; q)_n (a_1; q)_n \cdots (a_r; q)_n}{(q; q)_n (b_1; q)_n \cdots (b_s; q)_n} \left((-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} \right)^{s-r} z^n$$

where $b_j \neq q^{-n}$.

$$(3.108) \quad {}_r\psi_s \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s \end{matrix}; q, z \right) = {}_r\psi_s(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s; q, z) \\ = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; q)_n (-1)^{(s-r)n} q^{(s-r)\binom{n}{2}} z^n}{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s; q)_n} \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; q)_n (-1)^{(s-r)n} q^{(s-r)\binom{n}{2}} z^n}{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s; q)_n} \\ + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(q/b_1, q/b_2, \dots, q/b_s; q)_n}{(q/a_1, q/a_2, \dots, q/a_r; q)_n} \left(\frac{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_s}{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r z} \right)^n$$

where $b_j \neq q^{-n}, a_j \neq q^{n+1}$. \square

Theorem 3.135. *A policy library can construct some q -Hypergeometric and related policy functions in binomial cases. Other cases need special application policies and policy functions.*

3.6.14. *Bessel and Hankel Policy Functions.*

Lemma 3.136. *Bessel and Hankel policy functions have zeros.*

Proof. Cylinder functions have simple zeros except $z = 0$ [21]. If the order ν is real, then $J_\nu(z), Y_\nu(z)$ have infinitely many real positive zeros. These zeros can be simple. If $\nu \geq 0$, then the zeros interlace. Two real distinct cylinder functions with the same order have positive zeros which are interlaced. The positive zeros of real cylinder functions and contiguous functions may be interlaced. If $\nu \geq 1$, then the zeros of $J_\nu(v)$ are all real. When $\nu < -1, \nu \notin \mathbb{Z}$, $J_\nu(z)$ has $2\lfloor -\nu \rfloor$ complex zeros. If $\lfloor -\nu \rfloor$ is odd, then two of these zeros are imaginary zeros. No two of $J_0(z), J_1(z), J_2(z), \dots$ have any common zero other than $z = 0$.

If $\nu > 0, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are infinitely many complex zeros of the principal branches of the Bessel functions of the second and third kinds [21]. Zeros of $J_\nu(x)Y_\nu(\lambda x) - Y_\nu(x)J_\nu(\lambda x)$ are simple. In addition, Riccati-Bessel functions has the same zeros as $J_\nu(x)$ and $Y_\nu(x)$ except for $x = 0$. $\alpha J_\nu(x) + xJ'_\nu(x), \alpha, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$ has positive zeros. There are ν -Zeros of Bessel and Hankel functions as functions of the order.

Modified Bessel functions $I_\nu(z)$ and $K_\nu(z)$ have zeros as $J_\nu(z)$ and $H_\nu^{(1)}(z)$. When $-2\ell < \nu < -(2\ell - 1), \ell > 0, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, $I_\nu(z)$ has two real zeros. Modified Bessel function $K_n(nz)$ has zeros in the sector $-\frac{3}{2}\pi \leq \text{ph}z \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi$ under certain conditions. Zeros of $K_n(nz)$ in the sector $-\frac{1}{2}\pi \leq \text{ph}z \leq \frac{3}{2}\pi$ are conjugate ones. $K_n(z)$ has no zeros in the sector $|\text{ph}z| \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi$. If $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, $K_\nu(z)$ has no zeros in the sector $|\text{ph}z| \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi$. $K_\nu(z)$ has zeros in the sector $|\text{ph}z| \leq \pi$ when $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$.

For spherical Bessel functions, $j_n(x)$ and $y_n(x)$ have positive zeros when $n \geq 0$. Kelvin functions have large zeros. □

Lemma 3.137. *Infinite products expand Bessel policy function $J_\nu(z)$ [21].*

Proof.

$$(3.109) \quad J_\nu(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}z\right)^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{j_{\nu,k}^2}\right)$$

□

Lemma 3.138. *Bessel policy functions have series expansions.*

Proof. If z or x are sufficiently small in absolute value, Bessel and Hankel functions have power series expansions with connection formulas. When x or $|z|$ are large compared with $|\nu|^2$, asymptotic expansions are used. For large positive real values of ν , uniform asymptotic expansions are available. Similar results can be applied to modified Bessel functions, spherical Bessel functions, and Kelvin functions. □

Theorem 3.139. *A policy library with special application policies and policy functions can construct Bessel and Hankel policy functions.*

3.6.15. *Bernoulli and Euler Polynomial Policy Functions.*

Lemma 3.140. *Bernoulli and Euler polynomial policy functions have zeros.*

Proof. Bernoulli and Euler polynomials have real zeros, complex zeros, and multiple zeros [22]. □

Lemma 3.141. *Bernoulli and Euler polynomial policy functions can be expanded.*

Proof. Recurrence can be used for B_n and E_n [22]. \square

Theorem 3.142. *A policy library with elementary policy functions can construct Bernoulli and Euler polynomial policy functions.*

3.6.16. *Orthogonal Polynomial Policy Functions.*

Lemma 3.143. *Orthogonal polynomial policy functions have zeros.*

Proof. Orthogonal polynomials have zeros. Orthogonal polynomials have zeros in the large degree [23]. \square

Lemma 3.144. *Orthogonal polynomial policy functions can be decomposed.*

Proof. Horner's scheme and the numeric solution of difference equations are used for orthogonal polynomials. \square

Theorem 3.145. *A policy library with elementary functions can construct orthogonal polynomials.*

3.6.17. *Mathieu Policy Functions.*

Lemma 3.146. *Mathieu policy functions have zeros.*

Proof. Mathieu functions $ce_{2n}(z, q)$, $se_{2n+1}(z, q)$, $ce_{2n+1}(z, q)$, and $se_{2n+2}(z, q)$ have n zeros when $0 < z < \frac{1}{2}\pi$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}$. If $q \rightarrow \infty$, zeros of $ce_{2n}(z, q)$ and $se_{2n+1}(z, q)$ approach zeros of $He_{2n}(q^{1/4}(\pi - 2z))$. Zeros of $ce_{2n+1}(z, q)$ and $se_{2n+2}(z, q)$ approach zeros of $He_{2n+1}(q^{1/4}(\pi - 2z))$. $He_n(z)$ denotes the Hermite polynomial of degree n . If $q > 0$, then $ce_m(z, q)$ and $se_m(z, q)$ have imaginary zeros corresponding to imaginary z -zeros of $J_m(2\sqrt{q} \cos z)$. There are no zeros in $|\Re z| < \frac{1}{2}\pi$ other than real and imaginary zeros. \square

Lemma 3.147. *Mathieu policy functions have series expansions.*

Proof. For Mathieu functions with an integer order, we have expansions [24] as

$$(3.110) \quad ce_{2n}(z, q) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_{2m}^{2n}(q) \cos 2mz$$

$$(3.111) \quad ce_{2n+1}(z, q) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_{2m+1}^{2n+1}(q) \cos(2m+1)z$$

$$(3.112) \quad se_{2n+1}(z, q) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_{2m+1}^{2n+1}(q) \sin(2m+1)z$$

$$(3.113) \quad se_{2n+2}(z, q) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_{2m+2}^{2n+2}(q) \sin(2m+2)z$$

For Mathieu functions with a noninteger order, we have expansions as

$$(3.114) \quad me_{\nu}(z, q) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{2m}^{\nu}(q) e^{i(\nu+2m)z}$$

$$(3.115) \quad ce_{\nu}(z, q) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{2m}^{\nu}(q) \cos(\nu+2m)z$$

$$(3.116) \quad \text{se}_\nu(z, q) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{2m}^\nu(q) \sin(\nu + 2m)z$$

Modified Mathieu functions have expansions in the series of Bessel functions. One of the expansions is

$$(3.117) \quad \text{Ms}_{2m+2}^{(j)}(z, h) = (-1)^{m+1} \left(\text{se}_{2m+2}'\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi, h^2\right) \right)^{-1} \coth z \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell+2) B_{2\ell+2}^{2m+2}(h^2) C_{2\ell+2}^{(j)}(2h \sinh z)$$

□

Theorem 3.148. *Special application policies and policy functions can construct Mathieu policy functions.*

3.6.18. Lamé Policy Functions.

Lemma 3.149. *Lamé policy functions have zeros.*

Proof. Lamé functions have zeros in $(0, K)$ and $[0, 2K)$, where K is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind with moduli k . Lamé polynomials have z -zeros in $(0, K)$ and in the open line segment from K to $K + iK'$. Lamé polynomials may be expressed as $\xi^\rho (\xi - 1)^\sigma (\xi - k^{-2})^\tau P(\xi)$, where ρ, σ, τ are either 0 or $\frac{1}{2}$. $P(\xi)$ denotes a polynomial. Let $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$ be zeros of $P(\xi)$ when $0 < \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_m < 1 < \xi_{m+1} < \dots < \xi_n < k^{-2}$. We have

$$(3.118) \quad \frac{\rho + \frac{1}{4}}{\xi_p} + \frac{\sigma + \frac{1}{4}}{\xi_p - 1} + \frac{\tau + \frac{1}{4}}{\xi_p - k^{-2}} + \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq p}}^n \frac{1}{\xi_p - \xi_q} = 0$$

□

Lemma 3.150. *Lamé policy functions have series expansions.*

Proof. We expand the functions [25] as

$$(3.119) \quad Ec_\nu^{2m}(z, k^2) = \frac{1}{2}A_0 + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} A_{2p} \cos(2p\phi)$$

where $\phi = \frac{1}{2}\pi - \text{am}(z, k)$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The alternative expansion is

$$(3.120) \quad Es_\nu^{2m}(z, k^2) = \text{dn}(z, k) \left(\frac{1}{2}C_0 + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} C_{2p} \cos(2p\phi) \right)$$

where $\text{dn}(z, k)$ denotes the principal Jacobian elliptic functions.

$$(3.121) \quad Ec_\nu^{2m+1}(z, k^2) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} A_{2p+1} \cos((2p+1)\phi)$$

$$(3.122) \quad Es_\nu^{2m+1}(z, k^2) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} B_{2p+1} \sin((2p+1)\phi)$$

$$(3.123) \quad Es_\nu^{2m+2}(z, k^2) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} B_{2p} \sin(2p\phi)$$

$$(3.124) \quad uE_{2n}^m(z, k^2) = \frac{1}{2}A_0 + \sum_{p=1}^n A_{2p} \cos(2p\phi)$$

$$(3.125) \quad sE_{2n+1}^m(z, k^2) = \sum_{p=0}^n A_{2p+1} \cos((2p+1)\phi)$$

$$(3.126) \quad cE_{2n+1}^m(z, k^2) = \sum_{p=0}^n B_{2p+1} \sin((2p+1)\phi)$$

$$(3.127) \quad dE_{2n+1}^m(z, k^2) = \operatorname{dn}(z, k) \left(\frac{1}{2}C_0 + \sum_{p=1}^n C_{2p} \cos(2p\phi) \right)$$

$$(3.128) \quad scE_{2n+2}^m(z, k^2) = \sum_{p=0}^n B_{2p+2} \sin((2p+2)\phi)$$

$$(3.129) \quad sdE_{2n+2}^m(z, k^2) = \operatorname{dn}(z, k) \sum_{p=0}^n C_{2p+1} \cos((2p+1)\phi)$$

$$(3.130) \quad cdE_{2n+2}^m(z, k^2) = \operatorname{dn}(z, k) \sum_{p=0}^n D_{2p+1} \sin((2p+1)\phi)$$

$$(3.131) \quad scdE_{2n+3}^m(z, k^2) = \operatorname{dn}(z, k) \sum_{p=0}^n D_{2p+2} \sin((2p+2)\phi)$$

There are Chebyshev series expansions for Lamé polynomials as

$$(3.132) \quad uE_{2n}^m(z, k^2) = \frac{1}{2}A_0 + \sum_{p=1}^n A_{2p} T_{2p}(\operatorname{sn}(z, k))$$

where T is Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind. \square

Theorem 3.151. *Special application policies and policy functions can construct Lamé policy functions.*

3.6.19. Spheroidal Wave Policy Functions.

Lemma 3.152. *Spheroidal wave policy functions have series expansions.*

Proof. For small $|\gamma^2|$, eigenvalue function $\lambda_n^m(\gamma^2)$ has a power series expansion as

$$(3.133) \quad \lambda_n^m(\gamma^2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \ell_{2k} \gamma^{2k}$$

where $|\gamma^2| < r_n^m$ and r_n^m is determined in [26].

For large $|\gamma^2|$, $\lambda_n^m(\gamma^2)$ has the asymptotic expansions where the continued fraction equations can be used for approximation. If $|\gamma^2|$ is large, then asymptotic expansions

can approximate $P_{s_n^m}(x, \gamma^2)$. $P_{s_n^m}(x, \gamma^2)$ also has specific series expansions [26]. Radial spheroidal wave functions have expansions as

$$(3.134) \quad S_n^{m(j)}(z, \gamma) = \frac{(1 - z^{-2})^{\frac{1}{2}m}}{A_n^{-m}(\gamma^2)} \sum_{2k \geq m-n} a_{n,k}^{-m}(\gamma^2) \psi_{n+2k}^{(j)}(\gamma z)$$

where $A_n^{\pm m}(\gamma^2) = \sum_{2k \geq \mp m-n} (-1)^k a_{n,k}^{\pm m}(\gamma^2) \ (\neq 0)$. $\psi_k^{(j)}(z) = \left(\frac{\pi}{2z}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} C_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{(j)}(z)$. $a_{n,k}^{-m}(\gamma^2)$ is determined in [26]. \square

Theorem 3.153. *Special application policies and policy functions can construct Spheroidal wave policy functions.*

3.6.20. Heun Policy Functions.

Lemma 3.154. *Stieltjes polynomials $S(z)$ have zeros.*

Proof. Stieltjes polynomials $S(z)$ have zeros of n th degree z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n . Zero is either one of parameters a_j in

$$(3.135) \quad \frac{d^2 w}{dz^2} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\gamma_j}{z - a_j} \right) \frac{dw}{dz} + \frac{\Phi(z)}{\prod_{j=1}^N (z - a_j)} w = 0$$

where $\Phi(z)$ is a polynomial of degree not exceeding $N - 2$
or a solution of the equation

$$(3.136) \quad \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\gamma_j/2}{z_k - a_j} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \frac{1}{z_k - z_j} = 0$$

where $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

The zeros of Stieltjes polynomial $S(z)$ are points $\partial G / \partial \zeta_k = 0, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ for function G

$$(3.137) \quad G(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n) = \prod_{k=1}^n \prod_{\ell=1}^N (\zeta_k - a_\ell)^{\frac{\gamma_\ell}{2}} \prod_{j=k+1}^n (\zeta_k - \zeta_j)$$

With conditions $\gamma_j > 0, a_j \in \mathbb{R}, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and $a_j < a_{j+1}, j = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$, there are $\binom{n+N-2}{N-2}$ ways to distribute n zeros among $N - 1$ intervals $(a_j, a_{j+1}), j = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$ for $\binom{n+N-2}{N-2}$ polynomials $S(z)$. \square

Lemma 3.155. *Products of Stieltjes polynomials have zeros.*

Proof. Let the exponent and singularity parameters satisfy the conditions $\gamma_j > 0, a_j \in \mathbb{R}, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and $a_j < a_{j+1}, j = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$. There is a unique Stieltjes polynomial $S_{\mathbf{m}}(z)$ with m_j zeros in $(a_j, a_{j+1}), j = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$ for each multi-index $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{N-1}), m_j \geq 0$. If there are two distinct multi-indices $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{N-1})$ and $\mathbf{l} = (\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_{N-1})$, then the products of Stieltjes polynomials $S_{\mathbf{m}}(z)$ and $S_{\mathbf{l}}(z)$ are

$$(3.138) \quad S_{\mathbf{m}}(z_1) S_{\mathbf{m}}(z_2) \cdots S_{\mathbf{m}}(z_{N-1})$$

where $z_j \in (a_j, a_{j+1})$.

$$(3.139) \quad S_{\mathbf{l}}(z_1) S_{\mathbf{l}}(z_2) \cdots S_{\mathbf{l}}(z_{N-1})$$

where $z_j \in (a_j, a_{j+1})$. The products are mutually orthogonal over the set $Q = (a_1, a_2) \times (a_2, a_3) \times \cdots \times (a_{N-1}, a_N)$ with respect to an inner product and a weight function [27]. \square

Lemma 3.156. *Heun functions have series expansion in hypergeometric functions.*

Proof. $H\ell(a, q; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta; z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j z^j$, where $|z| < 1$. Let $w(z)$ be any Fuchs-Frobenius solution of Heun's equation. We expand $w(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j P_j$. With conditions satisfied, the series in type I is [27]

$$(3.140) \quad P_j = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + j) \Gamma(1 - \gamma + \alpha + j)}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha - \beta + \epsilon + 2j)} z^{-\alpha-j} {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha + j, 1 - \gamma + \alpha + j \\ 1 + \alpha - \beta + \epsilon + 2j \end{matrix}; \frac{1}{z} \right)$$

Every Heun function can be represented by the series in type I convergent in the z -plane cut along a line connecting the two singularities of the Heun function. The expansion for a Heun function with the branch other than a multiple of Equation 3.140 is convergent inside the ellipse with foci at 0, 1 in the z -plane.

For the series in type II, P_j can be represented in terms of Jacobi polynomials. The series diverge for Fuchs Frobenius solutions, but they are convergent inside the ellipse for Heun functions. Every Heun function can be expressed as a series in type II.

The path-multiplicative solutions [27] can be expanded in terms of the doubly-infinite series of hypergeometric functions. \square

Theorem 3.157. *Special application policies and functions can construct Heun functions.*

3.6.21. Coulomb Policy Functions.

Lemma 3.158. *Coulomb policy functions have series expansions.*

Proof. For finite radii ρ and r , power series expansions can be used. For large ρ and $|r|$, asymptotic expansions should be used [28]. \square

Theorem 3.159. *Special application functions can construct Coulomb policy functions.*

3.6.22. $3j, 6j, 9j$ Symbols.

Lemma 3.160. *We can define policies for $3j, 6j, 9j$ symbols.*

Proof. When angular momenta j_1, j_2, j_3 do not satisfy the triangle conditions or the projective quantum numbers do not fullfill, the $3j$ symbol is a trivial zero [29]. If the triangle conditions are not satisfied by any of the four $3j$ symbols in the summation, then the $6j$ symbol is a trivial zero. The $3j$ and $6j$ symbols are nontrivial zeros with certain combinations of the angular momenta and projective quantum numbers even when the triangle conditions are satisfied. $9j$ symbols can be nontrivial zeros. \square

Lemma 3.161. *$3j, 6j, 9j$ symbols can be constructed by special application functions.*

Proof. $3j, 6j, 9j$ symbols can be represented as a finite sum respectively. $3j, 6j, 9j$ symbols can be written as the terminating generalized hypergeometric series ${}_3F_2$ of unit argument, ${}_4F_3$ of unit argument, and the terminating generalized hypergeometric series of three variables with unit argument respectively. \square

Theorem 3.162. *Special application policies and functions can construct policy functions for $3j, 6j, 9j$ symbols.*

3.6.23. Integrals with Coalescing Saddles.

Lemma 3.163. *The integrals with coalescing saddles have zeros.*

Proof. Fold canonical integral is Airy function Ai. Cusp canonical integral is $\Psi_K(\mathbf{x})$ and $\Phi_K(t; \mathbf{x})$ with $K = 2$. Inside the cusp $x^2 < 8|y|^3/27$, the zeros are pairs. The approximate location of the zeros is given by [30]

$$(3.141) \quad y_m = -\sqrt{2\pi(2m+1)}$$

where $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$(3.142) \quad x_{m,n}^{\pm} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{-y_m}} \left(2n + \frac{1}{2} + (-1)^m \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{4}\right) \pi$$

where $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$

Outside the cusp $x^2 > 8|y|^3/27$, the single row of zeros is approximately located at

$$(3.143) \quad x_n = \pm \left(\frac{8}{27}\right)^{1/2} |y_n|^{3/2} (1 + \xi_n)$$

$$(3.144) \quad y_n = -\left(\frac{3\pi(8n+5)}{9+8\xi_n}\right)^{1/2}$$

where ξ_n is the real solution of

$$(3.145) \quad \frac{3\pi(8n+5)}{9+8\xi_n} \xi_n^{3/2} = \frac{27}{16} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1/2} \left(\ln\left(\frac{1}{\xi_n}\right) + 3 \ln\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\right)$$

For elliptic umbilic canonical integral, zeros are lines in $\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z)$ space. Inside the bifurcation set, the zero contours form an array of rings as

$$(3.146) \quad z_n = \pm 3\left(\frac{1}{4}\pi(2n - \frac{1}{2})\right)^{1/3} = 3.48734(n - \frac{1}{4})^{1/3}$$

where $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Outside the bifurcation set, there are series of zero lines. In addition, there are three sets of zero lines in the plane $z = 0$ related by $2\pi/3$ rotation, whose asymptotic form is given by

$$(3.147) \quad r = 3 \left(\frac{(2n-1)\pi}{4|\sin(\frac{3}{2}\theta)|}\right)^{2/3} (1 + O(n^{-1}))$$

The zeros of swallowtail and hyperbolic umbilic canonical integrals are curves in $\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z)$ space. \square

Lemma 3.164. *Close to the origin $x = 0$ of parameter space, integrals with coalescing saddles can be expanded with convergent series.*

Proof. We write the following relations [30].

$$(3.148) \quad \Psi_K(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{2}{K+2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp\left(i\frac{\pi(2n+1)}{2(K+2)}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2n+1}{K+2}\right) a_{2n}(\mathbf{x})$$

when K is even and $a_0(\mathbf{x}) = 1, a_{n+1}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{i}{n+1} \sum_{p=0}^{\min(n, K-1)} (p+1)x_{p+1}a_{n-p}(\mathbf{x}), n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$(3.149) \quad \Psi_K(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{2}{K+2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} i^n \cos\left(\frac{\pi(n(K+1)-1)}{2(K+2)}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{K+2}\right) a_n(\mathbf{x})$$

when K is odd and $a_0(\mathbf{x}) = 1, a_{n+1}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{i}{n+1} \sum_{p=0}^{\min(n, K-1)} (p+1)x_{p+1}a_{n-p}(\mathbf{x}), n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$(3.150) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{3^{2/3}}{4\pi^2} \Psi^{(H)}\left(3^{1/3}\mathbf{x}\right) &= \text{Ai}(x) \text{Ai}(y) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-3^{-1/3}iz)^n \frac{c_n(x)c_n(y)}{n!} \\ &+ \text{Ai}(x) \text{Ai}'(y) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-3^{-1/3}iz)^n \frac{c_n(x)d_n(y)}{n!} \\ &+ \text{Ai}'(x) \text{Ai}(y) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-3^{-1/3}iz)^n \frac{d_n(x)c_n(y)}{n!} \\ &+ \text{Ai}'(x) \text{Ai}'(y) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-3^{-1/3}iz)^n \frac{d_n(x)d_n(y)}{n!} \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.151) \quad \Psi^{(E)}(\mathbf{x}) = 2\pi^2 \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2/3} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i(2/3)^{2/3}z)^n}{n!} \Re\left(f_n\left(\frac{x+iy}{12^{1/3}}, \frac{x-iy}{12^{1/3}}\right)\right)$$

where $f_n(\zeta, \zeta^*) = c_n(\zeta)c_n(\zeta^*) \text{Ai}(\zeta) \text{Bi}(\zeta^*) + c_n(\zeta)d_n(\zeta^*) \text{Ai}(\zeta) \text{Bi}'(\zeta^*) + d_n(\zeta)c_n(\zeta^*) \text{Ai}'(\zeta) \text{Bi}(\zeta^*) + d_n(\zeta)d_n(\zeta^*) \text{Ai}'(\zeta) \text{Bi}'(\zeta^*)$, $c_0(t) = 1, d_0(t) = 0, c_{n+1}(t) = c'_n(t) + td_n(t), d_{n+1}(t) = c_n(t) + d'_n(t)$. The asterisks denote the complex conjugates.

With real critical points ordered as $t_1(\mathbf{x}) < t_2(\mathbf{x}) < \dots < t_{j_{\max}}(\mathbf{x})$, the leading-order asymptotic formulas are used.

$$(3.152) \quad \Psi_K(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{j_{\max}(\mathbf{x})} \exp(i(\Phi_K(t_j(\mathbf{x}); \mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{4}\pi(-1)^{j+K+1})) \left| \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_K(t_j(\mathbf{x}); \mathbf{x})}{\partial t^2} \right|^{-1/2} (1+o(1))$$

The uniform asymptotic approximations are used close to the bifurcation set but far from $x = 0$. In the cuspid case, the leading-order uniform asymptotic approximation is given by

$$(3.153) \quad I(\mathbf{y}, k) = \frac{\exp(ikA(\mathbf{y}))}{k^{1/(K+2)}} \sum_{m=0}^K \frac{a_m(\mathbf{y})}{k^{m/(K+2)}} \left(\delta_{m,0} - (1 - \delta_{m,0}) i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_m} \right) \Psi_K(\mathbf{z}(\mathbf{y}; k)) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \right)$$

where $A(\mathbf{y}), \mathbf{z}(\mathbf{y}, k), a_m(\mathbf{y})$ and a special case of $I(\mathbf{y}, k)$ are given in [30]. \square

Theorem 3.165. *Special application policies and functions can construct integrals with coalescing saddles.*

4. CONCLUSION

The general theory and the special theory establish the mathematical foundation for policies. The special theory, and the reusability of mathematical variables and functions are the mathematical evidence of the critical line of the Riemann hypothesis. The reusability can be extended to higher dimensions. From this, we conclude the mathematical discovery of reusability and the principle of construction.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author developed the theory of policies from his seven years of service on policies. The author specially thanks Olver, Stein, and Fisher for providing the technical references [31][32][33] for this work. This article is also dedicated to all my classmates who are devoted to science and engineering.

REFERENCES

1. R. Roy, F. W. J. Olver, R. A. Askey, and R. Wong, *Algebraic and analytic methods appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 1–39, Cambridge, 2009.
2. N. M. Temme, *Numerical methods appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 71–101, Cambridge, 2009.
3. R. Roy and F. W. J. Olver, *Elementary functions appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 103–134, Cambridge, 2009.
4. T. M. Apostol, *Zeta and related functions appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 601–616, Cambridge, 2009.
5. W. P. Reinhardt and P. L. Walker, *Weierstrass elliptic and modular functions appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 569–585, Cambridge, 2009.
6. W. P. Reinhardt and P. L. Walker, *Jacobian elliptic functions appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 549–568, Cambridge, 2009.
7. W. P. Reinhardt and P. L. Walker, *Theta functions appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 523–535, Cambridge, 2009.
8. N. M. Temme, *Error functions, Dawson's and Fresnel integrals appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 160–171, Cambridge, 2009.
9. F.W.J.Olver, *Airy and Related functions appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 193–213, Cambridge, 2009.
10. R. B. Paris, *Struve and Related functions appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 287–301, Cambridge, 2009.
11. N. M. Temme, *Parabolic cylinder functions appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 303–319, Cambridge, 2009.
12. A. B. Olde Daalhuis, *Confluent hypergeometric functions appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 322–349, Cambridge, 2009.
13. R. B. Paris, *Incomplete gamma and related functions appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 174–192, Cambridge, 2009.
14. R. A. Askey and R. Roy, *Gamma function appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 135–147, Cambridge, 2009.
15. T. M. Dunster, *Legendre and related functions appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 351–381, Cambridge, 2009.
16. B. C. Carlson, *Elliptic integrals appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 486–522, Cambridge, 2009.
17. B. Deconinck, *Multidimensional theta functions appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 538–547, Cambridge, 2009.
18. A. B. Olde Daalhuis, *Hypergeometric function appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 383–401, Cambridge, 2009.
19. R. A. Askey and A. B. Olde Daalhuis, *Generalized hypergeometric functions and Meijer G-function appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 404–418, Cambridge, 2009.
20. G. E. Andrews, *q-Hypergeometric and related functions appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 419–433, Cambridge, 2009.

21. F.W. J. Olver and L. C. Maximon, *Bessel functions appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 215–286, Cambridge, 2009.
22. K. Dilcher, *Bernoulli and Euler polynomials appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 588–599, Cambridge, 2009.
23. T. H. Koornwinder, R. Wong, R. Koekoek, and R.F.Swarttouw, *Orthogonal polynomials appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 435–484, Cambridge, 2009.
24. G. Wolf, *Mathieu functions and Hill's equation appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 651–681, Cambridge, 2009.
25. H. Volkmer, *Lame functions appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 683–695, Cambridge, 2009.
26. H. Volkmer, *Spheroidal wave functions appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 697–708, Cambridge, 2009.
27. B. D. Sleeman and V. B. Kuznetsov, *Heun functions appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 709–721, Cambridge, 2009.
28. I. J. Thompson, *Coulomb functions appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 741–756, Cambridge, 2009.
29. L. C. Maximon, *$3j, 6j, 9j$ symbols appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 757–766, Cambridge, 2009.
30. M. V. Berry and C. J. Howls, *Integrals with coalescing saddles appearing in NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, pp. 776–790, Cambridge, 2009.
31. F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, and C. W. Clark, *NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, Cambridge, 2009.
32. E. M. Stein and R. Shakarchi, *Complex Analysis*, Princeton University Press, 2003.
33. S. D. Fisher, *Complex Variables*, 2Ed, Dover Publications, Inc., 1990.

3738 EVANGELHO CIR. SAN JOSE CA, 95148
E-mail address: ttoulliu2002@gmail.com